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In Situ Study of Li Intercalation into Highly Crystalline Graphitic Flakes of Varying Thicknesses

Jianli Zou, Christopher Sole, Nicholas E. Drewett, Matěj Velický, and Laurence J. Hardwick

ABSTRACT: An in situ Raman spectroelectrochemical study of Li intercalation into graphite flakes with different thicknesses ranging from 1.7 nm (3 graphene layers) to 61 nm (ca. 178 layers) is presented. The lithiation behavior of these flakes was compared to commercial microcrystalline graphite with a typical flake thickness of ∼100 nm. Li intercalation into the graphitic flakes was observed under potential control via in situ optical microscopy and Raman spectroscopy. As graphite flakes decreased in thickness, a Raman response indicative of increased tensile strain along the graphene sheet was observed during the early stages of intercalation. A progressively negative wavenumber shift of the interior and bounding modes of the split G band (E\(^{2g2}(i)\) and E\(^{2g2}(b)\)) is interpreted as a weakening of the C–C bonding. Raman spectra of Li intercalation into thin graphitic flakes are presented and discussed in the context of implications for Li ion battery applications, given that intercalation induced strain may accelerate carbon negative electrode aging and reduce long-term cycle life.

Rechargeable lithium ion batteries represent the leading energy storage technology solution for many applications such as portable electronics, power tools, and electric vehicles and represent strong considerations for various grid storage systems. In commercial Li ion devices, bulk graphite is the most widely used anode material due to its cost-effective performance. Li intercalation into graphite proceeds via a series of staged graphite intercalation compounds (GICs), classified by stage index \(n\), whereby \(n\) represents the number of graphene layers separating intercalated ions. The first- and/or second-order Raman spectra of graphitic carbons during the first lithiation and delithiation have been investigated in a typical lithium ion battery electrolyte by us\(^3\)-\(^5\) and others\(^6\),\(^7\) in order to understand Li intercalation into practical carbon electrodes. In situ Raman spectroscopic measurements under potential control enable probing of the graphitic negative electrode during ion insertion and extraction. Experimental results reveal typical stages formation on different regions of the electrode surface from the splitting of the G band (1580 cm\(^{-1}\)) into a doublet band.\(^7\) The lower (E\(^{2g2}(i)\)) and upper (E\(^{2g2}(b)\)) frequency components are correspondingly associated with carbon atom vibrations in interior graphite layers (not adjacent to the intercalate layer planes) and in bounding graphite layers (adjacent to the intercalate planes). The split in the G (E\(^{2g2}\)) mode upon intercalation occurs primarily from changes in symmetry at the boundary layer and secondarily from the electronic effects of the intercalate molecule. The E\(^{2g2}(i)\) band disappears for stages 1 and 2, where no graphite interior layer exists.

Recent research interest in graphene has led to a variety of studies on the chemical doping of single-layer graphene (SLG) and intercalation of guest species into few-layer graphene systems. For example, in situ Raman spectroscopy has been used to monitor the doping (intercalation) of single-layer (bilayer) graphene with rubidium vapor.\(^8\) In another study, the intercalation of ferric chloride (FeCl\(_3\)) into graphite flakes consisting of 2–4 graphene layers has also been characterized by Raman spectroscopy.\(^9\) Nevertheless, despite the fact that Li-GICs have been extensively studied since the 1970s,\(^10\)-\(^16\) the difference in the electrochemical lithiation process with various graphene layer thicknesses is still not well understood. A number of authors have recently applied Raman spectroscopy to understand the lithiation mechanism for graphenic materials. Pollak et al.\(^17\) studied the interaction of Li with few-layer graphene and concluded that the process seems to resemble that of bulk graphite. However, recent work\(^18\) suggested that Li intercalation into a few layers of graphene showed a strong dependence on the number of graphene layers, as measured via stationary voltammetry.

Herein, we present an in situ Raman spectroelectrochemical study of Li intercalation into edges of highly crystalline graphite with different thicknesses ranging from 1.7 nm (3 graphene layers) to 61 nm (ca. 178 layers). The lithiation behavior of these flakes was compared to that of commercial microcrystalline graphite (60–100 nm thick), and it was observed that with decreasing flake thickness, the downshift of both E\(^{2g2}(i)\) and E\(^{2g2}(b)\) bands increases, which is indicative of additional tensile strain upon the graphene sheets during ion insertion.

Highly crystalline natural graphite flakes (NGS Naturgraphit GmbH) were mechanically exfoliated onto a borosilicate glass...
A cover slide using the “Scotch tape method”. Flakes were selected according to the following requirements: ideal flakes should possess a thin flat region of several square micrometers area to allow facile Raman analysis, while the whole flake should be bigger than a few hundred micrometers and thick enough (>500 nm) at one edge to facilitate electronic connection using silver epoxy. Figure 1a illustrates the sample fabrication process and its formation into an electrode for the in situ spectroelectrochemical Raman cell. Graphitic flake thicknesses were determined by atomic force microscopy (AFM). Silver epoxy was used to make an electronic connection between the graphitic flake and the copper current collector, leaving the area of interest pristine. The position of the flake was aligned to coincide with the small aperture (ca. 1 mm diameter) made in the center of the copper current collector for direct optical observation. The silver epoxy contact was positioned facing the glass window in order to minimize possible contact with the electrolyte. The graphite flake electrode was prepared as described previously (IMERYS, SFG6; the typical flake thickness, estimated from SEM images of the microcrystalline graphite, is between 60 and 100 nm, Figure S1). LiPF$_6$ (1 M) in 1:1 w/w ethylene carbonate/dimethyl carbonate (BASF) was used as the electrolyte. Cyclic voltammetry was performed using a potentiostat (Biologic) to induce electrochemical intercalation of the graphite samples. Initially, the cell was

Figure 1. Schematic illustration of the assembly of graphite flakes into the in situ spectroelectrochemical Raman cell. (a) Graphite flakes were mechanically exfoliated onto a borosilicate glass cover slide. A single graphite flake was selected, isolated using a diamond-tipped glass cutter, and connected to a copper current collector using silver epoxy, ensuring that the area of interest was aligned with the aperture in the center for direct observation. (b) The copper–glass sandwich with the contacted graphite flake (working electrode) was assembled in an EL-CELL electrochemical test cell (ECC-Opto-Std) with the silver epoxy on the opposite side of the current collector to the electrolyte-impregnated separator.

Figure 2. Characterization of graphite flakes by AFM and Raman spectroscopy. (a–c) AFM images and the height profiles of three graphite flakes with thicknesses of 1.7 nm (3 graphene layers), 3.8 nm (9 graphene layers), and 20 nm (ca. 56 graphene layers). (d–f) Corresponding Raman spectra of the graphite flakes shown in (a–c).
discharged at 0.02 mV/s from open-circuit voltage (OCV) to 1.2 V (vs Li+/Li), and then, a slower rate of 0.005 mV/s was employed between 1.2 and 0.005 V vs Li+/Li, while Raman spectra were collected at room temperature (ca. 23 °C) (Renishaw inVia, laser wavelength 532 nm, <19 kW/cm²). Due to the slow scan rate employed, changes during lithiation of the graphite sample occurred on the time scale of the spectral acquisitions, allowing spectra to be collected at a quasi-equilibrium state. Furthermore, unless otherwise stated, all Raman measurements were taken a few micrometers from the flake edge to reduce/mitigate differences arising from inhomogeneous Li diffusion induced by defects and grain boundaries within the crystalline flake. The successful electronic connection to the graphic flakes was confirmed by the observation of Li intercalation though optical visualization of the well-documented phenomena of color changes in the flake and corresponding Raman spectra, relating to staged Li insertion (Figure S2).21–24

Figure 2 shows the AFM images, height profile, and Raman characterization of three representative graphite flakes used in this study. The thicknesses were 1.7 nm (Figure 2a), 3.8 nm (Figure 2b), and 20 nm (Figure 2c), corresponding to 3 graphene layers, 9 graphene layers, and ~56 graphene layers, respectively. Raman spectroscopy is a powerful analytical tool for study of both GICs and few-layer graphene, and it is a key tool to probe the physical and electronic properties in graphene-based materials.10,25–27 Analysis of the two main signals in the Raman spectra, the G band at around 1582 cm⁻¹ and the dispersive double resonance peak in the range between 2600 and 2700 cm⁻¹ (2D band), offers detailed information; for example, it allows determination of the number of graphene layers, induced strain in the structure, and charging.28 The Raman spectra of all three graphite flakes exhibit two intense peaks: a G band at ~1582 cm⁻¹ and a 2D band at ~2700 cm⁻¹ for the three-layer sample and ~2718 cm⁻¹ for other thicker flakes (Figure 2d–f). The absence of a D band at ~1350 cm⁻¹ indicates the very low defect density in our mechanically exfoliated natural graphite samples.

Figure 3 shows in situ Raman spectra of microcrystalline flake graphite and graphite flakes with three different thicknesses (1.7, 3.8, and 20 nm) during lithiation. During Li insertion for all samples, the 2D band was observed to shift to lower wavenumbers, accompanied by the decrease of intensity, as has previously been recorded.3 The intercalation behavior of 20 and 3.8 nm thick graphite flakes displays similar characteristics to that of microcrystalline flake graphite. A clear split of the G band to E2g(i) and E2g(b) modes at around 0.22 V was preceded by an upshift in the G band frequency. The splitting of the G band can be interpreted as the graphene layers next to the splitting occurred, as previously observed below 0.2 V vs Li+/Li.24,30 This upshift can be understood by considering the doping effect during the dilute stage 1 phase in all samples. The stiffening of the E2g phonon with doping has been previously explained by an increase in the force constants of in-plane C–C bonds, while similar behavior has been observed in SLG.31 Interestingly, the upshift is more prominent for the microcrystalline flake graphite compared to all single flake samples. Upshifts of the G band (ca. 10 cm⁻¹) have been observed previously by us24 and Shi et al.30 Dilute stage 1 formation is responsible for between 4 and 7% of the theoretical capacity of graphite (~20 vs 372 mA h/g),11 thus, the lower doping in this region for our single flake samples suggests that the proportion of Li insertion during the dilute stage 1 GIC phase decreases as the flake thickness of graphite particles is reduced.
lengths of 1.421 and 1.435 Å, respectively.\textsuperscript{36,37} At first glance, the calculated values for the increased tensile strain and bond length increase do not appear to be too remarkable and may not seem to be relevant for technological applications. However, Li ion battery graphitic anodes have shown an increase of graphitic disorder (as indicated by an increase of D band intensity with respect to the G band) after repeated shallow cycling, even with the assumption of 0.04% increase in tensile strain.\textsuperscript{38} The surface of the graphite anode undergoes gradual structural degradation upon cycling, and this effect has been reported to occur generally in all graphitic carbons.\textsuperscript{38–40} During aging of the anode, a damaged graphite surface consumes the cycleable Li inventory via further solid electrolyte interphase formation on the freshly exposed carbon surface.\textsuperscript{41} Thereby, an increase to 0.17% biaxial tensile strain could lead to more rapid graphitic disordering during repeated Li insertion/extraction cycles, suggesting that there may be an optimum range of flake thickness for long-lifetime graphitic Li ion anodes.

Analysis of the Raman spectra for intercalation into the three-layer graphene flake provides further evidence that the G band position is a sum of the competing processes of doping-induced upshift and strain-induced downshift (Figure 5). The initial upshift of the G band position is again observed, concurrent with the formation of dilute stage 1, which continues until ∼0.15 V when it begins downshifting and then loses observable intensity. The lack of obvious splitting, as discussed earlier, suggests a bypassing of the conventional stage 4 and stage 3 formation and continuation of dilute stage 1 until the later stages of intercalation. Significantly, a similar trend of G band position suggests the competing influence of upshift caused primarily by electron doping and subsequent downshift due to significant biaxial strain. This is highlighted in Figure 5 where the mean G band position of the nine-layer graphite flake is plotted alongside the G band of the three-layer graphite sample. The trend of arithmetic mean values of E_{2g} and E_{2g} peak positions showed a close resemblance to the G band position in the three-layer graphite flake. This similar trend is also shown in other thickness flakes (Supporting Information Figure S5).

The 2D band is known to be a more sensitive indicator of strain than the G band.\textsuperscript{25,26} Similarly to previous studies\textsuperscript{3,28} we observe a clear downshift of the 2D band followed by a loss of
for each sample until the last spectra in which the 2D band remains visible. For samples thicker than 5 nm, a large downshift of the 2D band is observed (ca. 40 cm$^{-1}$), yet below 10 nm thickness, the 2D band shift is significantly less. The downshift has previously been ascribed to a combination of doping and tensile strain, suggesting that one of these factors is lessened for the thinner single flake samples. However, previous studies have shown that electron doping also causes a rapid decrease in 2D band intensity. Due to the reduced signal to background intensity of the thinner single flake samples (Figure S3), this causes the disappearance of the 2D band at earlier potentials (Figure 3), which results in smaller observed 2D band shifts. Therefore, although a difference in 2D band behavior with flake thickness is observed, it is not possible to draw strong conclusions regarding strain and doping to support those from the reported G band analysis. In summary, electrochemical lithiation in large graphitic flakes with different thicknesses ranging from $\sim$100 nm down to three graphene layers thick has been systematically studied via in situ Raman spectroscopy. With decreasing flake thickness, a Raman response indicative of increased tensile strain during the early stages of Li intercalation, when compared to thicker (60–100 nm) commercial microcrystalline graphite flakes, was detected. As intercalation induced strain is one of the causes of battery capacity fade during long-term cycling, due to gradual disordering of graphitic anodes, this study highlights the possible increase of the rate of graphite degradation if thinner flakes are used within commercial Li ion cells. Further analysis is required to clarify the impact on aging via multiple Li insertion/extraction cycles of graphitic negative electrodes (anodes) consisting of flakes thinner than 20 nm.
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