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STOPPFrail [Screening Tool of Older Persons Prescriptions in Frail adults with limited life expectancy]: Consensus validation
Abstract

Objective

To validate STOPPFrail, a list of explicit criteria for potentially inappropriate medication (PIM) use in frail older adults with limited life expectancy.

Design

A Delphi consensus survey of an expert panel comprising academic geriatricians, clinical pharmacologists, palliative care physicians, old age psychiatrists, general practitioners and clinical pharmacists.

Setting

Ireland.

Subjects

Seventeen panellists.

Methods

STOPPFrail criteria were initially created by the authors based on clinical experience and literature appraisal. Criteria were organised according to physiological system; each criterion accompanied by an explanation. Using Delphi consensus methodology, panellists ranked their agreement with each criterion on a 5-point Likert scale and provided written feedback. Criteria with a median Likert response of 4/5 (agree/strongly agree) and a 25th centile of ≥4 were included in the final list.

Results
All panellists completed 3 Delphi rounds. Thirty criteria were proposed; 27 were accepted. The first two criteria suggest deprescribing medications without indication or where compliance is poor. The remaining 25 criteria include lipid-lowering therapies, alpha-blockers for hypertension, anti-platelets, neuroleptics, memantine, proton-pump-inhibitors, H2-receptor antagonists, anti-spasmodic agents, theophylline, leukotriene antagonists, calcium supplements, bone anti-resorptive therapy, selective oestrogen receptor modulators, non-steroidal anti-inflammatories, corticosteroids, 5-alpha-reductase inhibitors, alpha-1-selective blockers, muscarinic antagonists, oral diabetic agents, ACE-inhibitors, angiotensin receptor blockers, systemic oestrogens, multivitamins, nutritional supplements and prophylactic antibiotics. Consensus could not be reached on the inclusion of acetyl-cholinesterase inhibitors. Full consensus was reached on exclusion of anticoagulants and anti-depressants from the list.

**Conclusion**

STOPPFrail comprises 27 criteria relating to medications that are potentially inappropriate in frail older patients with limited life expectancy. STOPPFrail may assist physicians in deprescribing medications in these patients.
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**Key points**

STOPPFrail comprises 27 criteria for potentially inappropriate medications in frail older adults with limited life expectancy.

STOPPFrail may serve to assist physicians in deprescribing medications in a structured fashion in this group.
STOPPFrail can be applied in frail older adults with limited life expectancy in any healthcare setting.
Introduction

Population demographics are changing globally, with the greatest proportional increases seen in those aged ≥70 years [1]. Many older people are surviving longer with complex co-morbid illnesses including dementia, chronic kidney disease, cardiovascular disease, chronic lung disease and cancer, many of which contribute to frailty and poor survival prognosis [2, 3]. Chronic illnesses coupled with normal physiological ageing can have a negative impact on cognition and functional ability. In such patients, the final months of life are often characterised by frailty and increased dependency thus requiring re-evaluation of treatment goals, particularly medications intended to have long-term preventative effects such as lipid lowering drugs, anti-diabetic agents and cognitive enhancing drugs.

Nursing home residents are usually frail, with high levels of functional dependency, multiple co-morbid illnesses and high levels of medication use [4, 5]. In Ireland, 6% of adults aged ≥65 years live in nursing homes, increasing to 12% in those 80 to 84 years and 25% in those over 85 years [6]. In the United States [US], similar figures are seen with approximately 5% of adults’ ≥67 years living in institutional care [7]. These proportions are likely to increase with current demographic trends. Currently, the median length of time from nursing home admission to death in the US is five months and within 1 year of admission, 65% of residents have died [8]. Clearly, the majority of older patients requiring admission to nursing homes have a limited life expectancy compared to those residing in the community. However, this frail group represents some of the highest consumers of prescription medications, despite a clearly reduced likelihood of long-term clinical benefit. The SHELTER study reports the rate of polypharmacy (5 – 9 drugs) and excessive polypharmacy (≥10 drugs) in nursing home residents to be 48.7% and 24.3% respectively [9].

Inappropriate prescribing (IP) is also prevalent in older adults. IP pertains to the mis-prescribing, overprescribing and under prescribing of medications in the context of a person’s co-morbidities, full medication regime, functional and cognitive status as well as treatment goals and life expectancy [10]. In one US study of nursing home residents with dementia, more than half
received at least one daily drug of questionable benefit [11]. Polypharmacy and IP in older adults are linked to adverse drug events (ADEs), which can have a negative impact particularly on frail, multi-morbid nursing home residents [12].

Despite the high prevalence rates of polypharmacy and IP in the nursing home population, there is a paucity of evidence regarding the deprescribing of medications in older frail people with poor survival prognosis. Deprescribing refers to the process of tapering or stopping medications, aimed at reducing polypharmacy and improving patient outcomes. Although healthcare professionals, patients and their relatives all acknowledge the burden of polypharmacy for older people including administration time, adverse effects and cost, all groups display passivity towards deprescribing [13]. General Practitioners (GPs) cite many challenges to deprescribing including organisational factors, suboptimal medical and pharmacy records, limited time and limited training of nursing staff. Consequently, less than half use a consistent approach to deprescribing [14]. The National Institute for health and Care Excellence (NICE) recommend annual medication reviews in care home residents, during which appropriateness of medications should be optimised including deprescribing where necessary [15]. For community dwelling older adults with chronic diseases, no time frame is suggested [16, 17].

Although frailty is sometimes difficult to define, it is common in later life and increases with age [18]. Over 50% of nursing home residents [4] and 17% of community dwelling older adults are considered frail [19]. In the United Kingdom (UK) 14% of hospital admissions have at least one frailty syndrome [20]. Not all patients who are frail have a limited life expectancy, however, numerous studies link frailty to worsening disability, hospitalisation and death [21]. In patients with frailty and limited life expectancy, medication review should primarily focus on deprescribing and symptom management, rather than aggressive preventative strategies.

Numerous explicit prescribing tools aim to guide clinicians on cessation of PIMs including Beers [22], STOPP/START [23] and FORTA criteria [24]. STOPP/START criteria have been shown to improve medication appropriateness [25, 26] and reduce the incidence of adverse drug reactions.
(ADRs) in hospitalised older adults [27]. However, these comprehensive tools are designed to detect common and preventable PIMs in the general older population and not specifically in frailer people with limited life expectancy. Indeed, STOPP/START criteria have limited applicability in this cohort. For instance patients with limited life expectancy would be unlikely to survive long enough to derive benefit from most medication listed in the START criteria. Furthermore, STOPP criteria do not suggest discontinuing major drugs classes that are least likely to have benefits in the last year of life e.g. statins. Therefore, with the accepted need for deprescribing in the frail older population, there is a clear associated need for specific explicit criteria to guide the prescriber. To date, no explicit guidelines exist for deprescribing in frailer older people with limited life expectancy, other than NORGE-P-NH criteria, which are specific to the nursing home population [28].

We aimed to develop an explicit tool, called STOPPFrail, to assist clinicians with deprescribing medications in frailer older adults with limited life expectancy in all healthcare settings.

**Methods**

**Draft STOPPFrail criteria**

The authors, all of whom have recognised expertise in geriatric pharmacotherapy, compiled the initial draft of STOPPFrail indicators and arranged them according to physiological systems, similar to STOPP/START criteria. We then identified the target for whom these criteria would be applicable i.e. (i) end-stage irreversible pathology, (ii) poor one year survival prognosis, (iii) severe physical functional impairment or cognitive impairment of both, (iv) symptom control is the priority rather than prevention of disease. Since the most consistent predictors of mortality are co-morbidities and functional impairment [29], our definition of patients who are appropriate for deprescribing according to STOPPFrail criteria was based on these essential indicators, rather than the presence of specific diseases, such as dementia or cancer. Also Incorporated in the tool are
challenges associated with medication use in this population, such as administration time and physical discomfort, as these have been reported by healthcare professionals, patients and their families to be of concern [13].

Following this, the evidence base for each drug or drug class was checked using the British National Formulary and an extensive literature review, limited to the last 20 years. Literature searches of PubMed, Cinahl and Google Scholar were undertaken. Searches included the drug in question with key words such as “life expectancy”, “frailty”, “older adults”, “poor prognosis”, “deprescribing”, “inappropriate prescribing” and “adverse drug events”. The draft criteria were agreed on a consensus basis by the authors and subsequently distributed to a panel of experts for validation by the Delphi technique [30], an established method for achieving consensus. The Delphi method was used for this research because of the lack of rigorous randomised controlled evidence supporting the long term benefits of preventive drugs in frailer older adults with complex co-morbidities and limited life expectancy; such patients are commonly excluded from clinical trials of drug therapies [31].

Expert panel selection

In June 2015, twenty five experts, were invited to participate in the Delphi process. Panellists were selected on the basis of their recognised academic credentials, clinical practice, experience and geographical diversity. After the study design and aims were explained to each participant, seventeen agreed to participate. The panel consisted of consultant geriatricians [n=6], clinical pharmacologists [n=3], old age psychiatrists [n=1], palliative care physicians [n=3], as well as senior academic primary care physicians [n=2] and clinical pharmacists with an interest in geriatric pharmacotherapy [n=2]. All of the panellists were affiliated with Irish university teaching hospitals (two in Northern Ireland). The panel was provided with an electronic repository containing supporting references for the proposed STOPPFrail criteria. Panellists’ competed the Delphi process between July 2015 and February 2016.
Data Collection and Analysis

Each round was sent to the panellists using an online survey [SurveyMonkey®]. The first Delphi round consisted of 30 criteria. Each criterion was presented in the same format i.e. a drug or drug class deemed potentially inappropriate followed by an explanatory sentence. Panellists rated their agreement with each statement on a 5-point Likert scale, where 5 = strongly agree, 4 = agree, 3 = neutral, 2 = disagree, 1 = strongly disagree, 0 = unable to offer an opinion [32]. In round 1, panellists were also asked to offer suggestions or comments (including new drugs) as appropriate.

Statistical analysis

For each statement, consensus was based on the median Likert response and interquartile range. A median value of 4 or 5 with a 25th centile of ≥4 was accepted for inclusion in the tool i.e. only statements with at least 75% of respondents agreeing or strongly agreeing were included. Proposed criteria with a median value of ≤3 were rejected: those with a median value of 4 or 5 and a 25th centile of < 4 were rephrased in accordance with panellists' suggestions and included in the next Delphi round. Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS® Statistics version 22.

Results

All panellists completed the Delphi validation process in three rounds (Figure 1); 27 criteria comprise the final STOPPFrail tool (Table 1). Full statistical analysis (i.e. the phrasing of criteria and the distribution of the responses for each round) is available in supplementary data.

In round 1, twenty criteria were accepted. The first proposed criterion included in STOPPFrail was a general statement that any drug prescribed without a clinical indication should be discontinued. The remaining 19 criteria accepted included lipid-lowering agents, alpha-blockers for hypertension, neuroleptics, proton pump inhibitors, theophyllines, leukotriene receptor antagonists, selective estrogen receptor modulators, non-steroidal anti-inflammatories, steroids, 5-alpha reductase inhibitors and alpha-blockers in catheterised patients, muscarinic antagonists, diabetic
oral agents, Angiotension-converting-enzyme inhibitors, Angiotensin receptor antagonists, multivitamins and nutritional supplements.

Two criteria were rejected in round 1. The first was the prescription of anticoagulants as a preventative measure. Our research group proposed discontinuation of as we considered that the bleeding risk and cost of treating outweighed the potential benefits to patients in whom cognition and function were poor. Panellists agreed that in the majority of people meeting the criteria for STOPPFrail, anticoagulants should be stopped, however this criterion was rejected due to their concern over the minority of patients in whom stopping anticoagulants would be potentially inappropriate. Specifically, the majority considered that, regardless of frailty and life expectancy, stroke was an unfavourable outcome. Both panellists and the authors agreed that individual clinical judgement should be applied based on individual preferences and priorities with regards to anticoagulation. In recent years, anticoagulation has become easier, safer and more efficient due to novel anticoagulant drugs. Therefore panellists felt that in patients receiving anti-coagulants with minimal side effects, continuation was warranted.

The second criterion rejected in round 1 was the use of anti-depressants in patients with advanced dementia. Reasons for rejection included possible benefits outside anti-depressant effects such as analgesic effects, appetite stimulation and anxiolytic properties. Feedback suggested that cessation in patients with severe dementia was a reasonable approach, but not in all patients with limited life expectancy. Panellists feared that antidepressant therapy could be stopped in patients who derived benefit from treatment and that the risk of relapse outweighed the potential benefit of discontinuation.

Eight criteria were deemed inconclusive after round 1. The first was a general criterion of deprescribing any drug with which patients fail to comply. Feedback suggested that the explanatory sentence should remind users to try all appropriate measures to improve compliance before deprescribing; this criterion was rephrased accordingly for round 2. Other drugs for which there was
uncertainty among the panel were anti-platelets, memantine, acetylcholinesterase inhibitors, H2-receptor antagonists, calcium and vitamin D supplements, bone anti-resorptive/anabolic agents and prophylactic antibiotics. Feedback was incorporated into rephrasing the criteria for round 2.

Panellists agreed with the inclusion of anti-platelet agents, but raised concerns over their cessation when their indication was secondary prevention. Similar to the feedback for anti-coagulation, panellists were concerned about the minority of patients were deprescribing may be inappropriate. It was felt that secondary prevention should incorporate specialist judgement, and that a generalised statement would not be appropriate. Hence it was decided that primary prevention should be the focus of this criterion. Panellists welcomed the inclusion of calcium supplementation and anti-resorptive therapy in STOPPFrail, but asked for clarity around the explanatory sentence i.e. cessation where the indication was osteoporosis and not malignancy.

Evidence is lacking on whether long term use of calcium is beneficial due to methodological flaws in studies and high dropout rates [33]. Patient compliance with calcium supplements is poor; those most likely to be non-compliant have a history of smoking, poor mobility and previous fractures [34]. Anti-resorptive medications are challenging to administer, have a less favourable side effect profile and in some cases have been shown to continue to have clinical benefits after cessation e.g. bisphosphonates. For these reasons the panellists agreed to cessation in those with limited life expectancy,

Consensus could not be reached on two criteria after round 2 i.e. cessation of (i) memantine and (ii) acetylcholinesterase inhibitors in advanced dementia. A third Delphi round was therefore prepared for circulation. In this round, consensus was obtained for memantine and it was included in the STOPPFrail tool. Consensus was not achieved for acetylcholinesterase inhibitors with no trend towards acceptance (Table 2). Panellists reported that the evidence base for acetylcholinesterase inhibitors in advanced dementia was still developing and the possibility that unrecognised benefits existed could not be dismissed. The DOMINO-AD trial was cited to support their exclusion [35, 36].
This trial suggests that in patients where acetylcholinesterase inhibitors are stopped, the admission rate to nursing homes in the following year is increased compared to those who continue acetylcholinesterase inhibitors. However, this difference is only seen in the first year following cessation. After three rounds, no additional concerns were raised by the panel and it was decided by the authors not to proceed to thus a fourth Delphi round was deemed unnecessary.

The final consensus STOPPFrail criteria are presented in table 1. An explanatory sentence to aid the decision to deprescribe the medication in question is present for clarification purposes, particularly to guide deprescribing drugs which cannot be stopped abruptly i.e. neuroleptics and long term steroids.

**Discussion**

STOPPFrail is an explicit list of 27 PIMs in frail older adults with limited life expectancy. The criteria are not designed to replace clinical judgement, but rather to assist clinicians with medication reviews and assessment of treatment goals in this specific patient cohort. Recognition of those patients to whom STOPPFrail is applicable may be challenging for less experienced physicians; in these circumstances, the use of simple mortality predictive tools may be helpful to guide life expectancy e.g. the Walter Index [37] or the CIRS-geriatric scale [38]. However, we anticipate that the majority of clinicians who will use this tool will be experienced in recognising patients who are appropriate for its application i.e. general practitioners or senior hospital specialists with prognostic knowledge of the diseases they manage. In the interest of simplicity and for the tool to be user friendly we did not want STOPPFrail to be contingent on the use of another tool to determine eligibility.

Polypharmacy is a well described problem in this cohort. This research aims to put a framework on the guiding principle of deprescribing in late life i.e. that the benefits of many preventive medications are negligible in those with a limited life expectancy. Although many IP explicit tools exist, there has been an unmet need for a concise explicit tool to assist deprescribing in
this specific patient cohort. STOPPFrail is short tool, focusing on 27 key indicators, suggesting that it will be easy to use, time efficient and therefore more likely to be implemented. Like STOPP/START criteria [23], STOPPFrail criteria are listed according to physiological system, thereby allowing users to structure their approach to deprescribing. We aimed for a concise set of criteria that can be easily deployed in paper and electronic format. Electronic application medication assessment criteria is challenging and the discussion of their potential benefits and implementation is beyond the scope of this paper. However, electronic implementation of the STOPP/START criteria is the focus of the SENATOR clinical trial (39), currently recruiting patients, and similarly there is the potential for the electronic implementation of STOPPFrail criteria.

Developing this tool required discussing many controversial treatments e.g. hypertension. The authors and panellists agreed that a generalised statement about discontinuing all anti-hypertensives would be contentious. Therefore, it was decided to focus on the drug class least likely to be prescribed as a first line agent and most likely to cause orthostatic hypotension and falls in an older cohort i.e. alpha-blockers.

Inevitably, explicit STOPPFrail criteria will be compared with implicit deprescribing criteria designed for use in older populations, such as the Garfinkel algorithm [40] and the CEASE criteria [41, 42], which have small-scale clinical trial evidence to support their efficacy. Despite this evidence, implicit criteria for prescribing and deprescribing have not come into routine clinical practice. It remains to be seen whether STOPPFrail, as the first systematic set of explicit deprescribing criteria designed specifically for older people with advanced frailty and poor survival prognosis, holds a greater likelihood of being applied in the routine clinical situation than implicit criteria sets.

Finally appropriate use of STOPPFrail criteria may have pharmaco-economic benefits. Older frail adults with a poor survival prognosis account for a growing proportion of the population and a disproportionately high level of medication consumption. Implementation of safe, evidence-based deprescribing in this population, may improve patients’ quality of life through reduced ADEs, related
hospitalisations and mortality. The true value of STOPPFrail will need to be tested by means of randomised controlled trials examining its impact as an intervention on patient quality of life, healthcare utilization, medication costs and mortality.
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**Table 1: Final STOPPfrail criteria**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STOPP frail is a list of potentially inappropriate prescribing indicators designed to assist physicians with stopping such medications in older patients [≥ 65 years] who meet ALL of the criteria listed below:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. End-stage irreversible pathology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Poor one year survival prognosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Severe functional impairment or severe cognitive impairment or both</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Symptom control is the priority rather than prevention of disease progression</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**The decision to prescribe/not prescribe medications to the patient, should also be influenced by the following issues:**

1. Risk of the medication outweighing the benefit
2. Administration of the medication is challenging
3. Monitoring of the medication effect is challenging
4. Drug adherence/compliance is difficult

---

**Section A: General**

**A1.** Any drug that the patient persistently fails to take or tolerate despite adequate education and consideration of all appropriate formulations.

**A2.** Any drug without clear clinical indication.

---

**Section B: Cardiovascular system**

**B1.** Lipid lowering therapies [statins, ezetimibe, bile acid sequestrants, fibrates, nicotinic acid and acipimox]

These medications need to be prescribed for a long duration to be of benefit. For short-term use, the risk of adverse drug events [ADEs] outweighs the potential benefits [43, 44, 45]

---

**Section C: Coagulation system**

**C1.** Anti-platelets
Avoid anti-platelet agents for primary [as distinct from secondary] cardiovascular prevention [no evidence of benefit] [47]

---

**Section D: Central Nervous System**

**D1.** Neuroleptic antipsychotics
Aim to reduce dose and gradually discontinue these drugs in patients taking them for longer than 12 weeks if there are no current clinical features of behavioural and psychiatric symptoms of dementia [BPSD] [48, 49, 50, 51, 52]

**D2.** Memantine
Discontinue and monitor in patients with moderate to severe dementia, unless memantine has clearly improved behavioural and psychological symptoms of dementia [BPSD] [specifically in frail patients who meet the criteria above] [53, 54, 55, 56]

---

**Section E: Gastrointestinal System**

**E1.** Proton Pump Inhibitors
Proton Pump Inhibitors at full therapeutic dose ≥ 8/52, unless persistent dyspeptic symptoms at lower maintenance dose [57]

**E2.** H2 receptor antagonist
H2 receptor antagonist at full therapeutic dose for ≥ 8/52, unless persistent dyspeptic symptoms at lower maintenance dose [57]

---

**Section F: Respiratory System**

**F1.** Theophylline
This drug has a narrow therapeutic index, requires monitoring of serum levels and interacts with other commonly prescribed drugs putting patients at an increased risk of ADEs [58, 59, 60]

---

**Section G: Musculoskeletal System**

**G1.** Calcium supplementation
Unlikely to be of any benefit in the short term

**G2.** Anti-resorptive/bone anabolic drugs FOR OSTEOPOROSIS [bisphosphonates, strontium, teriparatide, denosumab]
Unlikely to be of any benefit in the short term

**G3.** Selective Estrogen Receptor Modulators [SERMs] for osteoporosis
Benefits unlikely to be achieved within 1 year, increased short-intermediate term risk of associated ADEs particularly venous thrombosis and stroke [57]

**G4.** Long-term oral NSAIDs
Increased risk of side effects [peptic ulcer disease, bleeding, worsening heart failure etc.] when taken regularly for ≥ 2 months [62, 63, 64]

**G5.** Long-term oral steroids
Increased risk of side effects [peptic ulcer disease etc.] when taken regularly for ≥ 2 months. Consider careful dose reduction and gradual discontinuation [65]

---

**Section H: Urogenital System**

**H1.** 5-alpha reductase inhibitors
No benefit with long term urinary bladder catheterisation [66, 67]

**H2.** Alpha blockers
No benefit with long term urinary bladder catheterisation [66, 67]

**H3.** Muscarinic antagonists
No benefit with long term urinary bladder catheterisation, unless clear history of painful detrusor hyperactivity [66, 67]

---

**Section I: Endocrine System**

**I1.** Diabetic oral agents
Aim for monotherapy. Target of HbA1c <8% /64mmol/mol. Stringent glycaemic control is unnecessary [68]

**I2.** ACE-Inhibitors for diabetes
Stop where prescribed only for prevention and treatment of diabetic nephropathy. There is no clear benefit in older people with advanced frailty with poor survival prognosis [69]

---

**Section J: Miscellaneous**

**J1.** Multi-vitamin combination supplements
Discontinue when prescribed for prophylaxis rather than treatment

**J2.** Nutritional supplements [other than vitamins]
Discontinue when prescribed for prophylaxis rather than treatment [70]

**J3.** Prophylactic Antibiotics
No firm evidence for prophylactic antibiotics to prevent recurrent cellulitis or UTIs [71, 72, 73]

---

**Disclaimer (STOPPfrail)**

Whilst every effort has been made to ensure that the potentially inappropriate prescribing criteria listed in STOPPfrail are accurate and evidence-based, it is emphasised that the final decision to avoid or initiate any drug referred to in these criteria rests entirely with the prescriber. It is also to be noted that the evidence base underlying certain criteria in STOPPfrail may change after the time of publication of these criteria. Therefore, it is advisable that prescribing decisions should take account of current published evidence in support of or against the use of drugs or drug classes described in STOPPfrail.
Table 2: Acetyl Cholinesterase Inhibitors Delphi Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acetyl Cholinesterase Inhibitor</th>
<th>Round 1</th>
<th>Round 2</th>
<th>Round 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>4.000</td>
<td>4.000</td>
<td>4.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25(^{th}) centile</td>
<td>3.250</td>
<td>3.000</td>
<td>3.250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: Flow chart of Delphi Process
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Table 1: Round 1 results

STOPT frail is a list of potentially inappropriate prescribing indicators designed to assist physicians with stopping such medications in older patients (≥ 65 years) who meet ALL of the criteria listed below:

- End-stage irreversible pathology
- Poor Prognosis
- Severe functional impairment or severe cognitive impairment or both
- Symptom control is the priority rather than prevention of disease progression

The decision to prescribe/not prescribe medications to the patient, should also be influenced by the following issues:

- Risk of the medication outweighing the benefit
- Administration of the medication is challenging
- Monitoring of the medication effect is challenging
- Drug adherence/compliance is difficult

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria sent to panel</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>25th centile</th>
<th>75th Centile</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section A: General</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1. Any drug that the patient persistently fails to comply with for any reason</td>
<td>4.000</td>
<td>3.500</td>
<td>5.000</td>
<td>Inconclusive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2. Any drug without clear clinical indication</td>
<td>5.000</td>
<td>5.000</td>
<td>5.000</td>
<td>Accepted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section B: Cardiology System</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1. Lipid lowering therapies (statins, ezetimibe, bile acid sequestrants, fibrates, nicotinic acid and acipimox)</td>
<td>5.000</td>
<td>4.000</td>
<td>5.000</td>
<td>Accepted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>These medications need to be prescribed for a long duration to be of benefit. For short-term use, the risk of adverse drug events outweighs the potential benefits.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2. Alpha-blockers for hypertension</td>
<td>5.000</td>
<td>4.000</td>
<td>5.000</td>
<td>Accepted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stringent blood pressure control is not required in very frail older people. Alpha blockers in particular can cause marked vasodilatation, which can result in marked postural hypotension, falls and injuries.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Section C: Coagulation System

**C1. Anticoagulants (warfarin/novel oral anticoagulants)**
Anticoagulation as a preventative measure (e.g. with atrial fibrillation) as distinct from treatment of acute venous thromboembolic (VTE) disease.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.000</th>
<th>2.000</th>
<th>4.000</th>
<th>Rejected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**C2. Anti-platelet agents**
No role for anti-platelet agents in primary cardiovascular prevention, only beneficial for secondary cardiovascular prevention, therefore discontinue unless there is a previous history of ischaemic heart disease, cerebrovascular disease or arterial stent insertion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4.000</th>
<th>3.000</th>
<th>5.000</th>
<th>Inconclusive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Section D: Central Nervous System

**D1. Memantine**
Discontinue unless it has been prescribed for behavioural and psychological symptoms of dementia (BPSD) in patients with Alzheimers disease and has been shown to improve symptoms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4.000</th>
<th>2.250</th>
<th>5.000</th>
<th>Inconclusive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**D2. Acetylcholinesterase inhibitors**
There is no significant clinical benefit from continuation of these drugs in those with advanced Alzheimers disease (Mini-Mental State Examination score <10/30 and functionally dependent). No role in other dementia syndromes in the advanced stages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4.000</th>
<th>3.250</th>
<th>5.000</th>
<th>Inconclusive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**D3. Anti-depressants**
There is no proven role for anti-depressants in advanced dementia (MMSE <10/30 and functionally dependent).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.000</th>
<th>2.000</th>
<th>4.000</th>
<th>Rejected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**D4. Neuroleptic antipsychotics**
Aim to reduce dose and discontinue these drugs in patients taking them for longer than 12 weeks if there are no current clinical features of behavioural and psychiatric symptoms of dementia (BPSD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5.000</th>
<th>4.000</th>
<th>5.000</th>
<th>Accepted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Section E: Gastrointestinal System

**E1. Proton Pump Inhibitors**
Proton Pump Inhibitors at full therapeutic dose ≥ 8/52, unless persistent dyspeptic symptoms at lower maintenance dose.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4.000</th>
<th>4.000</th>
<th>5.000</th>
<th>Accepted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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### Section E: Gastrointestinal System

**E2. H2 Receptor Antagonists**

H2 Receptor Antagonists at full therapeutic dose for ≥ 8/52, unless persistent dyspeptic symptoms or symptoms reoccur after discontinuation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.000</td>
<td>Inconclusive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**E3. Gastrointestinal antispasmodics**

Regular daily prescription of gastrointestinal antispasmodics agents unless the patient has frequent relapse of colic symptoms because of high risk of anti-cholinergic side effects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.000</td>
<td>Accepted</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Section F: Respiratory System

**F1. Theophylline**

This drug has a narrow therapeutic index, requires monitoring of serum levels and interacts with other commonly prescribed drugs putting patients at an increased risk of adverse drug events (ADEs).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.000</td>
<td>Accepted</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**F2. Leukotriene antagonists (Montelukast, Zafirlukast)**

These drugs have no proven role in COPD, they are indicated only in asthma.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.000</td>
<td>Accepted</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Section G: Musculoskeletal System

**G1. Calcium and vitamin D supplementation**

Unlikely to be of any benefit in the short term.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.000</td>
<td>Inconclusive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**G2. Anti-resorptive/bone anabolic drugs for osteoporosis (bisphosphonates, strontium, teriparatide, denosumab)**

Benefits unlikely to be achieved within 1 year, increased short-intermediate term risk of associated adverse drug events.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.000</td>
<td>Inconclusive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**G3. Selective Estrogen Receptor Modulators (SERMs) for osteoporosis**

Benefits unlikely to be achieved within 1 year, increased short-intermediate term risk of associated ADEs particularly venous thromboembolism and stroke.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.000</td>
<td>Accepted</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**G4. Long-term oral NSAIDs**

Increased risk of side effects (peptic ulcer disease, bleeding, worsening heart failure etc.) when taken regularly for ≥ 2 months.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.000</td>
<td>Accepted</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**G5. Long-term oral steroids**
Increased risk of side effects (peptic ulcer disease etc.) when taken regularly for ≥ 2 months. Consider careful dose reduction and discontinuation.

### Section H: Urogenital System

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>H1. 5-alpha reductase inhibitors</strong></td>
<td>No benefit with long term urinary bladder catheterisation.</td>
<td>5.000 4.000 5.000 Accepted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>H2. Alpha blockers with urinary catheter</strong></td>
<td>No benefit with long term urinary bladder catheterisation.</td>
<td>5.000 4.000 5.000 Accepted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>H3. Muscarinic antagonists</strong></td>
<td>No benefit with long term urinary bladder catheterisation, unless clear history of painful detrusor hyperactivity.</td>
<td>5.000 4.000 5.000 Accepted</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Section I: Endocrine System

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>I1. Diabetic oral agents</strong></td>
<td>Aim for monotherapy. Target of HbA1c &lt;8%/64mmol/mol. Stringent glycaemic control is unnecessary</td>
<td>4.000 4.000 4.500 Accepted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>I2. ACE-Inhibitors for diabetes</strong></td>
<td>Stop where prescribed only for prevention and treatment of diabetic nephropathy. There is no clear benefit in older people with advanced frailty with poor survival prognosis.</td>
<td>4.000 4.000 5.000 Accepted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>I3. Angiotensin Receptor Blockers (ARBs)</strong></td>
<td>Stop where prescribed only for prevention and treatment of diabetic nephropathy. There is no clear benefit in older people with advanced frailty with poor survival prognosis.</td>
<td>4.000 4.000 5.000 Accepted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>I4. Systemic oestrogens for menopausal symptoms</strong></td>
<td>Increases risk of stroke and VTE disease. Discontinue and only consider recommencing if recurrence of Symptoms.</td>
<td>4.000 4.000 5.000 Accepted</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Section J: Miscellaneous

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>J1. Multi-vitamin combination supplements</strong></td>
<td>Discontinue when prescribed for prophylaxis rather than treatment.</td>
<td>5.000 4.000 5.000 Accepted</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
J2. Nutritional supplements (other than vitamins)
Discontinue when prescribed for prophylaxis rather than treatment.

J3. Prophylactic antibiotics
No firm evidence for a role for prophylactic antibiotics for recurrent cellulitis or recurrent UTI.

Round 2 Results

STOPP frail is a list of potentially inappropriate prescribing indicators designed to assist physicians with stopping such medications in older patients (≥ 65 years) who meet ALL of the criteria listed below:

- End-stage irreversible pathology
- Poor Prognosis
- Severe functional impairment or severe cognitive impairment or both
- Symptom control is the priority rather than prevention of disease progression

The decision to prescribe/not prescribe medications to the patient, should also be influenced by the following issues:

- Risk of the medication outweighing the benefit
- Administration of the medication is challenging
- Monitoring of the medication effect is challenging
- Drug adherence/compliance is difficult

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria sent to panel</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>25th centile</th>
<th>75th centile</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section A: General</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1. Any drug that the patient persistently fails to take or tolerate despite adequate education and consideration of all appropriate formulations</td>
<td>5.000</td>
<td>4.000</td>
<td>5.000</td>
<td>Accepted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section C: Coagulation System</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2. Anti-platelet agents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Avoid anti-platelet agents for primary (as distinct from secondary) cardiovascular prevention (no evidence of benefit).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section D: Central Nervous System</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>D1. Memantine</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discontinue and monitor in patients with moderate to severe dementia, unless memantine has clearly improved BPSD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>D2. Acetylcholinesterase inhibitors</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discontinue and monitor in patients with severe dementia.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section E: Gastrointestinal System</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>E2. H2 Receptor Antagonists</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2 Receptor Antagonists at full therapeutic dose for ≥ 8/52, unless persistent dyspeptic symptoms at lower maintenance dose.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section G: Musculoskeletal System</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>G1. Calcium supplementation</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unlikely to be of any benefit in the short term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>G2. Anti-resorptive/bone anabolic drugs FOR OSTEOPOROSIS (bisphosphonates, strontium, teriparatide, denosumab)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section J: Miscellaneous</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>J3. Prophylactic antibiotics</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No firm evidence for prophylactic antibiotics to prevent recurrent cellulitis or UTI.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Round 3 Results

STOPP frail is a list of potentially inappropriate prescribing indicators designed to assist physicians with stopping such medications in older patients (≥ 65 years) who meet ALL of the criteria listed below:

- End-stage irreversible pathology
- Poor Prognosis
- Severe functional impairment or severe cognitive impairment or both
- Symptom control is the priority rather than prevention of disease progression

The decision to prescribe/not prescribe medications to the patient, should also be influenced by the following issues:

- Risk of the medication outweighing the benefit
- Administration of the medication is challenging
- Monitoring of the medication effect is challenging
- Drug adherence/compliance is difficult

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria sent to Panel</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>25th centile</th>
<th>75th centile</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section D: Central Nervous System</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1: Memantine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discontinue and monitor in patients with moderate to severe dementia, unless memantine has clearly improved behavioural and psychological symptoms of dementia (BPSD) (specifically in frail patients who meet the criteria above)</td>
<td>4.000</td>
<td>4.000</td>
<td>5.000</td>
<td>Accepted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2. Acetylcholinesterase inhibitors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discontinue and monitor in patients with severe dementia (specifically in frail patients who meet the criteria above)</td>
<td>4.000</td>
<td>3.250</td>
<td>5.000</td>
<td>Inconclusive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Supplementary References


34. Castelo-Branco C, Cortés, Ferrer M. Treatment persistence and compliance with a combination of calcium and vitamin D. Climacteric. 2010; 13: 578-584


57. BNF. BNF 67 The Authority on the Selection and Use of Medicines. March - September 2014.


