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ABSTRACT

We present a computational model of the Hammond tonewheel organ vibrato/chorus, a musical audio effect comprising an LC ladder circuit and an electromechanical scanner. We model the LC ladder circuit using the Wave Digital Filter (WDF) formalism, and introduce a new approach to resolving multiple nonadaptable linear elements at the root of a WDF tree. Additionally we formalize how to apply the well-known warped Bilinear Transform to WDF discretization of capacitors and inductors and review WDF polarity inverters. To model the scanner we propose a simplified and physically-informed approach. We discuss the time- and frequency-domain behavior of the model, emphasizing the spectral properties of interpolation between the taps of the LC ladder.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Hammond tonewheel organ’s vibrato/chorus\(^1\) (Fig. 1, Table 1) is a crucial ingredient of its unique sound. Its sonic character is highly valued by musicians, having even been made into a guitar effect \(^2\). The vibrato/chorus consists of an LC ladder circuit (Fig. 1) and an electromechanical “scanner” \(^3\), with three user-selectable “vibrato” (V1, V2, V3) and “chorus” (C1, C2, C3) settings. In this paper, we introduce a model of the Hammond organ vibrato/chorus comprising a Wave Digital Filter (WDF)\(^4\) model of the LC ladder circuit and a simplified model of the scanner.

WDF theory was originally developed to facilitate the design of digital filters based on analog ladder prototypes\(^5\). In that context, the low coefficient sensitivity of these prototypes leads to attractive numerical properties in the WDF. Recent years have seen an expansion of the use of WDFs into new fields including virtual analog circuit modeling\(^6\). Interestingly, ladder topologies also show up in electro-mechanical equivalent circuit models of the torsional modes of spring vibration relevant to spring reverb units\(^7\), another effect common in Hammond organs.

Modeling the Hammond organ LC ladder as a WDF presents an issue that suggests an extension to WDF theory, and an opportunity to discuss finer points of polarity handling and reactance discretization. First, the ladder circuit has two non-adaptable linear elements (a voltage source and a switch), one more than classical WDF methods can handle. To address this, we extend the method of\(^8\) to the case of multiple linear nonadaptable elements at the root of a WDF tree. Second, the circuit’s 36 reactances create magnitude responses with numerous salient features. We apply the well-known frequency-warped bilinear transform to the wave-digital capacitor and inductor to help control magnitude response matching. Finally, polarity bookkeeping of port connections and the 19 outputs of the LC ladder is non-trivial. Since it is essential to get each port’s polarity correct and to simplify the calculation of node voltages, we review the derivation of wave-digital polarity inverters and illustrate their systematic use.

Although the vibrato/chorus has not been studied in the virtual analog context, there exists extensive related work on modeling other aspects of the complex and pleasingly idiosyncratic sound of the Hammond organ. For the practicing musician, a series of five Sound on Sound articles (beginning with\(^9\)) details how to mimic each sub-system of the Hammond from tonewheel to Leslie speaker using standard synthesis tools.\(^10\) points out the difficulty of emulating the vibrato/chorus using a standard digital chorus. Numerous commercial emulations known as “clonewheel organs” have been released over the years. Academic papers have covered various aspects of the Hammond sound. Pekonen et al.\(^11\) propose efficient models of the organ’s basic apparatus including tonewheels draw-bars. More abstractly, a novel “Hammondizer” effect by Werner and Abel\(^12\) imprints the sonic characteristics of the organ onto any input audio, extending effect processing\(^13\) within a modal reverberator framework\(^14\). An important part of the organ’s sound, the Leslie rotating speaker\(^15\) has been the subject of the majority of Hammond-related academic work. Its simulation has been tackled using a perceptual approach\(^16\), modulated and interpolated delay lines\(^17\)\(^18\), Doppler shift and amplitude modulation\(^19\)\(^20\), a measurement-based black box approach\(^21\), and spectral delay filters\(^22\).

The paper is structured as follows. Section 2 details the Hammond vibrato/chorus. Section 3 presents a simplified model of the scanner. Section 4 presents a WDF model of the LC ladder circuit. Section 5 characterizes these models.

2. REFERENCE SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

This section details the Hammond Organ vibrato/chorus, which includes a LC ladder circuit (Fig. 1, bottom, Section 2.1) and an electromechanical “scanner” apparatus (Fig. 1, top, Section 2.2). The gray box on Fig. 1 represents a bank of switches that connect the tap node voltages \(v_1 \cdots v_{19}\) on the ladder to the terminals \(t_1 \cdots t_9\) on the scanner. The setting (V1/V2/V3/C1/C2/C3) controls these switches according to Table 2.

In principle, the LC ladder serves the same purpose as the delay line in a standard digital chorus effect\(^23\). The LC ladder differs from a delay line in that the LC ladder is not strictly unidirectional and that it filters as it delays a signal. This filtering features pronounced non-uniform passband ripples and a lowpass cutoff that depends on the inductor and capacitor values.

On the other hand, the scanner serves the same purpose as interpolation in a standard digital modulated-delay effect\(^24\). Stan-

---

\(^1\)We study the version used in late-model Hammond B-3s\(^1\)

\(^2\)https://ccrma.stanford.edu/ jos/pasp/Leslie.html
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2.1. LC Ladder Circuit

The input signal is represented as an ideal voltage source $v_{in}$. 19 LC ladder stages are composed of inductors $L_1 \cdots L_{19}$, capacitors $C_1 \cdots C_{19}$, and voltage divider pairs $R_{k+}$ and $R_{k-}$, $k \in [1 \cdots 6]$. A termination resistor $R_t$ ends the ladder. A switch controls whether $R_t$ is shorted or not. Electrical component values for the circuit are given in Table 1.

This highly structured circuit is partitioned into four subcircuits as shown in Fig. 2. The first subcircuit includes $v_{in}$, $R_t$, and the switch and presents a port “D” to the rest of the circuit.

The second subcircuit has 6 stages indexed by $x \in [1 \cdots 6]$; inductor $L_x$, capacitor $C_x$, and voltage divider pair $R_{x+}$ and $R_{x-}$. The tap node voltage $v_x$ is the output of each stage. Each stage presents a left-facing ("$x$, $l$") and right-facing ("$x$, $r$") port to the rest of the circuit. Ports “$D$" and “$l$” are connected and the 5 port pairs “($k+1$, $l$)” and “$k$, $r$”, $k \in [2 \cdots 6]$ are connected.

The third subcircuit has 12 stages indexed by $y \in [7 \cdots 18]$; inductor $L_y$ and capacitor $C_y$. The tap node voltage $v_y$ is the output of each stage. Each stage presents a left-facing ("$y$, $l$") and right-facing ("$y$, $r$") port to the rest of the circuit. Ports “$6$, $r$” and “$7$, $l$” are connected and the 11 port pairs “($k+1$, $l$)” and “$k$, $r$”, $k \in [7 \cdots 17]$ are connected.

The fourth subcircuit is simply the termination resistance $R_t$ that presents port $t$ to the rest of the circuit and has the tap node voltage $v_{19}$ as an output. Ports “18”, $r$” and “$t$” are connected.

2.2. Scanner Device

The vibrato scanner consists of a moving rotor with node voltage $v_{out}$ that cyclically scans a stack of keystone-shaped output plates across 16 fixed stacks of identical plates arranged in a circle. At any given time, 2 of these 16 stacks partially overlap the rotor stack, forming two capacitors whose capacitances are pro-

Table 1: Component values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>value</th>
<th>units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$R_t$</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>kΩ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_1$</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>kΩ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_2$</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>kΩ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_3$</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>kΩ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_4$</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>kΩ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_5$</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>MΩ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_6$</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>kΩ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_1$</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>kΩ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_2$</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>MΩ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_3$</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>mH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_4$</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>μF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_5$</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>μF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: Vibrato/Chorus Schematic.

Figure 2: Vibrato/Chorus Schematic Partitioned.
portional to the overlapping area between each fixed stack and the rotor stack. Conceptually, these two capacitances form a time-varying capacitive voltage divider, which crosseshades between the node voltages of these 2 stacks. The 16 fixed plate stacks are connected to the 9 terminals \( t_1 \cdots t_9 \) which sets their respective node voltages to the node voltages of the corresponding terminals. As the rotor undergoes a complete rotation, it “scans” from \( t_1 \) to \( t_9 \) and back. The “there-and-back-again” form of the tap gains produces various cyclic vibrato effects.

3. SCANNER MODEL

In this section, we propose a simplified model of the scanner. Since the scanner capacitances are small compared to the ladder capacitors, it is reasonable to assume that they don’t load the ladder circuit. Therefore, we are justified in modeling the LC ladder circuit. Fig. 3 shows the partitioned schematic rearranged to highlight the underlying topology (with polarities labeled) and Fig. 5 shows the resulting WDF structure.

The 6 stages in the second subcircuit can be decomposed into standard WDF one-ports \((R_{L_x}, R_{L_y}, L_{x}, C_{x})\) and adaptors \((S_x, S_y, P_x, P_y)\). The presence of the two inverters \( I_x \) and \( I_y \) warrants explanation. We have already assigned polarities to the ports which connect stages, and series and parallel adaptors have inherent port polarities. Inverters \( I_x \) reconcile the discrepancy between the polarity of the right-facing port of each parallel adaptor \( P_x \) and the left-facing port of \( P_{x+1} \) or \( S_y \). Inverters \( I_y \) simplify the extraction of node voltages \( v_y \), which are calculated by combining port voltages across resistors \( R_e \) and \( R_{e-} \) as

\[
v_x = v_c = v_{x-} = \frac{1}{2} (a_c + b_c + a_{x-} + b_{x-}) ,
\]

where \( v_c, a_c, \) and \( b_c \) are the port voltage across, incident wave, and reflected wave at resistor \( R_c \) in the first subcircuit.

The 12 stages in the third subcircuit can be decomposed into standard WDF one-ports \((L_y, C_y)\) and adaptors \((S_y, P_y)\). Again inverters \( I_y \) reconcile the discrepancy between the polarity of the right-facing port of each \( P_y \) and the left-facing port of \( S_{y+1} \) or \( R_y \). Node voltages \( v_y \) in this subcircuit are extracted by combining the port voltages of resistor \( R_y \) and the left-facing port voltage of each stage \( v_{y,l} \) as

\[
v_y = v_c = v_{y,l} = \frac{1}{2} (a_c + b_c + a_{y,l} + b_{y,l}) .
\]

The fourth subcircuit is decomposed simply into a WDF resistor \( R_4 \). Node voltage \( v_{19} \) is extracted by

\[
v_{19} = v_c = v_{19} = \frac{1}{2} (a_c + b_c + a_{1} + b_{1}) .
\]

4. WDF MODEL OF LC LADDER

In this section, we detail WDF simulation of the LC ladder circuit. The structure for subcircuits two, three, and four is outlined in Section 4.1. The first subcircuit contains multiple nonadaptable linear elements and cannot be handled with standard WDF techniques. We introduce a new approach to resolving this issue in Section 4.2 and apply it to the LC ladder in Section 4.3. In Section 4.4, we use the frequency-warped bilinear transform for WDF discretization of capacitors and inductors; in Section 4.5, we review WDF polarity inverters which are essential for proper bookkeeping.

4.1. WDF Tree (Subcircuits 2–4)

To model the LC ladder circuit, we derive the WDF structure of its circuit. Fig. 4 shows the partitioned schematic rearranged to highlight the underlying topology (with polarities labeled) and Fig. 5 shows the resulting WDF structure.

To model the LC ladder circuit, we derive the WDF structure of its circuit. Fig. 4 shows the partitioned schematic rearranged to highlight the underlying topology (with polarities labeled) and Fig. 5 shows the resulting WDF structure.
The vector of nonadaptable linear elements relates the incident waves \( a_{\text{root}} \) and inputs \( x_{\text{root}} \) to reflected waves \( b_{\text{root}} \) by

\[
    b_{\text{root}} = \Phi a_{\text{root}} + \Psi x_{\text{root}},
\]

(5)

where \( \Phi \) and \( \Psi \) embody the wave-domain behavior of the linear elements. \( a_{\text{root}} \) and \( b_{\text{root}} \) are related to the \( a_i \) and \( b_i \) by

\[
    a_{\text{root}} = a_i \quad \text{and} \quad b_{\text{root}} = b_i.
\]

(6)

Combining (4), (5), and (6) and solving for \( b_i \) yields

\[
    b_i = \Gamma a_i + \Theta x_{\text{root}} \quad \text{with} \quad \Gamma = \Theta S_{12} + S_{22}, \quad \Theta = S_{21} (I - \Phi S_{11})^{-1} \Psi. \quad (7)
\]

### 4.3. WDF Root (Subcircuit 1)

Here, we apply the theory developed in Section 4.2 to the first subcircuit of the Hammond vibrato/chorus. The first subcircuit contains two non-adaptable elements, a voltage source and a switch. As a result, those two elements need to be grouped at the root of the WDF structure following the method outlined in Section 4.2 connecting them through an \( R \)-type adaptor [27] with incident and reflected waves

\[
    a = [a_i^{T} \ a_e^{T}]^{T} \quad \text{and} \quad b = [b_i^{T} \ b_e^{T}]^{T}, \quad \text{(8)}
\]

Figure 4: LC ladder Schematic, rearranged towards WDF

(a) Highlight WDF structure

(b) Thévenin equivalents attached.

Figure 5: WDF structure of LC ladder.

(a) WDF structure

(b) Root Waves.
Having solved the issue of realizing the WDF, we now turn our attention to the discrete-time schemes for its realizations. The LC ladder’s 36 reactances combine to create magnitude responses with numerous salient features, especially a sharp lowpass cutoff. To control the magnitude response’s match to the reference domain, we apply the well-known frequency-warped bilinear transform to the wave-digital capacitor and inductor.

WDFs involve one-port ideal linear reactances: the capacitor (of capacitance \( C \)) and inductor (of inductance \( L \)). Their current-voltage relationships are:

\[
C \frac{dv}{dt} = i(t) \quad \text{and} \quad v(t) = L \frac{di}{dt}
\]

where \( v \) is the port voltage, and \( i \) is the port current. Their corresponding Laplace transforms are:

\[
CsV(s) = \mathcal{L}(s) \quad \text{and} \quad V(s) = \frac{Ls}{R} \mathcal{L}(s).
\]

Plugging in the standard WDF voltage-wave definitions

\[
a = v + Ri \quad \text{and} \quad b = v - Ri
\]

parameterized by arbitrary port resistance \( R \) yields continuous-time transfer functions \( H(s) = B(s)/A(s) \):

\[
H_C(s) = \frac{1 - RCs}{1 + RCs} \quad \text{and} \quad H_L(s) = \frac{R - Ls}{R + Ls}
\]

To simulate the system, we discretize reactive elements to obtain \( H(z^{-1}) = B(z^{-1})/A(z^{-1}) \) for each. WDFs commonly use the bilinear transform (BLT) \( \Omega \) which substitutes \( \frac{2z^{-1}}{1+z^{-1}} \) for \( s \) in \( H(s) \) to form \( H(z^{-1}) \) (\( T \) is the sampling period). The BLT’s desirable numerical properties include transfer function order preservation, unconditional stability, and passivity in the WDF domain, but it suffers from a well-known frequency distortion \( \Omega \).

A common extension to the BLT is the warped (or generalized) BLT which is identical except for the warped BLT’s desirable numerical properties include transfer function order preservation, unconditional stability, and passivity in the WDF domain, but it suffers from a well-known frequency distortion \( \Omega \).

One-port linear reactances have a first-order continuous-time transfer function, so the warped BLT yields a first-order transfer function in discrete time with \( z \)-transform

\[
H(z^{-1}) = \frac{B(z^{-1})}{A(z^{-1})} = \frac{\beta_0 + \beta_1 z^{-1}}{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 z^{-1}}.
\]
For a capacitor $C$ and inductor $L$, these coefficients are:

$$C: \beta_0 = \alpha_1 = \frac{T'}{2C} - R, \quad \beta_1 = \alpha_0 = \frac{T'}{2C} + R$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)$$
$$L: \beta_0 = -\alpha_1 = R + \frac{2L}{T'}, \quad \beta_1 = -\alpha_0 = R - \frac{2L}{T'}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

To eliminate delay-free loops, all one-port leaf elements of a WDF require adaptation: picking a value of $R$ that satisfies $\beta_0 = 0$. The port impedances that adapt a capacitor and inductor are

$$R_C = T'/(2C) \quad \text{and} \quad R_L = 2L/T'$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)

which yield discretized transfer functions

$$H_C(z^{-1}) = z^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad H_L(z^{-1}) = -z^{-1}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (25)

Interestingly, the discretized transfer functions of the capacitor and inductor do not depend on $C$, $L$, or $T'$. However, all of these do affect their adapted port resistance.

- **5.4. Wave-Digital Inverter**

We saw above that wave-digital polarity inverters must necessarily be employed for proper bookkeeping of port connection polarity and to simplify the calculation of node voltages. Here, we review the derivation of those inverters.

Consider two connected ports 1 and 2 with port voltages $v_1$ and $v_2$ and port currents $i_1$ and $i_2$; these ports can be connected in two ways. In the Kirchhoff domain, a two-port parallel connection is characterized by $v_1 = v_2$ and $i_1 = -i_2$; and a two-port series connection by $i_1 = i_2$ and $v_1 = -v_2$. Plugging in the standard WDF voltage wave definition (18) yields a scattering relationship

$$\begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 811 & 812 \\ 821 & 822 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix},$$  \hspace{1cm} (26)

parameterized by the two port resistances $R_1$ and $R_2$. These two-port adaptors scatter according to

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} R_1 + R_2 \\ 2R_1 R_2 \\ R_1 + R_2 \\ 2R_1 R_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \lambda = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{series} \\ +1 & \text{parallel} \end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (27)

and are both rendered reflection-free by setting $R_1 = R_2$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 811 & 812 \\ 821 & 822 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ \lambda & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (28)

Notice that the reflection-free two-port parallel connection is simply a normal WDF port connection (4), with each incident wave equal to the opposite reflected wave. The two-port series connection inverts the reflected wave from each port to form the incident wave at the other port; it is in fact the wave-digital inverter (Fig.7) (27)(31).

- **5. RESULTS**

Here we discuss some results that characterize our model of the Hammond vibrato/chorus, including the impulse and magnitude responses of each tap in the LC ladder (Section 5.1), a study on the spectral aspects of scanner interpolation (Section 5.2), and the response to a single sinusoid (Section 5.3). These results reveal a variety of effects, including delay-length modulation, phase-like effects, amplitude modulation, and modulated comb filter effects.

- **5.1. Impulse and Magnitude Responses of LC Ladder**

Figs. 8 and 9 show the impulse and magnitude responses at each tap $v_1 \cdots v_{19}$ under two different WDF discretizations compared to a reference “ground truth” SPICE simulation. In Fig. 8, we use a sampling rate of $f_s = 44100$ Hz, with the capacitors and inductors discretized using the standard BLT with no frequency warping, i.e., $T' = T = 1/f_s \approx 2.2676 \times 10^{-5}$. In Fig. 9, we use instead a warped BLT with $T'$ chosen to match the frequency $\Omega_0 = 7075$ Hz, approximately the passband edge of the ladder, yielding $T' \approx 2.2724 \times 10^{-5}$.

In the time domain plots, it can be seen that the LC ladder approximates a delay line. In theory, LC ladders have an idealized total delay time of $\sum L \times SC$ (32), meaning $\approx 0.85$ ms for the Hammond vibrato/chorus. It can be seen in the SPICE simulations that the impulse is delayed and “smeared” progressively as it travels down the line, and indeed experiences $\approx 0.85$ ms of delay by tap 19. To understand the complex nature of this smearing, we turn to the magnitude response.

In the magnitude response, the lowpass characteristic of the LC ladder is apparent. In the SPICE simulations, the passband edge frequency is $\approx 7075$ Hz. The amount of attenuation in the stopband depends on tap index: $v_1$ has no attenuation, and the slope increases as tap index increases. Notice that in the simulation using the unwarped BLT, dc is matched perfectly, while frequency distortion builds up as frequency increases. Specifically, the passband edge is depressed by almost 500 Hz compared to the SPICE simulation. Using the warped BLT, 7075 Hz is matched perfectly. While matching the passband edge may be preferable due to its perceptual salience, a mismatch remains for the rest of the magnitude response, most noticeably between dc and the passband edge. While the passband has dozens of features, the warped BLT can only match one. Notice that, back in the time domain, the frequency warpings of different discretizations manifests as different smearings. Alternatively, applying 4× oversampling is an effective though expensive way to achieve good agreement from dc to the passband edge.

- **5.2. Magnitude Response of Scanner Model Interpolation**

Fig. 10 shows the magnitude response of scanner model interpolation between terminals for the V1 (Fig. 10a), V2 (Fig. 10b), and V3 (Fig. 10c) settings (using the unwarped BLT). dB markings are shown on the color axis. The horizontal axis represents the scanner angle $\theta$. At the vertical markings with tap indices labeled underneath, the scanner is exactly on one of the terminals. Between tap indices are interpolations between them.

In addition to providing a time-varying delay, the ladder circuit and scanner impart complex spectral coloration. First, the sharp passband edge is modulated slightly over the course of each vibrato cycle. The passband ripples also follow complex trajectories during each cycle. Since the ripples are relatively deep (many
around 6 dB and some larger), they create an audible phaser-like effect on broadband input signals. The voltage dividers $R_{k-}$ and $R_{k+}$, $k \in 1 \cdots 6$, produce amplitude modulation during each cycle of $\theta$ [10]. Table 3 shows the gain of each stage’s divider.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>tap</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>gain</td>
<td>$-2.9$</td>
<td>$-2.8$</td>
<td>$-2.0$</td>
<td>$-1.3$</td>
<td>$-0.83$</td>
<td>$-0.36$</td>
<td>$0$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Since the Hammond vibrato/chorus approximates a delay line it is not surprising that the magnitude response of the scanner interpolation exhibits comb-filter-like features. Assuming the idealized delay time discussed earlier, linear interpolation would produce notches halfway through each crossfade at frequencies dictated by the separation between taps, at the locations indicated by $\times$ symbols. The actual minima in the Hammond response are very close to these notches, as predicted.

5.3. Sinusoid Study

We study a single 1760 Hz (A6 in scientific pitch notation) sinusoidal input for the three vibrato depth settings (Fig. 11). Notice that the V1 setting produces the narrowest vibrato, the V2 setting produces a medium vibrato, and the V3 setting produces the widest vibrato. Notice also that each setting produces a differently shaped vibrato. The vibrato width and shape are a consequence of the different tap spacings of each setting; the time-varying phase shift for a given frequency, which manifests as frequency modulation, is proportional to the time derivative of its group delay [19]. The combination of amplitude modulation and frequency modulation is visible as $6 \times 16$ Hz spaced sidebands around the main signal.

6. CONCLUSION

In this study on modeling the Hammond organ vibrato/chorus, we introduced new theoretical tools enabling the inclusion of multiple linear nondistortable elements at the root of a WDF tree, applied the well-known frequency-warped bilinear transform to the derivation of wave-digital capacitors and inductors, and illustrated the systematic use of wave-digital polarity inverters. Although beyond the scope of this paper, the complex spectral properties and frequency-dependent vibrato of the Hammond organ vibrato/chorus deserve further study (cf. the complexities of vocal vibrato, including “spectral modulation” [33]).
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Figure 10: Magnitude response at various interpolations. Recall Fig. 3 and Table 2.


