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ABSTRACT: Glacier and ice sheet retreat exposes freshly deglaciated terrain which often contains small-scale fragile geomorphological features which could provide insight into subglacial or submarginal processes. Subaerial exposure results in potentially rapid landscape modification or even disappearance of the minor-relief landforms as wind, weather, water and vegetation impact on the newly exposed surface. Ongoing retreat of many ice masses means there is a growing opportunity to obtain high resolution geospatial data from glacier forelands to aid in the understanding of recent subglacial and submarginal processes. Here we used an unmanned aerial vehicle to capture close-range aerial photography of the foreland of Isfjällsglaciären, a small polythermal glacier situated in Swedish Lapland. An orthophoto and a digital elevation model were created from this photography using structure from motion software. These geospatial data was used to create a geomorphological map of the foreland, documenting moraines, fans, channels and flutes. The unprecedented resolution of the data enabled us to derive morphological metrics (length, width and relief) of the smallest flutes, which is not possible with other data products normally used for glacial landform metrics mapping. The map and flute metrics compare well with previous studies, highlighting the potential of this technique for rapidly documenting glacier foreland geomorphology at an unprecedented scale and resolution. The vast majority of flutes were found to have an associated stoss-side boulder, with the remainder having a likely explanation for boulder absence (burial or erosion). Furthermore, the size of this boulder was found to strongly correlate with the width and relief of the lee-side flute. This is consistent with the lee-side cavity infill model of flute formation. Whether this model is applicable to all flutes, or multiple mechanisms are required, awaits further study.

KEYWORDS: UAV; structure from motion; DEM; glacial flutes; glacial geomorphology

Introduction

Elucidating the processes which operate at the ice–bed interface is key to understanding how an ice mass moves over its bed (Weertman, 1957; Iverson and Semmens, 1995; Kjær et al., 2006). The recession of ice reveals landforms, imprinted on the landscape, from which we can make inferences regarding the subglacial environment and palaeo-glaciological conditions. The identification, mapping and interpretation of these landforms is a cornerstone of palaeo-glaciological reconstruction (Klemann and Borgenström, 1996; Stokes et al., 2015), enabling the identification of past surge events (Evans and Rea, 1999; Rea and Evans, 2011), palaeo ice streams (Stokes and Clark, 1999), ice flow direction changes (Clark, 1993) and past ice extent (Bradwell et al., 2008; Barr and Clark, 2009).

The landforms created by ice sheets, including subglacial bedforms (Clark et al., 2009; Spagnolo et al., 2014), eskers (Storrar et al., 2013) and large moraines (Barr and Clark, 2009), are visible on digital elevation model (DEM) products and satellite imagery, which can have a near global coverage (e.g., the Landsat archive, and ASTER GDEM). However, many of the landforms found on glacier forelands (i.e. in recently deglaciated areas) are often too small to be mapped from these sources. Examples include crevasse squeeze ridges (Bennett et al., 1996; Rea and Evans, 2011), eskers (Evans et al., 2010; Storrar et al., 2015) and glacial flutes (Gordon et al., 1992; Hart, 1995; Kjær et al., 2006). Deglaciation exposes forelands to subaerial modification (Matsson and Gardner, 1991; Ettel and Müller, 2000; Lukas et al., 2005; Irvine-Fynn et al., 2011; Kirkbride and Winkel, 2012) and when the landforms are relatively small, as is the case for flutes, this
may rapidly modify, mask and/or erase their presence (Rose, 1991). Physical mapping using ground-based survey is time consuming in these terrains and can be logistically challenging. However, rapidly acquired, high resolution imagery and DEMs could provide robust and large datasets recording these fragile and transient landforms.

Conventional aerial photography can provide sufficient detail to map the distribution of relatively small-scale features on a glacier foreland (Evans et al., 2007; Jónsson et al., 2014). Recently, high-resolution (< 0.5 m) satellite imagery has also been used to study glacier foreland geomorphology (Chandler et al., 2015; Evans et al., 2016a). However, for the smallest (<2 m) of glacial landforms, the resolution is still often insufficient to accurately determine all relevant size and shape metrics, key constraints upon their formation (Clark et al., 2009; Spagnolo et al., 2014; Storrr et al., 2014; Ely et al., 2016). Moreover, high-resolution, commercial aerial photography (and satellite imagery) is expensive to acquire. An emerging alternative technique, able to quantify the small-scale (sub-decimeter resolution) topography and landforms of glacier forelands, is through the creation of high resolution orthophotos and DEMs from close range aerial photography obtained from unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs; Chandler et al., 2015; Evans et al., 2016b; Hackney and Clayton, 2015; Rippin et al., 2015). Once acquired, these photos can be transformed into an elevation model using structure from motion (SfM) techniques (Smith et al., 2016). This enables the rapid collection of high resolution geospatial data at a fraction of the cost of traditional methods.

Here we present an orthophoto and extremely high resolution DEM of the foreland of Isfallsglaciären, Tarfala Valley, Sweden, created from images taken from a UAV. This is used to construct a map of the geomorphology of the foreland. The aims are to: (i) demonstrate how the techniques employed can be successfully used to analyse/record the morphometrics of glacial landforms, in this case flutes; (ii) evaluate the morphological properties of flutes on this foreland, in order to ascertain flute formation mechanisms; and (iii) evaluate the applicability of this approach for studying glacier forelands and glacial geomorphology elsewhere.

**Study Site and Previous Work**

Isfallsglaciären (67.914°N, 18.572°E) is a small, polythermal glacier situated in the Tarfala valley of the Kebnekaise massif, Swedish Lapland (Figure 1). It takes its name from the ice fall which coincides with the geological boundary between the Kebne dyke complex and Storglaciären gneiss (Andréasson and Gee, 1989). Two parallel moraines mark the limit of the foreland (Figure 1(C)), the innermost of which was overridden by an advance between 1897 and 1916 (Rabot and Muret, 1912, p. 49; Karlén, 1973). Subsequently, the ice margin receded at a rate of ~4 m/a until 1990, since when it has remained at approximately its current position (Orbring, 2002; WGMS FoG database version:2015-11-25). The retreat has revealed a foreland which is currently ~0.6 km².

The geomorphology of the foreland has been studied previously by many researchers, which provides a useful comparison with our results, allowing a discussion of the validity of the approach. Hoppe and Schytt (1953) observed flutes on the glacier foreland, and in trenches excavated through the

---

**Figure 1.** (A) Photo of Isfallsglaciären, taken from the foreland (14/08/2014). The glacier is situated approximately 1300 m above sea level, and is ~500 m wide across its terminus. (B) Location of the Tarfala valley. (C) Satellite image of Isfallsglaciären and its foreland (08/10/2013). [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
glacier. They noted 54 regularly spaced flutes on the foreland, 5 to 45 cm in relief. Beneath the glacier, flutes tended to have a greater relief, up to 90 cm high, which Hoppe and Schytt (1953) attributed to the volume of ice they contained. Hoppe and Schytt (1953) proposed that the flutes were formed by the infilling of till into a subglacial cavity generated in the lee-side of a boulder. The cavity infill model was supported by Åmark (1980), who found a correspondence between the initiating boulder geometry and flute geometry. This model, and variants thereof, have also been proposed for other flute fields (Dyson, 1952; Paul and Evans, 1974; Boulton, 1976; Morris and Morland, 1976; Benn, 1994; Roberson et al., 2011), although alternative formation hypotheses exist (Baranowski, 1970; Gordon et al., 1992; Schoof and Clarke, 2008). Eklund and Hart (1996) studied the sedimentology of a single flute on the Isfältsglaciären foreland, concluding that this flute was formed by the infilling of sediment into the lee-side of a boulder, but highlighted the role of subglacial sediment deformation in this process. In a synthesis of the retreat of glaciers in the Kebnekasis massif, Karlén (1973) also mapped the foreland of Isfältsglaciären from aerial photography, but did not map its flutes. Karlén (1973) mapped a small esker on the foreland, and marked the position of several dry proglacial channels, as well as outwash sediments being deposited in proglacial lakes. Carrivick et al. (2015) used a terrestrial laser scanner to produce a freely available 1 m grid cell resolution DEM of the upper portion of the Tarfala valley, including the foreland of Isfältsglaciären. This dataset, and the previous accounts of the foreland geomorphology, provides us with comparisons to validate our method.

Methods

Data acquisition

Our survey was conducted on 14/08/2014. Images of Isfältsglaciären foreland were taken using a Nikon D5300 24 megapixel digital single-lens reflex camera, attached to a servo controlled gimbal mounted on a custom built DroidWorx Hexacopter (Figure 2). The camera lens was fixed at 18 mm focal length to allow for a constant field of view and to maximise image overlap. The shutter was radio controlled, taking images approximately every 3 seconds. Each image was geotagged using a GPS mounted to the UAV. The UAV was flown at altitudes of 100–120 m and utilised automatic stabilisation and controlled descent. This enabled the hexacopter to remain stable in wind speeds of 15 km/h.

In total, 23 ground control points (GCPs) were surveyed with a dGPS (Leica System 1200 GPS). GCPs included prominent boulders which had previously been marked with paint, or white targets placed on the ground. Photos of each GCP were taken in the field to later help identification. Points were surveyed using a GPS rover, and were relayed a short distance (~1 km) to a GPS base station located over a known point (Research Station, ETRS89 BM). The GPS data were then processed in Leica Geofence software giving GCP position accuracies below 0.05 m.

Data processing

Our data processing procedure is similar to that of Evans et al. (2016b), and is summarised in Figure 3. Image processing was conducted in Agisoft PhotoScan Professional, one of the most widely used SM software packages (Chandler et al., 2015; Evans et al., 2016b; Ryan et al., 2015). All images were judged to be clear and sharp enough to warrant processing (n=836). The photos were divided between two groups termed ‘chunks’ (Agisoft), which roughly split the study area geographically in half. These were processed separately and then merged to increase processing speed (Figure 3). Images were initially aligned through the geotagged GPS positions and automatically detected common points between overlapping images (Figure 3). GCPs were then identified on the aligned images and used to re-align the images and chunks. A dense network of elevation point measurements was then calculated. Errors created at abrupt surface property changes (e.g., at proglacial lakes), and over field equipment or people, were masked out of DEM processing. A point cloud containing >23.3 million points spaced approximately 0.1 m apart was created (Figure 3). From this, a triangular irregular network (TIN) mesh was built, and was used as the basis of our DEM and for orthorectification of the aerial photography.

The resultant orthophoto has a horizontal pixel resolution of 2 cm (Figure 4(A)). The DEM (Figure 4(B)) has the same resolution, interpolated using inverse distance weighting from our TIN. The orthophoto has a root mean square locational error of ~4 cm relative to the GCPs and the root mean square error of the DEM compared the GCPs is ~5 cm, comparable with the accuracy of the dGPS measurements. Although these positional and relative vertical accuracies are high, both decrease slightly away from the GCPs. Furthermore, regions of increased error exist due to high reflection off shallow water features, where the processing was split into two regions, and where image quality was reduced. However, the overall result is an unprecedentedly detailed DEM of the glacier foreland (Figure 4). Both products are projected using the Swedish Reference Frame 1999 Transverse Mercator projection and the RH2000 Geoid (SWEREF_2000).

Mapping and metrics

A geomorphological map of the foreland of Isfältsglaciären was created in ArcGIS v.10.1 using the exported orthophoto and DEM. The orthophoto was locally contrast-stretched; a technique which has proven useful for highlighting subtle features on satellite imagery (Ely and Clark, 2016). The DEM was hill-shaded from multiple angles (315°, 45° and above) to avoid azimuth biasing, a visualisation technique regularly used for the mapping of subglacial bedforms (Smith and Clark, 2005; Hillier et al., 2015).
Flutes were mapped from the DEM along their crest line, defining flute length. Any boulder at the stoss-end of the flute was mapped as a point, defining its highest elevation. Flute width and relief were measured by taking the average of cross-profile values at three points along the flute crest; roughly at 10, 50 and 90% of the flute-length. Where the flutes sit on a sloped surface, the cross-profiles were detrended in order to measure flute relief (Spagnolo et al., 2012). Large boulders on top of the flutes were avoided when placing cross-profiles. The relief and across-flow width of each initiating boulder was also measured using cross-profiles.

**Results**

The geomorphological map produced from our orthophoto and DEM (Figure 5) highlights a range of geomorphological features across the foreland. The largest geomorphological feature captured is the inner moraine mentioned in the second section (Figure 5). The outermost moraine (Figure 1), which marks the limit of the foreland, was not surveyed. The inner moraine is ~16 m in relief decreasing to the NNW to ~8 m (Figure 5). The inner moraine is fluted to the NNW, coinciding with the region where its relief is reduced. Inside the inner moraine, closer to the glacier front, the foreland is fluted (Figures 5 and 6(A)). These flutes are superimposed on larger mounds of sediment, approximately 1 to 3 m in relief and a few tens of meters wide (Figures 5 and 6(B)). Whether these mounds were formed sub- or pro-glacially is unclear, but the dry v-shaped channels between the ridges suggest that water has acted to excavate the inter-ridge areas. These channels were also noted by Karlén (1973), and the arrangement of these mounds was noted in Carrivick et al. (2015). Examples of detail visible in the orthophoto and DEM are shown in Figure 7. The high resolution of the imagery and DEM means even flutes as small as 16 cm wide are discernible (e.g. Figure 7(A) and (B)). Channels cut into the foreland are also visible on the DEM (e.g. Figures 7(C), 7(D) and 5).
channels connect the proglacial lakes, transferring sediment and forming fans as they flow in (Figures 4(A) and 5). Information on the submerged fans is limited to the orthophoto (Figure 4(A)), as elevation data of the lake bed could not be created over the water bodies. Human impacts on the foreland can also be seen in the data, with several pits excavated by previous expeditions, visible in both the orthophoto and DEM (Figures 7(E), 7(F) and 5).

In total, 88 flutes were mapped across the study area. On average, the measured flutes are approximately 28 m long (Table I; Figure 8(A)). However, flute length is variable exhibiting a large range (Table I). This is reflected in the probability distribution function (PDF), which is positively skewed (Figure 8(A)). Flute width is more constrained, with distinct neighbouring modal classes of 125–175 cm (Figure 8(B)) and a probability distribution function closer to a normal distribution.
distribution. Impressively, flutes only 2 cm in relief were measured, demonstrating the vertical resolution of the data (Table I). Flute relief was most commonly found to be 2–4 cm, with a tail to the distribution after this modal class (Figure 8(C)). Elongation ratio (length/width) retains the positive skew of the length measurement (Figure 8(D)), with flutes reaching nearly 100 times as long as they are wide (Table I).

Initiating boulders were mapped for 85% of the flutes (Figure 5). For the remaining flutes, we cannot rule out a buried initiating boulder (IB), or erosion leading to disassociation between an IB and the flute. Flute width has a strong positive correlation with the width of an IB (Figure 9(A)). Flutes with larger relief have higher IBs (Figure 9(B)). The wider the flute, the greater its relief (Figure 9(C)). However, relationships between boulder height and flute length (Figure 9(D)) and flute width have a tail to the distribution after this modal class (Figure 8(D)).

The technique also compares favourably with other methods of geospatial data capture. The DEM produced is of a higher resolution than that created by Carrivick et al. (2015) through terrestrial laser scanning (note that the aim of Carrivick et al. (2015) was to survey the entire Tarfala valley, rather than just one foreland). Although both techniques have their merits and limitations, importantly the greater resolution of our DEM allowed us to accurately record the dimensions of the flutes in a way that is not possible with the DEM of Carrivick et al. (2015) (Figure 10). We found only 20 clear examples of flutes across the study area from the terrestrial lidar scanning DEM, and these correspond to the longest flutes of our analysis (Figure 10(C)). The coarser resolution lidar DEM also leads to an overestimation of flute width (Figure 10(D)). Furthermore, the precise identification of initiating boulders is made easier with the UAV-based DEM, especially when these are of modest size (Figure 10(A) and (B)).

**Discussion**

Comparison with other studies and utility of approach

The techniques employed here enabled rapid capture of aerial photos and the production of a high resolution orthophoto and DEM of the foreland of Isfjällsglaciären. The main advantage of this technique is that it has allowed us to define the morphology of more small landforms (flutes) than previous field-based studies. Our data captured the morphometrics of 88 flutes, compared with the 21 reported by Åmark (1980). The narrowest flute (16 cm) recorded here compares well with the narrowest found by the field based study of Åmark (1980) (20 cm), suggesting that our horizontal resolution is sufficient for defining flute morphology. At Turttmann Glacier, Switzerland, van der Meer (1997) reported much smaller ‘mini-flutes,’ which were less than 20 cm wide and 10 cm high. The orthophoto resolution is sufficient to resolve features of this scale so they are assumed absent from the study area. However, the cut off in relief measurements below 2 cm (Figure 8(C)) may be a consequence of DEM resolution. Therefore, if extremely small-scale geomorphological features are present, field measurement or further DEM refinement would be required but should still be mappable on the orthophoto.

The data presented here shows that the majority of flutes at Isfjällsglaciären have an initiating stoss-side boulder (85%). The width of an IB has a strong positive relationship with width of the flute formed in its lee (Figure 9(A)). The height of an IB also displays a positive relationship with flute height (i.e. higher, wider IBs have higher, wider flutes) (Figure 9(B)). This is consistent with the lee-side cavity infill mechanism of flute formation that has been proposed for flutes at Isfjällsglaciären and elsewhere (Dyson, 1932; Paul and Evans, 1974; Boulton, 1976; Morris and Morland, 1976; Benn, 1994; Roberson et al., 2011). A cavity forms at the sole of the glacier which infills with sediment as the ice slides over the IB, forming the...
flute. This cavity is likely maintained by the freezing of sediment behind the initiating boulder (Roberson et al., 2011).

Of the 88 flutes identified, 15% had no observable IB. For these, it is plausible that an IB has been hidden due to burial by sediment or submergence in the proglacial lakes (e.g. Figure 11(A)). Alternatively, boulders may have been removed/displaced during glacial retreat or following deglaciation, meaning that they are no longer aligned with the flutes they formed (e.g. Figure 11(B)). For the flutes at Isfälsglaciären, we therefore find no need to invoke hypotheses which require no initiating boulder. However, a much larger dataset, spanning a variety of glacier forelands, should be analysed before a definitive and generalised conclusion on flute formation is drawn. The hypotheses that do not require initiating boulders include frost heave, rather than boulders, generating subglacial obstructions (with associated lee-side cavities) (Baranowski, 1970) or mechanisms which involve basal ice flow instabilities (Schoof and Clarke, 2008).

Table 1. Flute size and shape metrics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length (m)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>112.0</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>±25.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width (cm)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>±68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elongation ratio</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>±16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relief (cm)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>±7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7. Smaller scale features discernible on the foreland. Orthophoto is on the left and hill-shaded DEM is on the right. (A) and (B) A short flute and its initiating boulder. (C) and (D) A proglacial channel. (E) and (F) An exposed pit and the pile of excavated sediment. [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
While boulder geometry has a relationship with flute width and height (Figure 9(A) and (B)), there is little correspondence between the boulder height and flute length (Figure 9(D)) or between flute width and flute length (Figure 9(E)). No spatial trends in flute length were observed that would suggest that parameters such as ice thickness or velocity (Hart, 1999) control the flute length. One possibility is that erosion across the foreland has masked any spatial trends in flute length. Alternatively, sediment supply could have influenced flute length, and therefore shorter flutes were more rapidly starved of sediment. The lack of relation between boulder height and flute length (Figure 9(D)), and flute width and length (Figure 9(E)) shows that larger cavities (larger boulders with wider flutes), did not grow longer by attracting and freezing more sediment at the expense of smaller cavities (smaller boulders with narrower flutes). These larger cavities would also require more sediment to fill and freeze into the cavity, in order for it to propagate and lengthen a flute.

An alternative explanation for the mixed length of flutes could be that they are of a mixed age. If the IBs became lodged at different times, each flute is independent of the others, and shorter flutes simply had less time to develop. An analogue for this may be found at the Dubwant Lake ice stream, where shorter lineations were interpreted to be younger than neighbouring longer lineations (Stokes et al., 2013). Likewise, Evans and Rea (2003) interpreted short flutes to be late stage features produced at the termination of a surge of Brúarjökull, Iceland. Similar arrangements (short flutes neighbouring long flutes) have also been found for flutes elsewhere (Kjær et al., 2006). Growth of subglacial bedforms has been invoked to explain the exponential or long normal distribution of their size metrics (Fowler et al., 2013; Hillier et al., 2013). The PDF of flute lengths at Isfalsglaciären is positively skewed (Figure 8(A)), but the low sample size makes it difficult to attribute either an exponential or log-normal shape to this distribution. A larger sample of flutes, from a bigger geographical area or multiple fluting fields would help resolve this.

Beneath the glacier, Hoppe and Schytt (1953) recorded flutes that were 90 cm in relief, with a high ice content. These flutes are now exposed, and our data records a maximum flute height of 30 cm (Table I). This height reduction is likely a consequence of the ice melt or dewatering from within the flute sediment after exposure, which led to till compaction. Flutes in the foreland were found to be typically 25% of the height of the stoss-side boulder. If it is assumed, as the cavity infill model suggests, that the original height of the flutes were close to that of the initiating boulders, then we can argue that up to 75% of their original flute volume is composed of water or ice.

Overall, for the flutes at Isfalsglaciären we agree with previous studies (Hoppe and Schytt, 1953; Åmark, 1980; Eklund and Hart, 1996) and favour formation via the infill of a propagating cavity in the lee of an IB. Flute formation in a propagating cavity behind a boulder could explain why flutes are much narrower than all other subglacial bedforms, sitting outside a subglacial bedform continuum (Ely et al., 2016). Perhaps this scale specificity is due to the size of boulders available for flute genesis in forelands in combination with sediment availability. However, whether the lee-side cavity infill mechanism can be extended to all flutes (i.e. monogenesis), or whether several formation mechanisms are required (i.e. polygenesis) is unknown. If applied elsewhere, the geospatial data capture technique has the potential to address this issue, by tackling the following questions: (i) Do flute metrics vary between forelands? (ii) How prevalent are flutes without initiating boulders? (iii) Does the initiating boulder always control flute morphology? (iv) Does flute morphology indicate that they grow by propagating down flow (Fowler et al., 2013; Hillier et al., 2013)?
Recommendations and future directions

As shown here, and in previous studies (Chandler et al., 2015; Evans et al., 2016b), UAVs provide a robust method for capturing geospatial data over glacier forelands. However, there are some disadvantages to using UAVs in glacial environments which should be taken into consideration for future work. For example, spatial coverage was necessarily limited, due to weather conditions. The UAV used could not fly in high winds, and rain not only infiltrates the electrics, but can cause a haze in photography. Poor visibility due to low cloud was also an issue. Therefore, for many mountainous glacial environments, UAV based data capture may be challenging and require extra acquisition time, especially in poor weather conditions. That said, the quick set-up and take off time of the UAV is well suited to the small time-windows of suitable weather that are common in such environments and new UAVs are improving their reliability even under adverse weather conditions. As the computing time required to process the data is relatively high, computing should be avoided while in the field and multi-processor or cluster computers are recommended. It is worth noting that user input is limited to choosing options between processing stages, and that software is becoming increasingly user friendly (Smith et al., 2016).

Despite these shortcomings, UAV based SfM photography capture provides a rapid option for generating incredibly high resolution data of glacier forelands. A clear avenue for future research would be to use UAVs to monitor foreland change through repeat surveying of the foreland (Evans et al., 2016a; Chandler et al., 2016). Given that flutes (Rose, 1991), and other landforms found on glacier forelands, are likely to be rapidly modified after exposure, repeat measurements of recently deglaciated forelands may provide quantification of landform modification after exposure. Furthermore, the rapidity of the technique allows for multiple sites to be monitored in a single field season, allowing large areas to be mapped in detail.

Figure 9. Relationships between measured flute and boulder metrics.
Conclusions

Here we document the creation of an orthophoto and DEM of the foreland of Isfallsglaciären. The data produced are of an extremely high horizontal resolution (2 cm), and relative vertical accuracy (~ 5 cm). This enabled mapping of the glacier foreland, and the subglacial flutes in particular, in unprecedented detail. The use of SfM methods on photography acquired from UAVs is therefore a useful approach for geomorphological mapping of various landforms, including extremely small ones. Importantly, the DEM enables the recording of the morphological properties of flutes not discernible from other sources. Most

Figure 10. Comparison between (A), the ~1 m DEM of Carrivick et al. (2015), and (B) the ~2 cm DEM presented here. While flutes are visible in (A), they appear sharply in (B) enabling their size and shape metrics to be more precisely determined. Both images are hill-shaded from the north-west. Comparative box plots of flute length (C) and width (D) derived from two different DEMs. [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

Figure 11. Examples of boulder-less flutes. (A) Orthophoto of flutes emerging from a proglacial lake. (B) Hill-shaded DEM of a flute which may have become detached from its initiating boulder, due to a proglacial stream. [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
mapped flutes are characterised by the presence of an initiating boulder, with flute morphology directly related to boulder size. This is consistent with the cavity infill model of flute formation. If applied elsewhere, we suggest that the technique could provide useful insights into the origin of flutes and potentially other landforms found on glacier forelands.
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