Testing genuine multipartite nonlocality in phase space


Published in:
Physical Review A (Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics)

Document Version:
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal:
Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal

Publisher rights
©2013 American Physical Society. This work is made available online in accordance with the publisher's policies. Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

General rights
Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Queen's University Belfast Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy
The Research Portal is Queen's institutional repository that provides access to Queen's research output. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact openaccess@qub.ac.uk.
Quantum nonlocality is one of the most fundamental features of quantum mechanics. It refers to the correlations that cannot be explained by local hidden-variable models that satisfy a set of constraints epitomized by so-called Bell inequalities [1]. The violation of a Bell inequality reveals the existence of nonlocality in a given quantum mechanical state [2–4].

While originally formulated for bipartite systems, Bell-like inequalities have been extended to the multipartite scenario, a noticeable example being embodied by the well-known inequality proposed by Mermin and Klyshko (MK) [5]. However, the violation of a MK-type inequality by a multipartite state does not necessarily imply the existence of genuine multipartite nonlocality, as this test can be falsified by nonlocal correlations in any reduction of the system’s components. In order to demonstrate genuine tripartite nonlocality, another type of Bell inequalities should be thus considered such as the one formulated by Svetlichny [6], which rules out both local and nonlocal hidden variable models possibly imposed on any subparties. It was also noted that a stronger violation of the MK type can demonstrate genuine nonlocality for the cases with an even number of parties [7]. Experimental demonstrations of genuine multipartite nonlocality were firstly achieved by strong violations of an MK inequality with four photon polarization entanglements [8]. A violation of Svetlichny-type inequality was experimentally demonstrated recently with GHZ-type photon polarization entangled states [9]. A generalized version of Svetlichny inequality was recently proposed and studied [10].

In this paper, motivated by the growing experimental capabilities of controlling and manipulating the state of tripartite quantum systems, in the optical laboratory [11] and beyond, we address the formulation of genuine three-mode continuous variable (CV) nonlocality tests in phase space. While the phase space provides a natural arena for the description of the state of multimode CV systems, it also provides us with powerful tools for the analysis of the quantum correlation features within a given state and the possibility to investigate the quantum-to-classical transition in a transparent, intuitive way. While, historically, the completeness of quantum mechanics has been questioned by Einstein, Podolsky, and Rosen using an argument related to position and momentum of a CV system [12], the first formulation of a Bell-like nonlocality test in phase space was provided by Banaszek and Wodkiewicz using the Wigner and Husimi $Q$ function [13]. This approach has been generalized and extended in several directions, including the provision of phase-space tests valid for high-dimensional systems [14–16] and the addressing of general s-parametrized quasiprobability functions [17–19]. Although a considerable body of work has been produced to address issues of nonlocality in multimode CV states [20–26], a self-consistent formulation of genuine multimode nonlocality tests in phase space is still missing. Our work addresses this important conceptual problem and solves it providing useful, highly informative tools that, as we discuss in the last part of our manuscript, will be very useful to study physical systems of current experimental relevance. Moreover, the inequalities that we derive embody additional instruments for the interpretation of ground-breaking experiments on the generation of multipartite CV states that, so far, have only focused on entanglement.

In this paper, we fill this important gap by formalizing MK- and Svetlichny-type Bell inequalities in terms of the s-parametrized quasiprobability functions [19], and thus opening the way to the experimental test of multipartite nonlocality of CV systems in their phase space. In order to assert the usefulness of our formal tools, we study in depth the tripartite nonlocal character of three paradigmatic states: a three-mode single-photon entangled state, a tripartite squeezed vacuum state, and the entangled coherent state of three bosonic modes. By exploiting the generality of our formulation and exploring the properties of these classes
of states, we build up complete tripartite-nonlocality phase diagrams, identifying regimes where the presence of genuine three-mode nonlocality is guaranteed and investigating its character in terms of sharing structure of quantum correlations. We complete our analysis by analyzing the effects of both local damping and detection inefficiencies on the genuine tripartite nonlocal nature of a given state, proving that our inequalities are flexible enough to incorporate such detrimental effects in a natural way. Although we do not explicitly address it here, the extension of our formalism to the larger registers of modes is fully straightforward. Moreover, as we show in this paper, this investigation holds the promises to provide a useful tool for the characterization of the quantum correlation-sharing structure in physical settings of current enormous technological interests.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Bell-like inequalities for multipartite scenarios are briefly reviewed in Sec. I. This is then followed by our formulation of phase-space tests based on generalized quasiprobability functions, as presented in Sec. II. In Sec. III we pass to investigate the violation of such inequality by the above-mentioned classes of three-mode entangled states, whose tripartite nonlocal character is studied against relevant sources of detrimental effects in Sec. IV. Section V is then devoted to the identification and brief discussion of a series of physical setups where our study will be invaluable for the identification of the proper sharing structure of quantum correlations. Finally, in Sec. VI we draw our conclusions and highlight potential lines of developments.

I. GENUINE MULTIPARTITE NONLOCALITY

In this section, we discuss the formal approach to the derivation of Bell inequalities for the test of multipartite nonlocality. In order to fix the ideas, we consider explicitly the case of a tripartite system, although the discussion here is straightforwardly generalized to the more than three subsystems.

It is instructive to briefly revise the two-party case, first. Let us thus assume that two particles, whose state can be repeatedly and identically prepared, are distributed to two remote parties, Alice and Bob. Each of them performs a measurement chosen out of two: $A(A')$ for Alice and $B(B')$ for Bob. The corresponding possible outcomes are assigned as $j$ ($j'$) with $j = a, b$, respectively, and $j \in \{−1, 1\}$. Under the assumption of the existence of local hidden variables, the joint outcome probability after many rounds of measurements is written as

$$P(ab|AB) = \int d\lambda \rho(\lambda)P_a(a|A)P_b(b|B),$$

where $\lambda$ is a shared local variable and $P_j(j|J)$ is the probability that measurement $J = A, B$ has outcome $j = a, b$ at a set value of $\lambda$. The Bell-CHSH parameter can then be cast in the form of

$$B = a(b + b') + a'(b - b').$$

(2)

It is straightforward to check that $|B| \leq 2$. The violation of such inequality witnesses the failure of local hidden variable theories to describe the correlations between the outcomes of the measurements performed by Alice and Bob and, thus, the untenability of the assumptions of locality and realism. It is well known that if the two distributed particles are prepared in an entangled quantum state, the Bell-CHSH inequality can be violated by up to a factor $\sqrt{2}$.

This reasoning can be extended to the inclusion of a third party, say Charlie, who performs measurements $C$ and $C'$ with outcomes $c, c' \in \{−1, 1\}$ on a third particle. In this case, it is possible to combine the outcomes of each measurements so as to build the parameter [5],

$$M = \frac{1}{2}B(c + c') + \frac{1}{2}B'(c - c') = a'bc + ab'c + abc' - a'b'c',$$

(3)

which is bounded as $|M| \leq 2$ according to local hidden variable theories. Equation (3) embodies the Mermin-Klyshko (MK) inequality, which can be violated by quantum mechanics, thus showing tripartite quantum nonlocality.

However, any violation of the MK inequality in Eq. (3) does not guarantee the existence of genuine tripartite nonlocality: nonlocal correlations between any two parties out of three are sufficient to exceed the boundary imposed by local hidden variable theories. To avoid this, the joint probability for genuine tripartite nonlocality should not be reproduced by any local hidden variable assigned on the joint measurement of any two out of three parties. That is, we define the joint probability,

$$P(abc|ABC) = \int d\lambda \sum_j P_j(\rho_{a,b,c}(\lambda))P_a(a|AB)P_b(b|C),$$

(4)

where $P$ performs the cyclic permutation of the triplets of indeces $(abc)$ and $(ABC)$, $P_j(\rho(\lambda))$ stands for the joint probability of outcomes $i, j = a, b, c$ for the measurements $I, J = A, B, C$, and $\rho_{a,b,c}$ denotes the corresponding probability distribution density. Building on this, Svetlichny proposed a combination of measurement outcomes of the form [6],

$$S = M \pm M' = a'bc + ab'c + abc' - a'b'c' \pm ab'c' \pm ab'c' \pm ab'c + abc.$$

(5)

Again, it can be proven easily that $|S| \leq 4$ under the assumptions behind Eq. (4). The violation of the Svetlichny inequality (5) thus signals genuine tripartite nonlocality. Quantum mechanics is know to violate the bounds imposed by local hidden variables: When the probabilities entering Eq. (5) are calculated performing measurements over a tripartite GHZ or a W state, the Svetlichny parameter $\langle S \rangle$ is larger than 4 (achieving the value $4\sqrt{2}$ over a GHZ state).

II. PHASE-SPACE FORMULATION VIA GENERALIZED QUASIPROBABILITY DISTRIBUTIONS

In this section we reformulate multipartite Bell inequalities in terms of generalized quasiprobability functions defined in the phase space of CV systems. Our approach will be based on the formalism introduced in Refs. [17,18] and provides the complement to the seminal analysis by Banaszek and Wodkiewicz [13] of bipartite CV states. The $s$-parametrized quasiprobability function for a given single-mode state $\hat{\rho}$
reads [17–19]

\[ W(\alpha; s) = \frac{2}{\pi(1 - s)} \text{Tr}[\hat{\Pi}(\alpha; s)], \]  

(6)

where \[ \hat{\Pi}(\alpha; s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(s + 1)/(s - 1)}{n^n} [\alpha, n]\langle \alpha, n|, \]

and \(|\alpha, n| = \hat{D}(\alpha)|n\rangle\) is the \(n\)-photon state of a boson described by annihilation and creation operator \(\hat{a}\) and \(\hat{a}^\dagger\), displaced in phase space by the Weyl operator \(\hat{D}(\alpha) = \exp[\alpha \hat{a}^\dagger - \alpha^* \hat{a}]\). Measurement \(\hat{\Pi}(\alpha; s)\) can be performed using a photon number detector preceded by a beam splitter and a coherent probe field entering the other input port of the beam splitter, whose transmissivity and strength are controllable, respectively. The real value \(s\) is chosen artificially in the processing of measured data [27]. Then, its expectation value directly yields the \(s\)-parametrized quasiprobability function at the point displaced by \(\hat{D}(\alpha)\) in phase space [17,27]. Note that \(W(\alpha; s)\) reduces to the \(P,\) Wigner, and Husimi \(Q\) function for \(s = 1.0, -1, 1\), respectively [28].

Suppose that Alice, Bob, and Charlie, independently choose one of two observables, denoted by \(\hat{A}_a, \hat{B}_b, \hat{C}_c\), respectively, where \(a, b, c = 1, 2\), where no restriction is placed on the number of possible outcomes. The local measurement operators are written as

\[ \hat{J}_j = \hat{O}(\delta_j; s) \text{ with } \delta_j = \begin{cases} \alpha_a & \text{for } (J, j) = (A, a) \\ \beta_b & \text{for } (J, j) = (B, b) \\ \gamma_c & \text{for } (J, j) = (C, c) \end{cases} \]  

(7)

and the Hermitian operator,

\[ \hat{O}(\alpha; s) = \begin{cases} (1 - s)\hat{\Pi}(\alpha; s) + s1 & \text{if } -1 < s \leq 0, \\ 2\hat{\Pi}(\alpha; s) - 1 & \text{if } s \leq -1, \end{cases} \]  

(8)

with \(1\) the identity operator. The possible measurement outcomes of \(\hat{O}(\alpha; s)\) are given by the eigenvalues,

\[ \lambda_n = \begin{cases} (1 - s)\left(\frac{s+1}{s-1}\right)^n + s & \text{if } -1 < s \leq 0, \\ 2\left(\frac{s+1}{s-1}\right)^n - 1 & \text{if } s \leq -1, \end{cases} \]  

(9)

and their eigenvectors are the displaced number states. The maximum and minimum measurement outcomes of \(\hat{O}(\alpha; s)\) for any nonpositive \(s\) are \(\lambda_{\text{max}} = -\lambda_{\text{min}} = 1\). For \(s = 0\) we have \(\hat{O}(\alpha; 0) = \hat{\Pi}(\alpha; 0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [\alpha, n]|\alpha, n\rangle\), which is the displaced parity operator. On the other hand, for \(s = -1\) we have \(\hat{O}(\alpha; -1) = 2|\alpha\rangle\langle |\alpha| - 1\rangle\), i.e., a projector onto coherent states. The MK and Svetlichny parameters can be constructed using the measurement operators \(\hat{J}_j\). Indeed, from Eq. (3), the MK parameter is now

\[ \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{C}_{112} + \mathcal{C}_{121} + \mathcal{C}_{211} - \mathcal{C}_{222}, \]

(10)

where \(\mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \langle \hat{A}_\alpha \hat{B}_\beta \hat{C}_\gamma \rangle\) is the correlation function for measurement outcomes. As the expectation value of any local observable is bounded by 1 for any \(s \leq 0\), the expectation value of the MK operator given in Eq. (10) is such that \(|\mathcal{M}| \leq 2\) for any local hidden variable theory. From Eq. (6), the expectation value of \(\hat{\Pi}(\alpha; s)\) is proportional to the \(s\)-parametrized quasiprobability function as \(|\hat{\Pi}(\alpha; s)| = \pi(1 - s)W(\alpha; s)/2\). Note that we do not consider the case \(s > 0\) when the eigenvalues of \(\hat{\Pi}(\alpha; s)\) are unbound. These considerations allow us to calculate the explicit form of the \(s\)-parametrized MK parameter for the two ranges of values of \(s\) considered so far. Such expressions are too long and uninformative to be given here and are deferred to Appendix A. Starting from Eq. (5) and the expression in Eq. (A1) we can easily construct the \(s\)-dependent Svetlichny parameter such that

\[ |\mathcal{S}_s| = |\mathcal{M}_s \pm \mathcal{M}'_s| \leq 4. \]

(11)

This is a generalization of the Svetlichny-like inequality formulated for \(s\)-parametrized quasiprobability functions. For example, it reduces to the Svetlichny inequality formulated for the Wigner function [26] when \(s = 0\) and for the Husimi \(Q\) function for \(s = -1\). The full form of such Svetlichny parameters is given in Appendix A. Using the MK and Svetlichny inequalities in Eq. (A1) and Eq. (11), we can test genuine three-mode nonlocality for arbitrary systems represented in phase space.

In the remainder of the paper we apply our phase-space formalism to the study of a few paradigmatic examples that will serve as useful benchmarks.

III. TESTING GENUINE NONLOCALITY FOR THREE-MODE ENTANGLED STATES

Here, we investigate genuine tripartite nonlocality using our phase-space formulation of Svetlichny-like inequalities by addressing three exemplary cases of three-mode entangled photon states. Specifically, we consider the three-mode single-photon entangled state,

\[ |\phi\rangle = \sqrt{1 - \frac{p}{3}} \left( |0\rangle|0\rangle|1\rangle + |0\rangle|1\rangle|0\rangle \right) + \sqrt{\frac{p}{3}} |1\rangle|1\rangle|0\rangle, \]

(12)

with \(p \in [0,1]\), which becomes a W state for \(p = 1\) and a two-mode single-photon entangled state for \(p = 0\). The state can be generated when a single photon enters a three-mode beam splitter (tritter). Its \(s\)-parametrized quasiprobability function can be written as

\[ W_\phi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; s) = \frac{8}{\pi^3 (1 - s)^3} e^{-2|\alpha|^2 - 2|\beta|^2 - 2|\gamma|^2} \times \left( -\frac{1 + s}{1 - s} + \frac{4}{(1 - s)^2} \sqrt{\frac{p}{3}} \right) \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{p}{3} \right) (\beta + \gamma)^2 \right)^s. \]

(13)

Quantum nonlocality of a two-mode single-photon state has been demonstrated theoretically [17], but so far there has been no addressing of the genuinely nonlocal nature of its three-mode counterpart.

The second example that we consider is the three-mode squeezed vacuum (3MSV) states introduced in Ref. [21], which can be generated by combining three single-mode squeezed states (with identical degree of squeezing \(r\)) at a tritter [21,29]. Its \(s\)-parametrized quasiprobability function is
where $\delta_1$ and $\delta_2$ denote the real and imaginary parts of $\delta = \alpha, \beta, \gamma$. Such Gaussian state has been introduced as the CV version of the GHZ entangled state [21], although for small squeezing it contains some residual two-mode entanglement and it can thus be also regarded as a representative of W-class entanglement [22]. While the violation of an MK inequality [21] and the presence of genuine tripartite entanglement [29] have been shown for a 3MSV, genuine multipartite nonlocality has not yet been demonstrated. As shown later on in our manuscript, our analysis fills this important gap.

Finally, we address entangled coherent states (ECS) of the form,

$$|\text{ECS}\rangle = \mathcal{N}(|\zeta\rangle|\zeta\rangle - |\zeta\rangle - \zeta\rangle - \zeta\rangle),$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

where $\zeta$ is the amplitude of a single coherent state (we assume for simplicity that $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}$) and $\mathcal{N}$ is a normalization constant. A scheme for the generation of these states was introduced in Ref. [30]. Although states [ECS] have been regarded as the entangled coherent state version of GHZ-class entanglement [30], it has been also known that, in the limit of $\zeta \to 0$, Eq. (15) reduces to Eq. (13) with $p = 1$. Therefore, depending on the amplitude of the state components, it might be possible to identify two distinct behaviors of the Svetlichny parameter, associated with either GHZ or W-class entanglement. The $s$-parametrized quasiprobability function of $|\text{ECS}\rangle$ is

$$W_{\text{ECS}}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; s) = \frac{8\mathcal{N}^2}{\pi^3(1-s)^3} \left( \sum_{\alpha=\pm} e^{-2\alpha^2+\beta^2+2\gamma^2} \right) \left( 2 \mathcal{N} \left( \frac{\alpha \beta}{1-s} + \gamma \right)^2 \cos \left( \frac{4\zeta(\alpha \beta + \gamma)}{1-s} \right) \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

It would also be tempting to study the ECS states $\mathcal{N}(|0\rangle|0\rangle|\zeta\rangle + |0\rangle|\zeta\rangle|0\rangle + |\zeta\rangle|0\rangle|0\rangle)$, sometimes referred to as W-type ECS [30]. However, the nonlocality of this class of states cannot be revealed by relying on Bell-like tests based on local displacement in phase space, as considered here, and require the use of local operations based on Kerr-like nonlinearities instead [30].

The three paradigmatic classes of states introduced above will be now studied against their tripartite nonlocal character. Later on in this section we consider the behavior of both MK and Svetlichny-like parameters against the influences of dissipation affecting such states, which will allow us to comment on the robustness of genuine tripartite nonlocality.

A. Demonstrating genuine three-mode nonlocality in phase space

We start investigating the violations of both MK- and Svetlichny-type inequalities for each of the entangled states discussed above. As in our formalism quantum nonlocality is independent of the local measurement setting associated with parameter $s$, the violation of a Svetlichny-like inequality for a suitably chosen $s$ guarantees the presence of genuine three-mode nonlocality in the specific entangled state being studied. In the following, we refer to the genuine tripartite nonlocality exhibited by states belonging to the GHZ- and W-class entangled states as GHZ-type and W-type nonlocality, respectively.

The genuine tripartite W-type nonlocal nature of a single-photon entangled state $|\phi\rangle$ for proper choices of $p$ can be appreciated from the right panel of Fig. 1(a): Both the MK and Svetlichny inequalities are violated maximally by a test designed using the Husimi $Q$ function (i.e., for $s = -1$). However, while the MK inequality is violated for all values of $s$ (Fig. 1(a)), left panel), the Svetlichny one is violated only close to $s = -1$, implying the relevance of the choice of suitable local measurements to demonstrate genuine three-mode nonlocality. Clearly, when $p = 0$ the state is solely endowed with two-mode entanglement, which yields the violation of the MK test only. The Svetlichny parameter

![FIG. 1. (Color online) Behavior of the $s$-parametrized MK and Svetlichny parameter $|M|$ and $|S|$ for (a) the single-photon W state $|\phi\rangle$ (with variable $p$), (b) the three-mode squeezed vacuum state ($r$ being the degree of squeezing), and (c) the GHZ-type ECS ($\zeta$ is the amplitude of each coherent-state component).](image)
depends monotonically on $p$ and achieves its maximum value at $p = 1$.

For the three-mode squeezed vacuum states, the Svetlichny inequality can be violated only in a region of values very close to $s = 0$ [cf. Fig. 1(b), right panel], where the dependence of $\mathcal{S}$ on the degree of squeezing is monotonic and where observables embodied by local displaced parity operations (as it is the case for phase-space formulation of Bell-like tests based on the Wigner function) are optimal. Note that our study reveals tripartite nonlocality of the 3MSV in a case where the analysis in Ref. [29] only ensures the existence of genuine tripartite entanglement. From the observation in Ref. [22] that the entanglement of any two-mode subsystem disappears for a sufficiently large degree of squeezing $r$, we claim for GHZ-type nonlocality, in this case. For small values of $r$, though, W-class entanglement becomes dominant in the state. For example, it is known that a three-mode squeezed vacuum state with $r \simeq 0.5$ contains W-type entanglement dominantly as its residual two-mode entanglement becomes maximum [22]. This is in agreement with our analysis of the MK parameter $M$, whose formulation in terms of the $Q$ function ($s = -1$) maximizes the degree of violation of the MK inequality, as shown in the left panel of Fig. 1(b). However, note that W-type nonlocality does not appear in this range of $r$, implying that not all W-class entanglement can yield genuine W-type nonlocality in phase space.

For the ECS in Eq. (15), both GHZ and W-type nonlocality can emerge with an appropriate choice of $s$, as shown in Fig. 1(c). For small amplitudes $\zeta$, genuine tripartite nonlocality is observed for a test built using the $Q$ function. This part of the tripartite nonlocality phase diagram for an ECS is originated by the W-class entanglement of a single-photon state and thus reveals W-type nonlocality. Needless to say, the results associated with $\zeta \to 0$ are the same as those obtained for $p = 1$ in state $|\phi \rangle$ and shown in Fig. 1(a) (right panel). On the other hand, GHZ-type nonlocality is achieved in a very narrow region close to $s = 0$. In this case, a test based on the Wigner function (i.e., the use of local parity measurements) is optimal for demonstrating CV GHZ-type nonlocality. As shown in Fig. 2, the W- and GHZ-type nonlocal characters are interchanged at $\zeta \simeq 0.455$; past this point, the W type (best revealed by taking $s = -1$, i.e., using a test based on the Husimi $Q$ function) disappears to leave room for a GHZ-type character (to be tested by the Wigner function). Therefore, genuine tripartite nonlocality can be observed for all ranges of $\zeta$ with suitably chosen local measurement setting.

\section*{IV. EFFECTS OF DETECTION INEFFECTIVENESS AND LOCAL DAMPING ON GENUINE NONLOCALITY}

Here we investigate the effect of the two important detrimental mechanisms on the genuine three-mode nonlocality demonstrated in Sec. III. Realistic implementations of CV nonlocality tests should take into account both the inefficiency of the detectors used to collect the statistics and the potential interaction of each local mode participating to the state being probed with environmental baths. Both effects can be effectively described as changes of the parameter $s$ used in our formalism. In particular, as it is shown in

\begin{equation}
W_3(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; s) \to \frac{1}{t'(\tau)} W_3 \left( \frac{\alpha}{t(\tau)}, \frac{\beta}{t(\tau)}, \frac{\gamma}{t(\tau)}; s'(\tau) \right),
\end{equation}

with $t(\tau) = \sqrt{1 - r^2(\tau)} = e^{\frac{-\tau^2}{4}}, \tau$ the mode-bath interaction time, and

\begin{equation}
s'(\tau) = \frac{s - r^2(\tau)(1 + 2\eta)}{t'(\tau)}.
\end{equation}

Equipped with these tools, we can now address the effects of both detection inefficiency and local damping on the W- and GHZ-type nonlocality.

In Fig. 3, we plot the effect induced by imperfect detection on the behavior of the Svetlichny parameter for a three-mode ECS. Linking to our previous considerations, we focus on the trade-off between W- and GHZ-type nonlocality. We use the trends shown in Fig. 2 as a benchmark for the functional behavior associated with $\eta < 1$. Clearly,
GHZ-type nonlocality is somehow more prone to the effects of imperfect detection, as the solid-line traits in Fig. 3 (associated with Wigner function-based nonlocality tests, i.e., for $s = 0$) disappear quickly for even very weakly inefficient detectors. The W-type nonlocal character appears to be quite more robust: $\eta \gtrsim 0.97$ is required to demonstrate GHZ-type nonlocality for the entangled coherent state with $\zeta = 1.0$, while W-type nonlocality for the state with $\zeta = 0.1$ can be observed with $\eta \gtrsim 0.955$. Needless to say, this fragility is partly due to the large amplitude of the coherent-state components needed to test GHZ-type nonlocality. Continuing our analysis, we see that, expectedly, in comparison with the MK parameter, the Svetlichny one is quite more sensitive. In Fig. 4, we find the threshold in the detection efficiency that is needed in order to observe the violation of both MK and Svetlichny inequalities by a three-mode ECS. The verification of genuine W-type nonlocality with a W-class ECS state ($\zeta = 0.1$) requires $\eta \gtrsim 0.955$, while $\eta \gtrsim 0.78$ is enough to observe the violation of the MK inequality. These considerations hold, qualitatively, also when the effector local damping is considered [cf. Fig. 5].

Our final confederation in this respect is that the robustness shown by the MK parameter for a given three-mode state does not always imply an equally robust Svetlichny parameter. For example, the three-mode squeezed vacuum state with degree of squeezing $r = 0.5$ exhibits maximal W-class entanglement [22] and has an associated MK parameter that is more resilient to detection inefficiencies than the ECS with amplitude $\zeta = 0.1$ as shown in Fig. 4. However, it does not yield any genuine W-type nonlocality, even with perfect detectors, in contrast with the somehow more fragile ECS.

V. PHYSICAL SETTINGS

The progresses made in the last 10 years in the design, manipulation, and control of mesoscopic quantum systems consisting of hybrid components has now made possible the experimental realization of interfaces between devices as diverse as ultracold atoms and high-finesse cavities [32] or micromechanical oscillators [33]. On the other hand, the development of well-acquired techniques for the spacial confinement of charge atoms has now reached outstanding levels allowing for the quantum processing of tens of individual particles [34]. This is very interesting for the purposes of our study. Indeed, although throughout this paper we have used the language typical of all-optical implementation of CV systems, the formal apparatus built in our study applies, needless to say, to any effective bosonic system, regardless of its physical embodiment. This makes the state of ensembles of cold and/or ultracold atoms, micro- or nanomechanical oscillators, and the vibrational degree of freedom of trapped particles, as well as the interface between such systems, perfectly suited to be tested via the phase-space approach described here. In fact, our formalism holds the potential to embody a very powerful instrument for the analysis of the sharing of quantum correlations among the elements of a multipartite hybrid system comprising effective bosonic modes of various natures, as well as truly many-body arrays of identical trapped particles whose vibrational degrees of freedom would embody the register of bosons to study. In particular, we can explicitly mention linear [34], as well as planar [35] and multipole ion traps [36], which are able to accommodate ions trapped in unidimensional, as well as bidimensional configurations (so-called ionic crystals: bidimensional structures are formed either via crystallization of explicit confinement of multi-ion arrays). In this case, the vibrational degrees of freedom of the
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of such instances of physical systems. The details of the
implementation of each of them are discussed extensively in
the references mentioned in the caption. A feature of
such systems is that measurements on the components of
a multipartite state can be implemented efficiently using
state of the art technology. For trapped ions, for instance,
resonance fluorescence can be used to implement, say, phonon-
counting measurements and the interaction between the spin
of an ion and its vibrational state to implement the positive
operator valued measurement in Eq. (6). In the optomechanical
scenario, on the other hand, the state of mechanical systems can
be subjected to indirect measurements by mechanics-to-optics
mapping that write the mechanical state onto that of a probing

density matrix, interfacing with the environment via simple
interaction leads to a classical density matrix. For trapped ions,
if the environment is a large number of cold oscillators, a
Markovian and approximately stationary state. The result is
indistinguishable from the state of an ion that interacts only
with the light field [40].

FIG. 6. (Color online) Exemplary physical systems where our
phase-space formalism could be applied to investigate genuine
multipartite nonlocality: (a) an ultracold atomic system loaded into
an intracavity double-well potential [39]; (b) a Coulomb crystal of
ions confined on a planar on-chip trap (the dashed triangle identified a
subsystem of three ions whose state can be studied with our proposed
tools. Red (blue) arrows show nearest-neighbor (next-to-nearest-
neighbor) interactions [36]; (c) a mechanical membrane in the middle
of a cavity [40]; (d) a doped microtoroid coupled to a fiber [41]; (e)
a hybrid optomechanical device including a mechanical mode and a
trapped particle [44] or Bose-Einstein condensate [42].

light field [40]. The measurement scheme that is appropriate
for the doped microtoroid setting illustrated in Fig. 6, finally,
is discussed at length in Ref. [41].

VI. CONCLUSIONS

We have formulated Svetlichny-type Bell tests for s-
parametrized quasiprobability functions in phase space and
tested them using interesting three-mode CV states. GHZ- and
W-type nonlocality of the states can be distinguished, with our
tools, by properly adjusting the value of s. GHZ-type nonlo-
cality with many photons appears to be witnessed using local
parity measurements, while single-photon W-type nonlocality
requires on-off measurements (i.e., the Husimi function). This
reflects the fact that testing genuine multipartite nonlocality
would require the pondered choice of local measurement
settings, depending on the type of state being studied, in
contrast to the violation of MK, which occurs for a wide ranges
of values of s.

We observe that not all W-class entanglement can violate a
Svetlichny inequality, indicating that the nonlocal character
of genuinely multimode entangled states does not always coincide with genuine multimode nonlocality. In studying
the behavior of Svetlichny parameters for tripartite entangled
states, we found that ECSs are useful to demonstrate genuine
three-mode nonlocality in the whole range of amplitudes of the
state components and that, the stronger GHZ-type nonlocality,
the more fragile it is under detrimental effects. Differently,
a pronounced W-type nonlocal character is robust to detection
inefficiency and local damping.

Our results consistently show that multiphoton states are
endowed with very fragile genuinely multipartite nonlocality
content. As our analysis reveals, W-type nonlocality is exhib-
ited by states of a small amplitude (asymptotically, multimode
single-photon states), while GHZ-type nonlocality is inherent
in GHZ-class entangled states of many photons. This explains
why the GHZ-type nonlocality is found to be more prone to
environmental actions.

Our phase-space inequalities embody useful and powerful
tools for the investigation of multipartite quantumness in
quantum technology settings of current experimental interests.
As discussed in this paper, there is a large fan of physical
configurations, involving effective bosons of various physical
embodiments, that can benefit from the application of phase-
space methods for the tests of multipartite nonlocality, a task
that we will pursue in the close future.
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APPENDIX A

In this Appendix we report the explicit form of the $s$-parametrized MK parameters for the two ranges of values of $s$ stated in the main body of the paper. Following the approach described in Sec. II, we obtain the MK parameters,

\[
\mathcal{M}_{[1-s,0]} = \frac{\pi^3}{8} (1-s)^6 \mathcal{D}_{\omega}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma; s)
\]

\[
+ \frac{\pi^3}{4} (1-s)^4 \mathcal{D}_{\omega}(\alpha,\beta; s) + \mathcal{D}_{\omega}(\beta,\gamma; s)
\]

\[
+ \mathcal{D}_{\omega}(\gamma,\alpha; s)
\]

\[
+ \pi (1-s)^2 \sum_{\delta=\alpha,\beta,\gamma} W_1(\delta; s) + 2s^3,
\]

\[
\mathcal{M}_{[s,-1]} = \frac{\pi^3}{4} (1-s)^3 \mathcal{D}_{\omega}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma; s)
\]

\[
+ \pi^2 (1-s)^2 \mathcal{D}_{\omega}(\alpha,\beta; s)
\]

\[
+ \mathcal{D}_{\omega}(\beta,\gamma; s) + \mathcal{D}_{\omega}(\gamma,\alpha; s)
\]

\[
+ 2\pi (1-s) \sum_{\delta=\alpha,\beta,\gamma} W_1(\delta; s) - 2,
\]

where we have introduced the function,

\[
\mathcal{D}_{\omega}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma; s) = W_2(\alpha,\beta,\gamma; s) + W_2(\alpha,\beta',\gamma; s)
\]

\[
+ W_2(\alpha',\beta,\gamma; s) - W_2(\alpha',\beta',\gamma; s),
\]

and say,

\[
\mathcal{D}_{\omega}(\alpha,\beta; s) = W_2(\alpha,\beta; s) + W_2(\alpha,\beta'; s)
\]

\[
+ W_2(\alpha',\beta; s) - W_2(\alpha',\beta'; s),
\]

which are defined in terms of the set of measurement outcomes $\delta = \{\delta, \delta'\}$ with $\delta = \alpha, \beta, \gamma$, the three-mode correlator,

\[
W_3(\alpha,\beta,\gamma; s) = \frac{1}{\pi^3 (1-s)^3} \text{Tr}[\hat{\rho} \hat{f}_1(\alpha; s) \otimes \hat{f}(\beta; s) \otimes \hat{f}(\gamma; s)]
\]

and its marginals,

\[
W_2(\alpha,\beta; s) = \int W_3(\alpha,\beta,\gamma; s) d^2 \gamma,
\]

\[
W_1(\alpha; s) = \int W_3(\alpha,\beta,\gamma; s) d^2 \beta d^2 \gamma.
\]

Finally, we provide the form of the Svetlichny parameter expressed in terms of the Wigner and Husimi $Q$ functions, which reads

\[
S_{[1-s,0]} = \frac{\pi^3}{8} \{ \mathcal{D}_{\omega}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma; 0) \pm \mathcal{D}_{\omega}'(\alpha,\beta,\gamma; 0) \},
\]

\[
S_{[s,-1]} = 8\pi^3 \{ \mathcal{D}_{Q}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma) + \mathcal{D}'_{Q}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma) \}
\]

\[
+ 8\pi^2 \{ Q(\alpha,\beta') + Q(\beta,\gamma') + Q(\gamma,\alpha') \}
\]

\[
+ 8\pi^2 \{ Q(\alpha',\beta) + Q(\beta',\gamma) + Q(\gamma',\alpha) \}
\]

\[
+ 4\pi \sum_{\delta=\alpha,\beta,\gamma} \{ Q(\delta) + Q(\delta') \},
\]

respectively, where

\[
\mathcal{D}'_{\omega}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma; 0) = W(\alpha',\beta',\gamma) + W(\alpha',\beta,\gamma')
\]

\[
+ W(\alpha',\gamma') - W(\alpha,\beta,\gamma).
\]

APPENDIX B

In this Appendix we provide the formal details behind the replacements to be operated on the $s$-parametrized quasiprobability distributions under the effects of imperfect detectors and local amplitude damping at nonzero temperature.

We start considering the effects of imperfect detection, which can be in general described using a virtual beam splitter placed in front of a detector with perfect efficiency. Detection efficiency is thus associated with the transmittivity $\eta$ of the virtual beam splitter, which changes the true photon-number distribution $P(n)$ of a signal into the measured quantity $P_\eta(m) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(n) (\eta/n)^m e^{m/n}$. Correspondingly, the measured quasiprobability function at the origin of phase space (i.e., for $\alpha = 0$) reads

\[
W_\eta(0; s) = \frac{2}{\pi (1-s)^{1/2}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{s+1}{s-1} \right)^m P_\eta(m)
\]

\[
= \frac{W(0; 1-s)}{\eta}.
\]

The $s$-parametrized quasiprobability function measured by a detector with efficiency $\eta$ can thus be identified with a rescaled quasiprobability function characterized by the inefficiency-dependent parameter $\eta$.

\[
s' = -\frac{1-s-\eta}{\eta}.
\]

Let us now describe the state evolution in local thermal environments. The scope is to account for such dynamics as the changes of $s$. The effect of the local thermal baths can be modeled by the mixture, at a beam splitter, of the state of the mode under scrutiny with a thermal field. This helps modeling the dynamics of the three modes in terms of a Fokker-Planck equation for the $s$-parametrized quasiprobability distribution function reading [46],

\[
\frac{\partial W(\alpha,\beta,\gamma; s; \tau)}{\partial \tau} = \frac{\Gamma}{2} \sum_{\delta=\alpha,\beta,\gamma} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \delta} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \delta'} \right] W(\alpha,\beta,\gamma; s; \tau)
\]

\[
+ \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + \eta \right) \sum_{\delta=\alpha,\beta,\gamma} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \delta \partial \delta'} W(\alpha,\beta,\gamma; s; \tau),
\]

where $\tau$ is the system-bath interaction time and $\Gamma$ is the energy decay rate into the environmental baths each of average thermal photon number $\tilde{n}$. The evolution can be cast into the form of a convolution between the quasiprobability function of the three system modes and those of the three environmental baths at thermal equilibrium, that is,

\[
W(\alpha,\beta,\gamma; s; \tau)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{\pi^3} \int d^2 \alpha' d^2 \beta' d^2 \gamma' \Pi_{\delta'} W^{\delta'}(\delta'; s)
\]

\[
\times W\left( \frac{\alpha - r(\tau)\alpha'}{r(\tau)}, \frac{\beta - r(\tau)\beta'}{r(\tau)}, \frac{\gamma - r(\tau)\gamma'}{r(\tau)}; s; 0 \right).
\]
where $r(\tau)$ and $t(\tau)$ have been introduced in the body of the paper, and
\[
W^R(\alpha; s) = \frac{2}{\pi(2n + 1 - s)} e^{-\frac{\alpha^2}{4n}}
\]
(B5) is the $s$-parametrized quasiprobability function for the thermal state of the average thermal photon number $\bar{n}$. The environmental effects can thus be identified with temporal changes of the quasiprobability function as stated in Eq. (18), which concludes our formal assessment.