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A B S T R A C T
Ion acceleration driven by high intensity laser pulses is attracting an impressive and steadily increasing research effort. Experiments over the past 10–15 years have demonstrated, over a wide range of laser and target parameters, the generation of multi-MeV proton and ion beams with unique properties, which have stimulated interest in a number of innovative applications. While most of this work has been based on sheath acceleration processes, where space-charge fields are established by relativistic electrons at surfaces of the irradiated target, a number of novel mechanisms has been the focus of recent theoretical and experimental activities. This paper will provide a brief review of the state of the art in the field of laser-driven ion acceleration, with particular attention to recent developments.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction
The first experiments reporting laser acceleration of protons with beam-like properties and multi-MeV energies in laser experiments were reported in 2000 [1–3]. Experiments over the following 13 years have demonstrated, over a wide range of laser and target parameters, the generation of multi-MeV proton and ion beams with unique properties such as ultrashort burst emission, high brilliance, and low emittance, which have in turn stimulated ideas for a range of innovative applications. While most of this work has been based on sheath acceleration processes, where space-charge fields are established by relativistic electrons at surfaces of the irradiated target, a number of novel mechanisms have been at the center of recent theoretical and experimental activities. This paper will provide a brief review of the state of the art and recent developments in the field. A more extensive survey is provided in [6–8].

2. Sheath acceleration
This is the acceleration mechanism active in most experiments carried out so far, and it was proposed [4] as an interpretative framework of the multi-MeV proton observations reported in [2], obtained on the NOVA Petawatt laser at LLNL (the name Target Normal Sheath Acceleration, TNSA, is generally used).

Acceleration through this mechanism employs thin foils (typically from a few μm to tens of μm thickness), which are irradiated by an intense laser pulse. In the intensity regime of relevance (as a guideline, \( I_l^2 > 10^{18} \text{W/cm}^2 \)), the laser pulse can couple efficiently energy into relativistic electrons, mainly through ponderomotive processes (e.g., \( J \times B \) mechanism [9]). The average energy of the electrons is typically of MeV order, e.g., their collisional range is much larger than the foil thickness, so that they can propagate to the rear of the target, and drive the acceleration of ions from surface layers via the space-charge field established as they try to move away from the target. While a limited number of energetic electrons will effectively leave the target, most of the hot electrons will be backheld within the target volume by the space charge, and will form a sheath extending by approximately a Debye length \( \lambda_D \) from the initially unperturbed rear surface. According to the model developed in [2], the initial accelerating field will be given by

\[
E(0) = \frac{k T_h e}{e \lambda_D} = \sqrt{\frac{n_{ih} k T_h}{e \lambda_D}}
\]

where \( n_{ih} \) and \( T_h \) are density and temperature of the hot electrons, which for typical values at \( I_l^2 \sim 10^{18} \text{ W/cm}^2 \), i.e., \( \lambda_D \sim 1 \mu \text{m} \) and \( T_h \sim 1 \text{ MeV} \), gives field amplitudes of order \( T V/m \). Under the right combination of target thickness and pulse duration, the hot electrons recirculate through the target during the ion acceleration process, which can lead to an enhancement of the ion energy [10]. TNSA from the front surface has normally reduced efficiency due to the presence of a preplasma, although symmetric acceleration from front and rear has indeed been observed in ultra-high contrast interactions with moderate intensity ultrashort pulses, where front preplasma formation is effectively suppressed [11].

While TNSA can in principle accelerate any ion species present in surface layers, in most experimental settings this results in preferential acceleration of light ions (protons, carbon and oxygen ions) from...
contaminant layers rather than ions from the target bulk. Protons, with the highest charge to mass ratio, are therefore the dominant component of TNSA ion beams, unless the target is suitably treated prior to the laser irradiation to remove the contaminants [12].

According to (1) the field can be large enough to accelerate ions to multi-MeV energies, which have indeed been observed in a very large number of experiments. The energy spectra of the ion beams observed are broadband, typically with an exponential profile, up to high energy cut-off, which is the quantity normally used to compare the highest TNSA energies reported are of multi-MeV energies, which have indeed been observed in a very large number of experiments. The energy spectra of the ion beams observed are broadband, typically with an exponential profile, up to a high energy cut-off, which is the quantity normally used to compare different experiments and determine experimental scaling laws for the acceleration process. The highest TNSA energies reported are of the order of 60 MeV, obtained with large PW systems, and available data (e.g., see Fig. 1) generally shows that, at equal intensities, longer pulses (of ∼ ps duration) containing more energy generally accelerate ions more efficiently than pulses with duration of tens of fs. Using state of the art fs systems has however recently allowed increasing the energies of accelerated protons up to a reported 40 MeV [14], obtained with only a few J of laser energy on target (see [17] for an up to date survey).

The properties of the beams accelerated via TNSA are quite different from those of conventional RF beams, to which they are superior under several aspects. The beams are characterized by ultralow transverse emittance (as low as 0.004 mm-mrad, according to the estimate given in [18]), and by ultrashort (∼ ps) duration at the source. The beams are bright, with $10^{11} – 10^{12}$ protons per shot with energies ≥ MeV, corresponding to currents in the kA range if co-moving electrons are removed. However, the number of protons at the high-energy end of the spectrum (i.e., the energies plotted in Fig. 1) can be as low as $10^{7} – 10^{9}$ particles/MeV/sr, (e.g., see [17] for a discussion related to recently published data)- with a divergence of a few degrees this gives ∼ $10^{5} – 10^{7}$ particles/MeV. Drawbacks, as compared with conventional accelerator beams, are the larger divergence (up to 10 s of degrees, and energy dependent) and, as mentioned earlier, the broad spectrum.

4. TNSA scaling and optimization

Increasing the laser intensity on target should generally lead to an increase of the cut-off energies of TNSA spectra, as shown in Fig. 1. However there is still debate on what is the most appropriate scaling for ion energies as a function of irradiance, and is also clear that, in addition to the role of pulse energy highlighted in Fig. 1, several secondary factors (e.g., such as prepulse energy and duration, target thickness) also affect the maximum energy measurable. Parametric investigations of the dependence of $E_{\text{max}}$ on laser pulse irradiance, duration, energy and fluence have been reported (e.g., [15,16,21,22]). Two main classes of approaches have been developed to describe analytically the TNSA process with the aim of matching current results and predict performance at higher intensities. A first approach considers ions and hot electrons as an expanding plasma, described with fluid models [4,5,21] as an extension of the classical case of a plasma expanding into vacuum, driven by the ambipolar electric field generated in a narrow layer at the front of the plasma cloud. Simplest models are isothermal, and require that the acceleration time is artificially constrained [22], while more realistic adiabatic models, accounting for the finite energy of the hot electrons, have also been developed [22,23].

A different class of models assumes that the most energetic ions are accelerated as test particles in a static sheath field, unperturbed by their acceleration. These static models rely on an accurate description of the sheath field based on realistic assumptions on the fast electron distributions. For example, in [24], a spatial truncation of the electric potential in the sheath is introduced, and used to develop a model for the maximum ion energy as a function of the relevant laser parameters (energy and intensity). Scalings for the ion energy based on this model appear to match a large fraction of experimental results so far [25], and can be used as a predictive tool for future performance. Taking 200 MeV H$^+$ energy as a benchmark, predictions of the intensity requirement for reaching this cut-off value based on the two different approaches discussed above give intensities of mid $10^{21}$ W/cm$^2$ for ∼ ps pulses [22], and ∼ $10^{22}$ W/cm$^2$ for 10 s of fs pulses [25].
Several approaches have been developed to improve TNSA efficiency by acting on the characteristics of the hot electron population driving the acceleration through modifications of the target design [8]. According to Ref. [1] the accelerating field can be modified either by increasing the electron density or the temperature. The use of the so-called mass limited targets, aims to reduce the transverse size of the accelerating foils and concentrating the electrons within a smaller volume so that their density is increased during the acceleration process. This approach was first demonstrated in Ref. [26] where reduction of the transverse size of the foil down to $20 \times 20 \mu m^2$ resulted in a 3-fold protons energy increase with respect to a large mm-size foil, jointly to a sizeable increase in conversion efficiency. The highest published TNSA proton energy so far ($67.5 \text{ MeV}$) has been obtained by using specially designed targets, i.e., flat-top hollow micro-cones [27], based on a target design used in fast ignition experiments. In these targets the interaction of the laser pulse with the wall of the cones results in an increase of the number of fast electron at the high-energy end of the spectrum, and, consequently, an enhancement of the accelerating field. A further class of experiments aims to optimize laser energy absorption into hot electrons by structuring the target: a recent example of this approach is reported in Ref. [28], where foils coated with microspheres (diameter $\sim \lambda/2$) on the irradiated surface showed, compared to uncoated foils, a clear improvement in the energy cut-off of the spectrum of the accelerated protons. For a review of other approaches, including the use of foam layers, controlled pre-plasmas, or double pulses, see [8].

5. Emerging acceleration mechanisms

While experimental activity has focused until recently on the study of TNSA beams, other mechanisms have recently attracted a significant amount of theoretical and experimental attention, and some of these mechanisms are briefly discussed in the following sections. We refer the reader to Ref. [8] for a more thorough discussion.

5.1. Hole boring acceleration

The radiation pressure of an intense laser pulse can be coupled to the electrons of an overdense plasma slab via the ponderomotive force [31]

$$f_p = -\frac{m_e}{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \omega_0^2 \alpha(x)(1 - \cos 2\omega_0 t)$$

(2)

where $v_{qs}$ is the quiver velocity of the electrons and $\omega_0$ is the laser frequency.

The force has an oscillating term (which gives rise to $J \times B$ heating and creates a population of hot electrons [9]) and a steady term, which acts on the bulk of the electrons, and creates a space-charge field via their local displacement. If this is sustained for a long enough time, it can accelerate the target ions. In a semi-infinite target this leads to the so-called Hole-Boring (HB) phenomenon [29]. Ions are set in motion toward the interior of the target with the hole boring velocity, which is determined by the intensity of the laser and the density of the medium. The energy of the ions in this regime scales linearly with $I_p^{-1}$ in a classical approach, although relativistic corrections (necessary at ultrahigh intensities) lead to a slower, more complex scaling [30].

In a recent experiment employing CO$_2$ lasers, evidence of “pure” monochromatic spectra originated from this type of mechanism has been observed [31]. This experiment employed gas jet targets, exploiting the fact that electron densities above $10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ are already overdense for the $\sim 10 \mu m$ wavelength of a CO$_2$ laser. The proton spectra obtained show a clean monoenergetic signal at $\sim 1 \text{ MeV}$ which is broadly consistent with HB in the conditions of the experiment. Although the energy observed so far through HB is modest, the dependence on both target density and laser intensity allows designing suitable acceleration scenarios for acceleration to hundreds of MeV, as suggested in [30,32] (see Fig. 2).

5.2. Shock acceleration

An acceleration scheme related to HB, but conceptually different, is the so-called Shock Acceleration (SA), first proposed in Ref. [33]. In this scheme, the light applied at the front surface of the target, acts as the source of a strong high Mach-number collisionless electrostatic shock propagating towards the bulk of the plasma. Acceleration arises as ions present in the bulk of the target are reflected from the shock front to twice the shock velocity. Recent results also obtained with a CO$_2$ laser have been explained with this mechanism, namely monoenergetic acceleration of protons up to 22 MeV from the interaction with hydrogen gas jets at intensities in the $10^{16}$–$10^{17} \text{ W cm}^{-2}$ regime [34].

5.3. Light sail acceleration

The idea of applying ultraintense radiation pressure to a thin foil and drive forward the whole irradiated region of the foil under the effect of the laser piston was first proposed by Esirkepov et al. [35]. This concept was later developed by several other authors (e.g., [36,37]) and is now generally referred to as Light Sail (LS). In LS the irradiated region of an ultrathin foil is first compressed into a plasma slab through an initial HB phase, and then propelled forward in vacuum by the radiation pressure once the compressed layer has reached the rear of the foil [38,39].

LS is effective as long as the compressed foil stays opaque to the radiation, since target transparency implies a decrease of the radiation pressure drive [37]. Although the detailed dynamics are complex, in first approximation the overall motion of the foil can be modeled by assuming that the laser momentum is transferred to the thin foil [35], which leads to a fast scaling of the ion energy $e \sim (I_0 \sigma t)^2$ where $\sigma = \pi r^2$ is the foil’s areal density (with $t$ its thickness) [37] and implies natively narrow band spectra. For LS to be effective, it is essential that the radiation pressure is strong enough to overcome detrimental effects related to electron
heating, such as foil disassembly under the thermal pressure of hot electrons, or debunching of the compressed foil [39], and to dominate over TNSA. For this purpose, simulations have mostly used circularly polarized (CP) pulses, for which the ponderomotive force does not oscillate within a cycle, and electron heating mechanisms such as $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ heating are in principle suppressed [40]. Recent work has, however, highlighted hybrid RPA-TNSA regimes using linearly polarized pulses, where, under appropriate conditions, RPA features dominate the ion spectra [41], and has investigated the more complex dynamics associated with RPA of multispecies targets.

Experiments employing ultrathin foils have recently started to show signatures of RPA acceleration processes, namely effective acceleration of bulk species [42], spectral peaks [43–45], fast scaling consistent with LS theoretical predictions [43]. Fig. 3 shows experimental data from [43] plotted against data from published 2D PIC simulation, and scaling from a simple LS model. The steep rise in ion energy obtainable by either decreasing the areal density or increasing the fluence is extremely encouraging. For example, starting from the experimental data, taken using 100 nm Cu irradiated at $3 \times 10^{20}$ W/cm$^2$, one may be able to reach 100 MeV/nucleon energies by increasing the fluence by a factor 2 and decreasing the target density by a factor 2.5 [43].

5.4. Relativistic transparency regimes

Acceleration regimes in which the target becomes relativistically transparent to the laser pulse are also of interest, and have been explored recently in a number of experiments [46–49]. In these investigations the target areal density is chosen so that the target is quickly heated by the laser pulse, and the density decreases below the relativistically corrected critical density near the peak of the pulse. In this regime, the interaction leads to volumetric heating of the target electrons, and to a consequent enhancement of the field accelerating the ions. In the Break Out Afterburner scenario proposed by the Los Alamos group [50], nonlinear processes lead to growth of electromagnetic instabilities, which further enhances energy coupling into the ions.

Experimental spectra obtained in this regime are generally broadband, with particle numbers decreasing to a high energy plateau and show efficient acceleration of the bulk components of the target. Cut-off energies for C$^4+$ ions from DLC target ranging from 40 MeV/nucleon [47] to a record 80 MeV/nucleon [49] have been recently inferred from experimental data. This latter result employed target cleaning techniques to remove the proton contaminants and increase the efficiency of the acceleration of the bulk species.

Deuteron ions produced in this regime of acceleration have recently been used to drive, with high efficiency, neutron production from nuclear reactions initiated in a secondary Be target [51].
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