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Abstract

Recent observations from the Interface Region Imaging Spectrograph appear to show impulsive brightenings in high temperature lines, which when combined with simultaneous ground-based observations in Hα, appear co-spatial to Ellerman Bombs (EBs). We use the RADYN one-dimensional radiative transfer code in an attempt to try and reproduce the observed line profiles and simulate the atmospheric conditions of these events. Combined with the MULTI/RH line synthesis codes, we compute the Hα, Ca II 8542 Å, and Mg II h and k lines for these simulated events and compare them to previous observations. Our findings hint that the presence of superheated regions in the photosphere (>10,000 K) is not a plausible explanation for the production of EB signatures. While we are able to recreate EB-like line profiles in Hα, Ca II 8542 Å, and Mg II h and k, we cannot achieve agreement with all of these simultaneously.
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1. Introduction

Ellerman Bombs (EBs) were first noticed in Ellerman (1917), who noted brightenings in the wings of the Hα, Hβ, and Hγ lines. These events have also been observed in the wings of Ca II 8542 Å (Fang et al. 2006; Socas-Navarro et al. 2006; Pariat et al. 2007; Li et al. 2015; Vissers et al. 2015), as well as in Ca II H images (Matsumoto et al. 2008a; Hashimoto et al. 2010). They show no observable enhancement in the core of these line profiles as these are formed in the overlying chromospheric canopy. EBs are considered a solely photospheric/low chromospheric phenomenon (Vissers et al. 2013).

EB brightenings are also observable in the Solar Dynamics Observatory (SDO) 1700 and 1600 Å channels (Qu et al. 2000; Georgoulis et al. 2002; Pariat et al. 2007; Berlicki et al. 2010; Vissers et al. 2013), though to a lesser degree than the Hα line wings due to the broad passbands of these filters encompassing a wide range of atmospheric heights (Vissers et al. 2013). While the 1600 Å channel offers better contrast than the 1700 Å channel (Rutten et al. 2013; Vissers et al. 2013), EB signatures are more difficult to observe in the 1600 Å channel due to contamination effects from C IV emission with transition region temperatures.

EBs typically last for a few minutes and appear rather impulsively in comparison with other photospheric brightenings such as moving magnetic features (MMFs). Reid et al. (2016) have shown that by introducing an impulsivity criterion, it is possible to distinguish between pseudo-EBs and EBs. EBs are generally observed with co-spatial blueshifts, or bi-directional Doppler shifts (Matsumoto et al. 2008a; Watanabe et al. 2011).

EBs are generally found in regions of opposite polarity magnetic flux (Georgoulis et al. 2002; Matsumoto et al. 2008b; Watanabe et al. 2008; Hashimoto et al. 2010; Nelson et al. 2013; Vissers et al. 2013). Recent spectropolarimetric inversions of these events show evidence for flux cancelation (Reid et al. 2016), with magnetic energies comparable to that of the radiative energy losses. EBs have been estimated to form in the temperature minimum region (Nelson et al. 2015), with foot-points reported to form as low as 300 km (Watanabe et al. 2011). As such, they are thought to appear due to photospheric magnetic reconnect, occurring around the temperature minimum region, where this process is most efficient (Litvinenko 1999).

Three-dimensional numerical modeling of photospheric reconnection has shown local temperature increases in the photosphere by a factor of 1.1–1.5 relative to quiet Sun, along with a density increase by a factor of 4 at the magnetic inversion line (Archontis & Hood 2009). The Archontis & Hood (2009) model has also shown bi-directional flows in the region, with values of 2–4 km s$^{-1}$. Semi-empirical models for EBs show localized temperature enhancements of 600–3000 K around the temperature minimum region (Fang et al. 2006; Berlicki & Heinzel 2014). These temperature enhancements lead to intensity enhancements in the wings of the Hα and Ca II 8542 Å lines. Other EB studies also find similar temperature enhancements (200–3000 K) in the photosphere/temperature minimum region (Georgoulis et al. 2002; Isobe et al. 2007; Yang et al. 2013; Hong et al. 2014; Li et al. 2015).

EBs have also been found within quiet-Sun regions of the photosphere (Rouppe van der Voort et al. 2016). The observational signatures of these QSEBs are not as pronounced as those found in the vicinity of active regions, though they are still located in regions of opposite polarity magnetic flux. This suggests that these events are identical to EBs occurring at locations of weaker magnetic flux cancelation.

More recently, observations using the IRIS instrument indicate that EB signatures have been found in the Mg II h and k wings, Si IV, and Cl lines (Peter et al. 2014; Kim et al. 2015; Vissers et al. 2015; Tian et al. 2016). These lines are sensitive to much higher temperatures (50,000–100,000 K) and sample the upper chromosphere and transition region. Vissers et al. (2015) suggest that they are formed below the chromospheric canopy due to superheating with temperatures...
up to 80,000 K. Judge (2015) has debated the origins of these bombs on the basis that the UV photons detected in the Si IV line cannot escape if formed below 500 km above the photospheric floor.

The MURaM code used by Reid et al. (2015) shows Hα wing enhancement at the magnetic inversion line of a bipolar structure, co-spatial with temperature enhancements. This event has shown flux cancelation, though the simulation was of quiet Sun, and so was more likely a QSEB. With the strong variance in temperatures required to produce the EB signatures, synthesizing these line profiles from hydrodynamical simulations can help clarify the processes involved and also offers an alternative approach to EB line formation modeling.

In this study, we use the RADYN code to model an EB-like atmosphere, with corresponding synthesis of the Hα (Section 2), Ca II 8542 Å (Section 2), and Mg II h and k (Section 3) line profiles for comparison with previous observational studies (e.g., Vissers et al. 2015).

2. Hα and Ca II 8542 Å Modeling

The RADYN code (Carlsson & Stein 1992, 1994, 1995) has been used extensively to study flare dynamics via beam heating in a one-dimensional solar atmosphere. Rubio da Costa et al. (2016) used the RADYN code in an attempt to model the atmosphere of an X1.0 solar flare, synthesizing the Hα, Ca II 8542 Å, and Mg II h and k line profiles to compare with observations. The code also has the ability to apply a time-dependent heating function into the atmosphere, and thus is aptly suited for the study of EBs.

Our RADYN simulations use the quiet-Sun starting atmosphere (QS, SL, LT in Allred et al. 2015). A time-dependent heating function is applied to the atmosphere, allowing for a range of energy deposition rates over various portions of the photosphere/lower chromosphere. The MULTI line synthesis code is used to synthesize the Hα and Ca II 8542 Å lines to attempt to replicate the signatures of the observed line profiles with emission in the wings, while leaving the line cores unchanged.

Previous estimates of EB energies are in the range of $10^{24}$–$10^{27}$ erg (Georgoulis et al. 2002; Fang et al. 2006; Li et al. 2015; Reid et al. 2016). If we assume a lifetime of $\sim 5$ minutes, and an active reconnection area of 300 km$^2$, the energy deposition rate would need to be of the order of 100–1000 erg cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$, assuming a vertical extent of $\sim 200$ km.

A grid of models was set up, applying heating rates between these values over 200 km in the photosphere (see Grid 1 in Table 1). The atmosphere takes roughly 9 s to stabilize after the heating is applied, with all measurements taken at $T = 10$ s. A temperature enhancement appears at the location of energy deposition, ranging between 300 and 2600 K, with 2600 K relating to the 1000 erg cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$ deposition rate. This is accompanied by an associated local electron density enhancement. Due to the sudden injection of energy, a shock forms at the deposition location. This creates bi-directional velocity flows up to 2 km s$^{-1}$. The upward velocity is at the leading edge of the shock and is stronger than the weaker, trailing downflow.

With the associated synthesized Hα line profiles, it was apparent that although the 100 erg cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$ could create a small enhancement, it was not sufficient to push the line wings into emission. With energy deposition rates of 300–700 erg cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$, the Hα line wing enhancement was 150% that of the background profile, while a deposition rate of 1000 erg cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$ appeared to more than double the line wing emission in Hα, while also enhancing the continuum by 20%, which is contrary to observations.

An energy deposition rate of 500 erg cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$ was thought to be sufficient to replicate an EB-like enhancement in the wings of Hα. This energy was then injected over 200 km, and placed across various regions of the photosphere/temperature minimum region in an attempt to locate the formation height of EBs (Grid 2). We also modeled the emission in the Ca II 8542 Å line because, by considering two lines formed at different heights, we can better constrain the location of EB energy deposition. Figure 1 shows the response of the atmosphere to the injected energy, along with the corresponding line profiles.

Since the density decreases with increasing height, depositing energy higher in the atmosphere results in greater temperature enhancements. A maximum temperature of 9000 K was generated in Grid 2. Bi-directional flows are also formed, with peak velocities up to 5 km s$^{-1}$. These values are stronger than that of Grid 1. The electron density increase is not linear with the height of injected energy. The increase appears to plateau around $1.5 \times 10^{13}$ cm$^{-3}$. This nonlinear behavior is also apparent in the corresponding Hα and Ca II 8542 Å line profiles, with the greatest difference in the wing emission being at the lower injected heights within Grid 2. A small Hα core enhancement is also apparent in the simulations. This effect could be greatly reduced with the application of a regular chromosphere (Rutten & Uitenbroek 2012). The lack of an

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grid Number</th>
<th>Energy Rate</th>
<th>Height (km)</th>
<th>Atmosphere</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>100–1000</td>
<td>300–500</td>
<td>Quiet Sun</td>
<td>300–700 erg cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$ best-fit EB profiles in Hα.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>300–1000</td>
<td>Quiet Sun</td>
<td>500–700 km best-fit EB profiles in Hα and Ca II.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$(1-1000) \times 10^3$</td>
<td>300–500</td>
<td>Quiet Sun</td>
<td>All $&lt;10,000$ K. Overwhelmed by continuum emission in all lines.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1000–2000</td>
<td>Quiet Sun</td>
<td>Flare-like profiles in Ca and Hα. Good Mg II response.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>500/1000</td>
<td>300–900</td>
<td>Plage</td>
<td>500–700 km best-fit EB Ca and Hα profiles. 700–900 km best-fit IB Mg II profiles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>500/1000</td>
<td>1000–2000</td>
<td>Plage</td>
<td>Flare-like profiles in all lines.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>500–1000</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Strong line core enhancement in Ca, Hα. Weak Mg II response in QS atmosphere.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>500–1200</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Ca in full emission, Hα strong enhancement. Good Mg II response in QS atmosphere.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. IB—IRIS bombs. QS—Quiet-sun starting atmosphere. Energy rate is in erg cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$. 

---
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overlying chromospheric canopy makes the small core contribution from the photosphere visible.

Figure 2 shows contribution functions for the Hα and Ca II 8542 Å lines when an energy of 500 erg cm\(^{-3}\) s\(^{-1}\) is deposited between 500 and 700 km above the photospheric floor. When the energy is deposited higher in the atmosphere, a strong Ca II 8542 Å core enhancement is apparent (see Figure 1). When the energy is injected lower in the atmosphere, the wing
energy is being injected into a region where the outer wings are formed over a wider range of heights.

3. Mg II h and k Line Modeling

We have found that energy deposited in the 500–700 km layer resulted in the best match to typically observed EB line profiles for the Hα and CaII 8542 Å lines (e.g., Figure 5 of Vissers et al. 2013). In this section, we consider the response of the Mg II h and k lines to similar EB heating. Recent observations with the IRIS instrument hint at enhancements in the wings of these lines (see Figure 10 of Vissers et al. 2015). We use the RH line synthesis code (Uitenbroek 2001; Pereira & Uitenbroek 2015) to calculate the line profiles in partial redistribution. The two grids of models run for Hα and CaII in the previous section produce no enhancement in the Mg II h and k lines (Grids 1 and 2).

A further grid of models was created, which increases the energy deposited around the temperature minimum exponentially, between $(1 - 1000) \times 10^3$ erg cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$ (Grid 3). Again, no enhancement is observed in the Mg II h and k lines, though strong continuum enhancement appears with these high energy deposition rates. The atmospheric response to this sudden energetic injection did not create dramatically higher temperatures. In fact, the peak temperature in the $1 \times 10^3$ erg cm$^{-3}$ model reached 9500 K, with a corresponding electron density of $10^{14}$ cm$^{-3}$ and a peak shock velocity of 5 km s$^{-1}$.

The contribution function of the Mg II h and k lines appeared to show a line core formation height concentrated in the upper chromosphere, with little contribution from the photosphere. The wings of the lines were formed slightly lower, closer to the line core formation region of CaII 8542 Å. If the energy deposition occurs in the lower-mid chromosphere, enhanced emission in the Mg II h and k wings can be achieved, though this will only cause flare-like profiles producing full emission in CaII 8542 Å and Hα (Grid 4).

It also became apparent that the background Mg II h and k line profile of our model appeared in absorption rather than in emission as is observed. In an attempt to rectify this issue, a different starting atmosphere was adopted which has it’s transition region pushed to higher column masses, to reflect a more active, plage-like atmosphere (QS.SL.LHT from Allred et al. 2015).

With this new starting atmosphere, the initial profile of the Mg II h and k lines appear in emission, similar to the background profiles observed (Peter et al. 2014; Kim et al. 2015; Vissers et al. 2015; Tian et al. 2016). However, the line cores of CaII 8542 Å and Hα are also slightly enhanced, with CaII 8542 Å being more prominent.

Again, two grids of models were run, one grid applying 1000 erg cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$ of heating in 200 km depths over the photosphere and temperature minimum, similar to Figure 1 (Grid 5). The second grid applied the same heating function in the chromosphere, up to 2 Mm above the photospheric floor (Grid 6). We find that injecting the energy into the chromosphere now only causes strong line core emission in the Hα, CaII 8542 Å, and MgII h and k line profiles. This is accompanied by large Doppler shifts due to very strong velocities (>20 km s$^{-1}$) in the leading shock front. The strong Doppler velocities appear due to the shock front interacting with transition region which is now at higher column masses. This forces the transition region to shift even lower in the atmosphere.

In Grid 5, in which we varied the energy deposition location over the photosphere and temperature minimum region, we find a similar atmospheric response to that of Figure 1 (Grid 2), only slightly stronger due to the doubled heating rate. This can be seen in Figure 3.

Figure 4 shows the corresponding line profiles synthesized for the atmospheres shown in Figure 3. When the energy is deposited below the temperature minimum region (300–500 km above the photospheric floor), there is very little response in all synthesized lines, but a noticeable continuum increase, and slight wing enhancement in Hα and CaII 8542 Å. Similar to the quiet-Sun model, the best fitting for the Hα and CaII 8542 Å line profiles appears when the energy is inserted around the temperature minimum region (500–700 km). However, the Mg II h and k lines appear to have only their outer wings showing enhancement. The response of Mg II h and k when the heating is applied between 700 and 900 km above the photospheric floor is most similar to that of strong observed IRIS bombs (see Figure 10 in Vissers et al. 2015). However, the CaII 8542 Å line profile shows strong core emission with energy deposited at this height. When halving the energy deposition rate to the ideal rate identified in the previous section, we find the enhancements in the lines reduce.
slightly in Ca II 8542 Å and Mg II h and k, with a slightly larger differential apparent in the Hα line profile.

To investigate if a larger depth range for the energy deposition is more appropriate, we also ran two larger models in both the quiet-Sun and pre-flare atmospheres (Grids 7 and 8). These models inject 500 erg cm⁻³ s⁻¹ over ranges of 500–1000 km and 500–1200 km. In all cases, strong core enhancements were seen in the synthesized Ca II 8542 Å and Hα line profiles. The Mg II h and k line profiles appeared to show flare-like profiles with the pre-flare atmosphere and strong enhancement in the line wings in the quiet-Sun atmosphere.

4. Discussion and Conclusions

We have used one-dimensional radiative hydrodynamical simulations of the solar atmosphere to investigate the location and rate of energy deposition required to reproduce EB-like line profiles. Our findings based on a quiet-Sun starting atmosphere suggest that the location of energy deposition is near the temperature minimum region, around 600 km above the photospheric floor. We find that placing the energy into the chromosphere causes strong emission, especially in the core of Ca II 8542 Å. When considering the Mg II h and k line profiles, the quiet-Sun starting atmosphere is not viable, as it produced a background profile in absorption. Inserting the energy around the temperature minimum only enhanced the continuum around these lines. The mid–upper chromosphere was the location of the main contribution of the Mg II h and k lines, and so only by depositing energy here could one get a visible response in these lines, which could overcome the continuum enhancement. This would then only cause flare-like profiles in the Hα and Ca II 8542 Å line cores.

A pre-flare, plage-like starting model was used in an attempt to overcome this and push the formation to lower atmospheric heights. While this was the case, the Hα and Ca II 8542 Å line cores were enhanced in comparison to the quiet-Sun model, with the Ca II 8542 Å background profile appearing particularly unrealistic in comparison to observations.

However, it was possible to achieve Mg II h and k line profiles similar to observations by depositing 500 erg cm⁻³ s⁻¹ at 700–900 km above the photospheric floor. While the Mg II profiles look similar to IRIS observations, the Ca II 8542 Å cores appear in emission. If the energy is inserted 200 km lower in the atmosphere (the ideal setup from the quiet-Sun models), the Hα and Ca II 8542 Å line profiles appear EB-like, with wing emission and no core enhancement, relative to the background profile. The Mg II h and k profiles, however, appear with enhancement in the outer wings, much unlike observations.

We have shown that by considering the starting atmosphere to be quiet Sun, we can obtain EB-like synthetic Hα and Ca II 8542 Å line profiles. This results in bi-directional flows at the EB location due to the formation of a shock, with a stronger upflow (2–5 km s⁻¹) and weaker trailing downflow (1–2 km s⁻¹). This fits with observations reporting bi-directional jets at EB locations (Matsumoto et al. 2008a; Watanabe et al. 2011) and previous EB modeling attempts (Archontis & Hood 2009).

A local temperature enhancement of 2000–3000 K also forms, and fits with previous estimates (Georgoulis et al. 2002; Fang et al. 2006; Isobe et al. 2007; Archontis & Hood 2009; Li et al. 2015). This situation, however, did not accurately model the Mg II h and k lines, which needed a more active starting atmosphere. While we could recreate Mg II h and k profiles similar to recent IRIS observations, we were unable to attain EB-like line profiles in all three of our diagnostic lines simultaneously. We speculate that an EB-specific atmospheric model may be needed, which has different atmospheric abundances, enabling the Ca II 8542 Å line core and Mg II h...
and k line wings to form at different heights. We also find that we were unable to superheat the plasma to >10,000 K, as previous works speculate, in order to explain the Mg II h and k line formation (Peter et al. 2014; Kim et al. 2015; Vissers et al. 2015; Tian et al. 2016).
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