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Abstract

Background: Improvements in care and treatment have led to more young adults with life-limiting conditions living beyond childhood, which means they must make the transition from children’s to adult care. This has proved a challenging process for both young adults and service providers, with complex transition interventions interacting in unpredictable ways with local contexts.

Objectives: To explain how intervention processes interact with contextual factors to help transition from children’s to adult care for young adults with life-limiting conditions.

Design: Systematic realist review of the literature.

Data sources: Literature was sourced from four electronic databases: Embase, MEDLINE, Science Direct and Cochrane from January 1995 to April 2016. This was supplemented with a search in Google Scholar and citation tracking.

Results: 78 articles were included in the review. Six interventions were related to a successful transition to adult care. Contextual factors include the need for children’s service providers to collaborate with adult service providers to prepare an environment with knowledgeable staff and adequate resources. Mechanisms triggered by the interventions include a sense of empowerment and agency amongst all stakeholders.

Conclusions: Early planning, collaboration between children’s and adult service providers, and a focus on increasing the young adults’ confidence in decision-making and engaging with adult services, are vital to successful transition. Interventions should be tailored to their context and focused not only on organisational procedures but on equipping young adults, parents/carers and staff to engage with each other effectively.
Key words
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What is already known about the topic

- There is a growing population of young adults with life-limiting conditions living into adulthood and needing to make the transition from children’s to adult care.
- Transition to adult care for young adults is a challenging and complex process.

What this paper adds

- Describes the range of interventions employed to promote a successful transition to adult care.
- Identifies key contextual factors influencing the success of interventions.
- Uncovers underlying mechanisms facilitating successful transition to adult care.
Background

Early detection and advances in health care have led to improved survival for children with life-limiting conditions (Schwartz et al., 2011; Scott, 2011; Department of Health, 2006). This has resulted in a growth in the number of young adults graduating from children’s to adult care, making effective transition from children’s to adult care (transition) increasingly important (Mellor and Hain, 2010). A commonly used definition for transition is the “purposeful, planned process that addresses the medical, psychosocial and educational/vocational needs of adolescents and young adults with chronic physical and medical conditions as they move from child-centred to adult-oriented health care systems” (Blum et al., 1993, p. 570). Transition has been conceptualised as a process and also as an event (Annunziato et al., 2011). Ideally, the transition process should accommodate the gradual transfer of responsibility for healthcare decision-making from the parent to the young adult and the event takes place when the responsibility for providing care transfers to adult care (Gilleland et al., 2012). Although transition is a milestone in the young adult’s life (McGill, 2002), leaving the care of children’s services is often viewed as a logical step for young adults and their parents (van Staa et al., 2011). However, one of the challenges for young adults in the transition process are the significant differences between children’s and adult services (Hait et al., 2006) in that children’s services typically provide more family focused care with a high level of parental involvement, while adult services are patient focused and expect the patient to have greater independence (McGill, 2002). This cultural gap (van Staa et al., 2011; Rosen, 1995) between children’s and adult services can complicate the transition process for adolescents (Viner, 1999). There is emerging evidence to suggest organised transition protocols and programmes do have measurable benefits for young adults and their parents/carers such as improved clinic attendance, better disease control, reduced hospital
admissions and enhanced quality of life for a range of medical conditions (Van Walleghem et al., 2008; McDonagh et al., 2007; Department of Health, 2006; Holmes-Walker et al., 2006). However, poorly managed transitions are associated with increased risk of non-adherence to medication and other treatment (Annunziato et al., 2007; Department of Health, 2006; Van Walleghem et al., 2006; Watson, 2000) with attrition/loss to follow up one of the more widely reported markers of effective transition to adult care (Fair et al., 2011; Goossens et al., 2011; Breakey et al., 2010; DoH, 2006; Van Walleghem et al., 2006). For example, 7.3% of young adults were reported not to be in follow-up care after leaving paediatric cardiology (Goossens et al., 2011) and 19.8% of young adults with the Human Immunodeficiency Virus were reported to be lost to follow up in the year after turning 22 years (Agwu et al., 2015).

**Complexity of organisational interventions to transition**

There are organisational approaches recommended to promote an effective transition to adult care associated with a range of medical conditions (Chambers, 2015; Lidstone, 2013; Department of Health, 2008; While et al., 2004; Forbes et al., 2002; Blum et al., 1993) with an increasing focus in recent years on life-limiting conditions and palliative care (Chambers, 2015; Beresford and Stuttard 2014; Kirk and Fraser, 2014; Noyes et al., 2014; Beresford, 2013; Lidstone, 2013). Interventions include effective communication and information sharing between children’s and adult services (While et al., 2004; Forbes et al., 2002), shared responsibility for transition between children’s and adult services (Blum et al., 1993), constructing services and care as an extension to children’s services or jointly between children’s and adult services (While et al., 2004; Forbes et al., 2002), a focus on supporting the young adults development (Forbes et al., 2002; While et al., 2004), the development of a transition plan (Department of Health, 2008), a multi-agency approach (Chambers, 2015) and the appointment of a key worker (Chambers, 2015; While et al., 2004; Forbes et al., 2002). The key worker role is thought to be particularly
suitable for young adults with a shorter life expectancy (While et al., 2004; Forbes et al., 2002). Approaches to transition are complex – consisting of multiple interrelated and interdependent components - and their effectiveness highly context dependent. With this complexity in mind, we conducted a realist review of the literature in order to illuminate the nature and impact of intervention processes and contextual factors on transition outcomes. We have followed the “Realist and meta-narrative evidence syntheses evolving standards” (RAMESES)(Wong et al., 2013) which provide guidance for the publication of realist literature reviews.

Review questions

What range of interventions are associated with an effective transition from children’s to adult services for young adults with life-limiting conditions?

What are the contextual factors that facilitate an effective transition to adult services?

What mechanisms are triggered by the interventions that support an effective transition to adult services?

Design

A realist literature review is a theory driven approach to evaluation which aims to “make explicit the underlying assumptions about how an intervention is supposed to work and then gather evidence in a systematic way to test and refine the theory” (Pawson et al., 2004, p. 3). A realist review offers explanations constructed around three ingredients: context (C), mechanisms (M) and outcomes (O), framed as CMO configurations. The context is crucial to a realist explanation as there will always be contextual variations between programmes. Even if programmes have the same characteristics, the circumstances in which they are played out are always dif-
different so they are never really the same (Pawson, 2013). The context consists of intertwined layers which include the individuals involved, interpersonal relationships, institutional settings and infrastructure, characterised as “the prior set of social rules, norms, values and interrelationships gathered in these places which sets limits on the efficacy of program mechanisms” (Pawson and Tilley, 1997, p. 70). These form the social structures into which an intervention is introduced with a view to changing that context. Mechanism is a contested concept, with a range of definitions in the literature (Astbury and Leeuw, 2010). Following Bhaskar (2008), we characterise mechanisms as the unseen ensemble of tendencies, liabilities and powers possessed by objects in the world; and principal amongst these in the arena of healthcare interventions, is human agency (Higgins et al., 2014). Human agency is the power to think, reason, deliberate, imagine, plan and believe, and on the basis of these, to decide to take action (Archer, 2003).

Interventions alone do not bring about a change in behaviour; the intervention introduces reasoning and resources which alter the context, providing individuals with the opportunity and motivation to change their behaviour. Thus mechanism and context combine to produce outcomes, which are the observable responses of groups or individuals to the intervention in a particular context. Programme theories are implicit or explicit underlying assumptions about how an intervention is supposed to work. A realist literature review seeks to provide an account of how complex interventions work along with an understanding of how theory may be developed and improved (Pawson, 2013; Maxwell, 2012; Rycroft-Malone et al., 2010; Pawson et al., 2004: Pawson and Tilley, 2004; Pawson, 2001). The realist approach sets no methodological limitations on the literature eligible for the review (Higgins et al., 2012). Documents are included on the basis that they help to develop and then test provisional theories (Wong et al., 2013) with no
preference for quantitative or qualitative research methods as it sees merit in using multiple methods (Pawson et al., 2004).

**Data sources**

*Inclusion and exclusion criteria.* Papers were included if they were relevant to the transition to adult services amongst young adults with life-limiting conditions. Life-limiting conditions “are those for which there is no reasonable hope of cure and from which young people will die” (Together for Short Lives, 2013, no page number). Following a scoping review of the literature, which included a substantial body of policy and guidance, it became apparent that much of the transition literature is focused on both life-limiting and chronic medical conditions that are not considered life-limiting. To ensure life-limiting conditions were included in the review, after documents were sourced in the literature search, medical conditions were only included if they were present in the Directory of Life-Limiting conditions in children (Hain et al., 2013).

*Resources searched*

The search was undertaken in four electronic databases; Embase, MEDLINE, Science Direct, Cochrane Library from January 1995 to April 2016. The selection of key search terms was informed by literature searches undertaken by previous authors in systematic reviews including a review for young people with palliative care needs (Doug et al., 2011) and a Cochrane review protocol focusing on transition from children’s to adult services (Campbell et al., 2012). Terms combined in a search strategy for Medline and adapted for other databases are presented in Table One.
**Table One: Search strategy**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>transition$.mp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>transfer$.mp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1 or 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>child$.mp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>adolescen$.mp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>young people.mp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>young adult$.mp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>4 or 5 or 6 or 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>exp after-hours care/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>exp &quot;delivery of health care integrated&quot;/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>exp managed care program$/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>exp adolescent health services/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>exp community health centers$/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>community health nursing/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>nursing practice.mp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>social support/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>exp School health services/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>exp home care services/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>exp &quot;quality of health care&quot;/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>exp pediatric nursing/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>exp hospitals/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>hospice care/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>exp palliative care/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>exp neoplasms/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>exp terminal care/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>disabled persons/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>service evaluation.mp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>exp program$ evaluation/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>terminally ill/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>life threat$.mp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>life limit$.mp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>9 or 10 or 11 or 12 or 13 or 14 or 15 or 16 or 17 or 18 or 19 or 20 or 21 or 22 or 23 or 24 or 25 or 26 or 27 or 28 or 29 or 30 or 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>adult care.mp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>adult services.mp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>adult oriented health care.mp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>33 or 34 or 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>3 and 8 and 32 and 36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>limit 37 to (english language and humans and yr=&quot;1995-2016&quot;)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Key:**

$ = searches for variations of a word with the same stem

mp = searches in the Title, Abstract, Subject Heading, and Registry Word fields

exp = retrieves records that contain the specified term and any of its narrower, more specific terms

/ = the term is a valid controlled vocabulary term which has been searched in all the Subject Headings field of the database.
Identifying primary sources

The initial search in the four electronic databases was independently undertaken by two reviewers (HK and PO) which resulted in 2082 papers (Figure one). Grey literature was also sourced in Google and Google Scholar using keyword searches identifying relevant transition information from professional organisations, voluntary bodies and conference papers (n=29). Papers were also sourced from reference lists of included papers (n=25). A total of 2136 papers were identified in the search. 68 duplicates were removed leaving 2068 papers for further screening. Titles and abstracts were reviewed by one reviewer (HK) to assess if the content focused on the process of transition from children’s to adult care; with a second reviewer (PO) assessing a sample of titles and abstracts to check decision-making on inclusion and exclusion. 1776 papers were rejected because the medical condition was not included in the Directory of Life-Limiting conditions (Hain et al., 2013) or because the focus was on transition processes related to other areas, such as the transition from curative to palliative care, or the transition from hospital to home. Two members of the review team (HK and PO) read the remaining 292 papers in full with the same criteria for removal of papers. 78 papers were considered relevant and are presented in Table Two: Supplemental File.

Table Two

See Supplemental File
Figure one

Flow diagram illustrating search process

2082 records identified through four electronic databases:
Embase (1296), MEDLINE (615), Science Direct (170) and Cochrane (1)

54 records identified in the grey literature (29) and through reference lists of included papers (25)

2136 records identified

68 duplicates removed

2068 titles and abstracts screened

1776 records removed as did not meet eligibility criteria

292 full text papers assessed for eligibility

214 full text papers removed as did not meet eligibility criteria

78 papers included in synthesis
**Data extraction and quality appraisal**

Data were collected using a standardised data extraction tool developed for a previous realist review (O’Halloran et al., 2014) drawing on insights from the RAMESES standards (Wong et al., 2013). In addition to information extracted related to methods used, this tool also documents information related to the intervention, mechanism, context and outcome, associated with a realist literature review. Realist synthesis allows for the inclusion of a wide variety of studies but also examines the methodological quality of studies in order to allow moderation of findings. Methodological rigour was assessed using the relevant Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP) tool (Critical Appraisal Skills Programme, 2015) and this information is included in Table Two.

**Identifying CMO configurations**

The process of analysing and synthesising the data involved two reviewers (HK and PO) independently reading each text, populating the data extraction forms, seeking to identify the range of interventions associated with an effective transition, searching for explicit or implicit programme theories, and theorising about how context and mechanisms interacted to produce outcomes of interest. The iterative process involved in analysing the papers led to the identification of CMO configurations, or assumptions of how each intervention is supposed to work, related to the facilitators in the transition to adult care. Potential CMO configurations were generated by two reviewers (HK and PO) who then discussed their findings and came to an initial consensus. The CMO configurations were then shared with co-authors for critique and validation, leading to a final consensus on the CMO configurations.
Results

78 papers were included in the review. 11 were literature reviews, 65 were observational designs and two were clinical trials (Table Two: Supplemental File).

Methodological rigour

Of the 78 research studies, 33 were rated as having strong methodological rigour, 36 as having moderate rigour and four as having weak methodological rigour. The remaining five documents were reports such as those published by the Care Quality Commission, so rigour could not be assessed. This information is included in Table Two. Whilst many of the studies demonstrated methodological rigour in relation to their chosen method, in terms of the traditional hierarchy, the evidence base was weak (Balshem et al., 2011).

Interventions to enhance transition

Six interventions were identified that were intended to enhance the transition to adult care and lead to positive outcomes in the transition process. These are an early start to the transition process; effective communication and collaboration between children’s and adult service providers; orientating the young adult to adult services and optimising relationships with children’s and adult service providers who demonstrate a person centred and individualised approach; the engagement of a key worker; interdisciplinary and interagency joint working and the development of an autonomous young adult throughout the transition process.
Populations

Populations represented include young adults with life-limiting conditions, palliative care, cystic fibrosis, cerebral palsy, human immunodeficiency virus and acquired immunodeficiency deficiency syndrome, congenital heart disease, Duchenne muscular dystrophy, spinal muscular atrophy, malignant neoplasms, cancer, end stage renal disease, nephrotic syndrome and multiple sclerosis. This information is included in Table two: Supplemental File.

Context Mechanism Outcome (CMO) configurations

The six interventions identified above are now discussed in greater detail and presented as CMO configurations.

CMO1. Early start to the transition process. An early commencement to the transition process is reported by a range of authors to contribute to an effective transition (Chambers, 2015; Care Quality Commission, 2014; Fegran et al., 2014; Sharma et al., 2014; Begley, 2013; Chaudhry et al., 2013; Lidstone, 2013; Tuchman and Schwartz, 2013; Gravelle et al., 2012; van Staa et al., 2011; Fair et al., 2010; Valenzuela et al., 2009; Reid et al., 2004; Madge and Bryon, 2002; Scal, 2002). The transition process begins when the young adult and their parents/carers are informed by service providers at an early stage to expect a move from children's to adult services when they reach an age when they would be expected to be using adult services. The age to transfer to adult services was reported to be variable across different jurisdictions. As an illustration, it is reported young adults with cystic fibrosis could transfer to adult services from 16 years in the Republic of Ireland (Begley, 2013) whilst in France the age to transfer was reported to be 22 years (Dugueperouz et al., 2008). 18 years is more commonly reported, or recom-
mended to be the approximate age for the young adult to transfer to adult services (de Mulder et al., 2012; Gravelle et al., 2012; van Staa et al., 2011; Anderson et al., 2002; Boyle et al., 2001) across jurisdictions and medical conditions.

The transition process is typically triggered by an individual raising the issue, although who this is and how it comes about varies. There is a lack of clarity on when the process should commence but with some consensus on 14 years (Chambers, 2015; Care Quality Commission, 2014). An early start appears to work by bringing the coming change to the attention of everyone involved and provides time for responses to be formulated. In other words, it provides an opportunity for a phased and gradual approach, which may be used, or not, in a variety of ways. This includes making plans and preparing for the transition (Care Quality Commission, 2014; Valenzuela et al., 2009), learning about adult services, helping the young adult to develop the skills necessary to negotiate adult services (Fair et al., 2010) and meeting with adult service providers with a view to developing a collaborative relationship (van Staa et al., 2011). The time to think, reflect, learn, plan and make relationships with adult service providers appears to trigger a mechanism of enhanced self-efficacy in the young adult and their parents/carers in relation to engaging with adult services. The intended outcome of an early intervention is a young adult who has had time to consider and adjust to the coming change, leading to them more readily attending clinic appointments and adhering to health management plans. These outcomes may be more likely if enabling contextual factors are present, such as an encouraging and optimistic attitude from paediatric staff about the move to adult services as this can influence a positive response in young adults (Wiener et al., 2009; Brumfield and Lansbury, 2004). However, although an early start is necessary, it is not sufficient as time made available should be used productively to implement other interventions.
CMO2. Effective communication and collaboration between children’s and adult service providers. Continuous effective communication and collaboration between children’s and adult services about the young adults’ current and anticipated needs throughout the transition process is thought to contribute to an effective transition for the young adult (Chaudhry et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2011; van Staa et al., 2011; Fair et al., 2010; Wiener et al., 2009; Por et al., 2004; Madge and Bryon, 2002; Forbes et al., 2002; Steinkamp et al., 2001). Effective communication is evidenced by the successful passage of information between services on the evolving needs of the young adult and their parents/carers (Forbes et al., 2002) leading to the development of a collaborative and structured transition plan. As adult service providers become familiar with the care of the young adult, they have the opportunity to gain the necessary skills, resources and confidence to provide a suitable service, thus reducing the risk of gaps in service provision and, therefore, contributing to providing continuity of care. This outcome is more likely to be achieved if enabling contextual factors are available such as children’s services being able to identify a well-resourced, interested and capable adult service provider with condition-specific knowledge (Noyes et al., 2014; Chaudhry et al., 2013; Steinkamp et al., 2001). If a capable adult service is not available, or gaps exist in training needs in staff (Care Quality Commission, 2014), then – returning to CMO1 – an early start to transition can provide time for adult services to prepare the environment by identifying the resources required, addressing the training needs of service providers, and strengthening relationships between children’s and adult teams to facilitate communication (Beresford, 2013; Doug et al., 2011; Steinkamp et al., 2001).

CMO3. Orientating the young adult to adult services and optimising relationships with children’s and adult service providers who demonstrate a person-centred approach. Adult services are reported to be very different from children’s services (Huang et al., 2011) in that they typically
have fewer supporting staff, a higher patient load, less consultation time (van Staa et al., 2011) and a more formal approach (Soanes and Timmons, 2004), all of which can have an alienating effect on some young adults. In that context, this intervention involves service providers demonstrating a person-centred (Noyes et al., 2014) and individualised approach to care (Care Quality Commission, 2014; Fegran et al., 2014; (Kirk and Fraser, 2014; Nieboer et al., 2014; Noyes et al., 2014; Chaudhry et al., 2013; Rosenberg-Yunger et al., 2013; van Staa et al., 2011; Watson et al., 2011; Wiener et al., 2009; Soanes and Timmons, 2004; Scal, 2002; Boyle et al., 2001), with the young adult being valued, respected (Cook et al., 2013) and acknowledged as a co-expert (Doug et al., 2011). A person-centred approach acknowledges the personhood of the young adult and respects and values their contribution in their care whilst giving them the opportunity to explore the new adult environment and form new relationships. This approach can trigger a mechanism of a young adult feeling a greater sense of involvement in their care and an enhanced sense of well-being (McCormack et al., 2010). This intervention is considered to lead to an outcome of a young adult who is interested and engaged in their care, adhering to their disease management strategies with a sense of commitment to engage in adult services. This outcome is more likely to be achieved if enabling contextual factors focus on orientating the young adult to the philosophy, organisation and delivery of adult services such as an early introduction to adult service providers prior to the transfer (McCann et al., 2014; Sharma et al., 2014; Begley, 2013, Chaudhry et al., 2013; Tierney et al., 2013; Fair et al., 2010; Madge and Bryon, 2002; Boyle et al., 2001); joint outpatient appointments or transition clinics in which the young adult meets with representatives from both children’s and adult services (Fegran et al., 2014; Kirk and Fraser, 2014; McCann et al., 2014; Chaudhry et al., 2013; Gravelle et al., 2012; Crowley et al., 2011; Rutishauser et al., 2011; Madge and Bryon, 2002; Westwood et al., 1999)
or phased orientation visits to the new outpatient and inpatient adult unit in advance of the transfer (Chaudhry et al., 2013; Brumfield and Lansbury, 2004; Steinkamp et al., 2001).

CMO4. The engagement of a key worker. This intervention entails the identification of a minimum of one person in a key worker role (Care Quality Commission, 2014; Kirk and Fraser, 2014; McCann et al., 2014; Nieboer et al., 2014; Noyes et al., 2014; Chaudhry et al., 2013; Gleeson et al., 2012; Watson et al., 2011), sometimes referred to as a transition coordinator (Kelly, 2014; Crowley et al., 2011). This key worker fulfils the role of patient advocate by gaining the attention of relevant service providers on the young adult’s behalf, and in doing so, bringing the needs of the young adult to the awareness of those who can actively respond. The key worker has an overarching view of the young adult’s transition to adult care with responsibilities for coordinating the transition process (Care Quality Commission, 2014; Kirk and Fraser, 2014; Begley, 2013) and negotiating cross agency care (Noyes et al., 2014). An expansion of the role could include identifying and addressing the training needs of adult service providers and supporting professionals in understanding the complex health needs of young adults when admitted onto an adult ward (Chamberlain and Kent, 2005). The mechanism triggered in response to the advocacy of the key worker, is a young adult who builds confidence through the development of trust in this therapeutic relationship. This is thought to be one of the most important components in the young adult continuing to engage with services (Forbes et al., 2002). The outcome associated with this intervention is a more streamlined transition process as appropriate service providers will be engaged who can be more time efficient and productive in mobilising colleagues leading to a reduced likelihood of a gap in service provision when the young adult transfers to adult care. This outcome is more likely to be achieved if contextual factors are available such a keyworker who is familiar with the culture and organisation of services.
along with experience of working with young adults. Furthermore, a keyworker role that spans both children's and adult services could fulfil an integrating function between both services (Gleeson et al., 2012) creating a bridge between services.

**CMO5. Interdisciplinary and interagency joint working.** This intervention relates to the joint working of a range of disciplines and agencies in the transition process (Chambers, 2015; Noyes et al., 2014; Lidstone, 2013; Gravelle et al., 2012; Wiener et al., 2009; Flume et al., 2004; Por et al., 2004). These include health and social care services (Marsh et al., 2011), primary care (Care Quality Commission, 2014; Lidstone, 2013), education and the voluntary sector. This intervention appears to trigger a mechanism whereby paediatric professionals in each discipline and agency identify their adult counterpart in a timely fashion to ensure adequate skills and resources are available when the young adult transfers to adult care. This integrated approach provides an opportunity for health care professionals and agencies to develop a transition plan or protocol (Nieboer et al., 2014; Por et al., 2004), contributing to the assessment and management of the young adults’ needs with a focus on their own speciality, helping to address the multifaceted needs of the young adult (McDonagh and Viner 2006). The intended outcome of this intervention is the establishment of trust, collaboration and accountability through the construction and establishment of a cohesive team with a continuing focus on the young adult and their family (Forbes et al., 2002). This outcome will be more likely to be achieved if enabling contextual factors are present such as the availability of staff, finance and resources to support an interdisciplinary and interagency approach which in practice means the availability of matching disciplines and agencies in both children’s and adult services. An ongoing and committed response is also required from disciplines and agencies for joint working throughout the transition process to manage evolving needs. General practitioners/primary care physicians are
notably absent from the transition literature, which is surprising given that their role providing care over the lifetime should make them well placed to provide continuity of care for the transitioning patient (Scal, 2002), as recognised by the Care Quality Commission (2014).

**CMO6. Development of an autonomous young adult throughout the transition process.** This intervention takes the form of a graduated approach to the development of the young adults’ autonomy throughout the transition process (Newman et al., 2016; Fegran et al., 2014; Mackie et al., 2014; Beresford, 2013; Chaudhry et al., 2013; Schwartz et al., 2013; Tuchman and Schwartz, 2013; Gleeson et al., 2012; Woodward et al., 2012; Huang et al., 2011; Lugasi et al., 2011; Marsh et al., 2011; Rutishauser et al., 2011; van Staa et al., 2011; Fair et al., 2010; Soanes and Timmons, 2004; While et al., 2004; Zack et al., 2003; Madge and Bryon, 2002; Westwood et al., 1999), suggested as a roadmap to care (DiFazio et al., 2014). This involves the adolescent assuming increasing responsibility for their healthcare (Gleeson et al., 2012; Huang et al., 2011; Fair et al., 2010), becoming socially and medically independent of their parents/caregivers (van Staa et al., 2011). This intervention is thought to trigger the mechanism of increasing the young adult’s knowledge, self-efficacy, confidence and sense of empowerment over the years preceding the transfer to adult care as a result of growing independence in managing their medical condition. Service providers who adopt this intervention as part of their care should contribute to the intended outcome of developing the young adult's level of independence in managing their medical condition and also their skills in negotiating the adult health care system resulting in them feeling transition ready (van Staa et al., 2011) and promoting their engagement in adult services. This outcome if more likely to be achieved if a range of contextual factors are available such as children’s service providers recognising the expectation in the adult environment is one of autonomy and are committed to fostering the
development of self-management skills in the young adult (Fair et al., 2010). Strategies to facilitate the development of autonomy include service providers interacting more with the young adult (Chaudhry et al., 2013), involving the young adult in an increasing capacity in decision making (van Staa et al., 2011), encouraging the young adult to independently establish relationships with service providers (Zack et al., 2003) and encouraging them to become more knowledgeable about their medical condition. Service providers have used lone consultations, where parents are not present (Nieboer et al., 2014, Chaudhry et al., 2013, Gleeson et al., 2012; Tuchman et al., 2008; Zack et al., 2003) to promote the development of the young adults’ decision making skills and enhance their involvement in their medical management. The young adult needs to actively participate, and also be physically well and cognitively able to engage with the strategies used to promote their knowledge and skills in developing their autonomy. Parents/carers also need to engage in the process of changing their role from one of providing care to supporting care by relinquishing some control, which will facilitate the young adult becoming more independent in managing their care (Fair et al., 2010). However, given their central role in their child’s care in their earlier years, parents can find it challenging to step aside and cede control while the young adult develops their self-management skills (Nieboer et al., 2014; van Staa et al., 2011), related to feelings of being marginalised and not having access to relevant information needed to continue to support the management of their child’s health. In order for the parent/carer support to be sustained, there should be a focus on strengthening the young adult’s independence without undermining parental involvement (van Staa et al., 2011).

There are a range of factors that can determine the young adults’ transition readiness such as their level of autonomy, with tools available to determine transition readiness such as the Social-ecological Model of Adolescent and Young Adult Readiness to Transition (SMART)
(Schwartz et al., 2013) and the Transition Readiness Assessment Questionnaire (TRAQ) (Sawicki et al., 2011). Age has been reported as being used as a single criterion, or the most important decision when determining when to transfer the young adult to adult services (Begley, 2013; Rutishauser et al., 2011; Anderson et al., 2002), however, it is suggested age should not be used as a single indicator when determining transition readiness (Soanes and Timmons, 2004), with some arguing that young adults should not transfer to adult services until they are able to function in an adult clinic with the skills and knowledge to manage their illness independently (Fegran et al., 2014; Viner, 2000). A person-centred approach to transition will require flexibility in the timing of transfer. The expectation in the adult health environment is that patients should exercise a degree of autonomy (Soanes and Timmons, 2004), with autonomy and independence considered milestones of transition readiness. However, despite this expectation, and the reported enthusiasm from young adults in developing their autonomy (Lugasi et al., 2011; Tuchman et al., 2008; Madge and Bryon, 2002) young adults transferring to adult care have demonstrated low levels of independence (Gleeson et al., 2012).

**Discussion**

This realist literature review provides insights into the range of interventions considered to contribute to an effective transition from children’s to adult services for young adults with life-limiting conditions. A realist literature review entails a particular approach to the literature – seeking to build theory in relation to the mechanisms at work in various organisational contexts. Consequently, we have attempted to look beneath the surface of transition processes and understand how they work, bringing out the importance of how these processes stimulate and interact with
the thoughts, feelings, and decision-making of the people involved; and showing how the physical, social, and organisational contexts influence those decisions and subsequent outcomes.

One of the challenges in this review was that many of the papers focused on both life-limiting conditions and chronic conditions that are not considered life-limiting (Fortuna et al., 2012; van Staa et al., 2011; Watson et al., 2011; Soanes and Timmons, 2004; While et al., 2004), so it was not possible to exclusively focus on interventions specific to life-limiting conditions. Only a small number of papers had the terminology palliative care or life-limiting conditions highlighted as part of their focus (Kirk and Fraser, 2014; Noyes et al., 2014; Doug et al., 2011; Marsh et al., 2011). Another challenge experienced was that interventions exist in complex social systems so it was difficult to determine which specific interventions led to outcomes. For example, an early start and a key worker can both be implemented in one setting, so it is not possible to conclusively state which intervention led to positive outcomes.

Papers in this review represented 17 countries in five continents. 31 of the 78 papers originated from the United States of America and this was followed by of 19 of the 78 papers from the United Kingdom. A comparative analysis of transition approaches between countries identified no significant differences. Cystic fibrosis was the most commonly represented medical condition in this review with 36 of the 78 papers including a focus on this medical condition. This was followed with 21 of the 78 papers including a focus on the Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV). There were no significant differences noted between medical conditions. Overall, the issues reported related to the transition to adult services were similar across geographical locations and medical conditions, with the exception of variability in the age to transfer to adult
services. This is reflected in reports that transition issues are not unique to medical conditions or specific to any one type of provider (Okumura, 2009).

Six interventions were identified as associated with a successful transition to adult care, all of which were thought to improve the services on offer and encourage the young adult to engage appropriately with those services, evidenced through attendance at clinics, adherence to medications and disease management plans, with the expectation this will reduce morbidity and mortality (Fair et al., 2011). In general, the studies did not capture the young adults’ perspectives regarding their rationale for engaging with the interventions. Conspicuous by their absence were outcomes related to personal, relational or social factors, or to the young adults’ transitions in other areas of life such as education and employment. Another notable absentee in the literature is the general practitioner with only a small number of papers highlighting the potential role of primary care in the transition process (Care Quality Commission, 2014; Lidstone, 2013; Scal, 2002). Given that primary care practice is not typically demarcated according to the age of the patient, and that continuity of person-centred care is thought to be intrinsic to the role of the general practitioner (Royal College of General Practitioners, 2013), this is a striking omission. There is some evidence that parents are reluctant to involve the general practitioner due to poor experiences of care (Aldiss et al., 2015); and are anxious about turning to the general practitioner when their child leaves children’s services – although some are pleasantly surprised at the good service they receive once they are relying on the general practitioner (Murphy and Mackay, 2015). This would seem to strengthen the argument for general practitioners being involved and supported by specialist colleagues well before the transition.
Turning to the CMOs, we can identify some commonalities, especially in terms of the mechanisms at work. This is not surprising given that mechanisms in this context are largely identified with human agency, and are, therefore, likely to be shared by many of the people involved. For example, in CMO3 and CMO6 we theorise that the young adults’ engagement with services is more likely when the intervention provides the opportunity for them to gain knowledge, confidence and self-efficacy in relation to decision-making about their care, and so empowers them to engage more effectively with service providers. One caveat here, is that the rhetoric of empowerment may work to the detriment of young adults whose conditions may seriously limit their capacity and appetite for autonomous living and engagement with service providers (Schmidt et al., 2016). For example Hamdani et al. (2015) found that although young adults with Duchenne muscular dystrophy appeared to accept the goal of increasing independence, in practice few gained employment or moved out of the family home, choosing instead to focus on maintaining a positive attitude in the present. The goal of empowered independence can become pernicious if it translates into an expectation amongst staff that young adults must develop the skills to ‘succeed’ in the environment of adult services (Tanner et al., 2016). In other words, rather than expect every young adult with a life-limiting condition to work intensely to navigate adult services, we should configure adult services to work for them. It is also important to note the overarching influence of CMO1, an early start to the transition process. Although this intervention is necessary, it is not sufficient as it provides the opportunity for the other interventions to have their desired effect. Conversely, a late start will reduce the time available for other interventions to be implemented and for mechanisms to develop.

Related to CMO6, whilst this intervention of developing an autonomous young adult throughout the transition process is reported to promote an effective transition to adult services, there are
two underlying assumptions associated with this intervention. The first assumption relates to children having low, or no level of autonomy. The alternative view is that many children are competent agents and should be treated as partners in resolving problems, avoiding the paternalistic mode of service provision (Goh, 2013). Irrespective of one’s orientation, the importance of placing the young adult at the centre of the transition process is crucial as under the Convention of the Rights of the Child (United Nations, 1989) children have the right to freedom of expression which involves the right to seek, receive and impart information. The second assumption relates to the view that the young adult should conform to the systems approach to care delivery rather than an approach that can demonstrate flexibility in providing individualised care which accommodates autonomous young adults. Whilst it is argued that transition readiness should be, in part, determined by when a young adult is able to independently negotiate the adult environment, this assumes that the young adult should adapt to the norms and rules set by the adult environment. Arguably, the adult service environment should consider an approach that is able to respond to the varying competencies and capabilities catered for by children’s services, rather than the young adult meeting the criteria set by the adult environment. Service providers in children’s and adult services could attempt to reduce the complexities associated with the transition process by closing the cultural gap between services. This may mean children’s service providers further recognising children as active social agents in their care as well as adult services interacting with parents/carers.

The CMOs shared some characteristics of context. For example, a recurring theme is the need for adequate resources such as a well-equipped adult service with knowledgeable service providers and equivalent disciplines. Further enabling contextual factors across CMO configurations include the availability of transition strategies such as lone consultations and orientation
initiatives. It appears that where service providers have resources only to meet their immediate responsibilities, they may not take the time to communicate in a person-centred way with young adults, and may lack the capacity to network effectively with other services and disciplines, or to release service providers as key workers (CMO2, CMO3 and CMO4). On the other hand, interventions are more likely to succeed in a context where service providers have appropriate skills, knowledge and relevant training (CMO1, CMO2, CMO3 and CMO5).

Conclusions and recommendations

Although there appears to be a consensus in the literature that the six interventions identified can improve transition outcomes, at least in terms of the young adult appropriately engaging with health services, evidence for effectiveness in this population is weak, highlighting a need for clinical trials of carefully defined interventions which will contribute to an effective transition. We have demonstrated the impact of human motivation and organisational and social context on the implementation of what are complex interventions, showing the need for multi-method approaches, such as realist evaluation, to explain the outcomes of interventions. Given this complexity, it is unlikely that standardised, uniform interventions will work across multiple contexts. We would argue that interventions need to be tailored to their context and focused not only on organisational procedures but on equipping patients, families and staff to engage with each other effectively. Our findings suggest service providers should adopt a diagnostic approach, appraising local networks and resources bearing in mind relevant contextual factors such as staff expertise and capacity, and proximity and availability of services; assessing goals and capabilities with the young adults; and concentrating dedicated transition resources on measures to empower young people to play a full part in decision-making in relation to their
conditions. Specific recommendations related to improving the transition to adult care are made in Table Three.
Table Three

Recommendations for transition to adult care

- Successful transition should be seen as a core responsibility for both children’s and adult services.
- Children’s services should proactively introduce the prospect of transition to young adults and their parents/carers at an early stage and use the available time to make necessary preparations.
- Children’s services should identify relevant adult service providers to alert them to the probability that young adults will be transferring to their care, and to build relationships with a view to developing cooperation.
- Once alerted to probable transfers, managers of adult services should complete an early assessment to determine if the environment and service providers are equipped to provide continuing care, and provide necessary training and resources.
- Interventions to aid transition should include a focus on increasing the young adults’ confidence in decision-making and helping them develop collaborative relationships with adult services.
- The goals for transition should include those identified by young adults and extend beyond their engagement with health services.
- The multidisciplinary approach should be extended to include meaningful involvement of the general practitioner/primary care physician.
- Service providers should consider how parents/carers can be supported throughout the transition process so they are not marginalised.
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