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Abstract

A fully integrated system that combines extended gate field-effect transistor (EGFET)-based potentiometric biosensors and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS)-based biosensors has been demonstrated. This integrated configuration enables the sequential measurement of the same immunological binding event on the same sensing surface, and consequently sheds light on the fundamental origins of sensing signals produced by FET and EIS biosensors, as well as the correlation between the two. Detection of both BSA/anti-BSA model system in buffer solution and bovine parainfluenza antibodies in complex blood plasma samples were demonstrated using the integrated biosensors. Comparison of the EGFET and EIS sensor responses reveals similar dynamic ranges, while equivalent circuit modeling of the EIS response shows that the commonly reported total impedance change ($\Delta Z_{\text{total}}$) is dominated by the change in charge transfer resistance ($R_{\text{ct}}$) rather than surface capacitance ($C_{\text{surface}}$). Using electrochemical kinetics and the Butler-Volmer equation, we unveil that the surface potential and charge transfer resistance, measured by potentiometric and impedance biosensors, respectively, are, in fact, intrinsically linked. This observation suggests that there is no significant gain in using FET/EIS integrated system and leads to the demonstration that low-cost EGFET biosensors are sufficient as a detection tool to resolve the charge information of biomolecules for practical sensing applications.

Text

The ability to detect biochemical species rapidly without complicated sample preparations in a centralized laboratory is essential for medical care, disease diagnosis, food safety and environmental monitoring. Optical biosensing that requires secondary markers to label target biomolecules (e.g., fluorescence spectroscopy) is time consuming in sample preparation and response.\textsuperscript{1} In contrast, label-free electrical biosensors are particularly promising as point-of-care sensor devices since they can be miniaturized
through cost-effective microfabrication. Relying directly on the immunological affinity between immobilized surface probes and targets in an analyte solution, the resulting change of the surface properties is amplified and converted to an electrical output signal by a transducer. Potentiometric and impedance biosensors are two of the most common transducers for label-free biosensing. Potentiometric biosensors detect the change of surface potential under DC operation due to the attachment of charged target biomolecules to the probes on the sensing surface, and are most commonly implemented in the form of ion-sensitive FETs (ISFETs) or in a similar but a simplified configuration - extended gate FETs (EGFETs).\textsuperscript{2-5} Alternatively, impedance biosensors use electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) to measure the change of electrical current and, in turn, the electrical impedance of a biological interface under DC bias and AC oscillation.\textsuperscript{6-9} Cost-effective potentiometric and impedance sensors are capable of label-free operation that both simplifies the sample preparation steps and enable on-site detection with shorter turnaround time.

Although various nanomaterial-based ISFETs have been used for potentiometric biosensors including silicon nanowires,\textsuperscript{10} graphene,\textsuperscript{11} carbon nanotubes,\textsuperscript{12} we have reported that the sensitivity of a potentiometric biosensor is independent of the choice of the transducer, and instead relies only on the sensing surface.\textsuperscript{4} Moreover, ISFETs require a sophisticated encapsulation scheme to protect the semiconductor device from exposure to the liquid environment that can hamper reliability and stability.\textsuperscript{13} Consequently, if either the sensing surface or the readout component fails, the entire ISFET sensor becomes unusable. EGFET biosensors, by contrast, provide a simpler and a more robust design for liquid phase sensing by separating the sensing chip (an extended gate) from the readout transducer (FET), and the sensitivity is comparable to the conventional ISFET.\textsuperscript{4} Moreover, the EGFET is a promising tool for disease diagnosis with a comparable performance to ELISA and SPR.\textsuperscript{5} Finally, since the transducer is separated from the sensing surface, the EGFET configuration readily enables the integration of potentiometric sensors with other sensor platforms.
EIS-based impedimetric biosensors measure change of impedance when species attach to the sensing surface. Under faradaic operation involving redox couples, the measured impedance spectrum can be further analyzed using an equivalent circuit model. Two of the most important parameters, charge transfer resistance \( R_{ct} \) and surface capacitance \( C_{surface} \) can be resolved in this way. The change of surface potential and the formation of the biomolecular layer will prevent the redox species from approaching the working electrode due to electrostatic repulsion and steric hindrance, respectively.\(^7\) The phenomenon is often reflected in an increase of the \( R_{ct} \) which dominates the overall measured impedance.

In this letter, we demonstrate a fully integrated system that combines both two-terminal EGFET-based potentiometric and three-terminal EIS-based impedance biosensing on a shared active sensing surface. This system enables the sequential measurement of the same binding event on the same sensing surface using two different sensing techniques. Consequently, we are able to perform a fundamental investigation into the origins of the sensing signal produced by FET and EIS biosensors. A bovine serum albumin (BSA) versus antibody-BSA (anti-BSA) prototype system was used as the test vehicle for the integrated biosensors. In addition, detection of bovine parainfluenza antibodies in a complex blood system with hemagglutinin-neuraminidase (HN) has also been demonstrated. Comparison of the EGFET and EIS sensor responses reveals similar dynamic ranges, and motivates our study of the relationship between surface potential and impedance, which is explained by electrochemical kinetics and the Butler-Volmer equation.

All the chemicals and proteins were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used as received. The sensor chip was fabricated on a borofloat wafer. The metallic structures including Au micro-strip working electrode (WE), Au (Ti/Au 10/90 nm) counter electrode (CE) and Pt (Ti/Pt 10/90 nm) on-chip pseudo-reference electrode (RE) were deposited and patterned using e-beam evaporation and photolithography, respectively. A commercial n-MOSFET (VN0104, Supertex) was used as the transducer for EGFET biosensors and the I-V characterization was measured using a Keithley 4200-SCS semiconductor analyzer. EIS biosensors were characterized using a Gamry Interface 1000 potentiostat. The WE was coated with o-aminobenzoic
acid (o-ABA, 50 mM in H$_2$SO$_4$) carboxylated film through 10 cyclic voltammetry scans (0 to 0.8 V, 50 mV/s). The BSA proteins (1% in PBS) were immobilized onto the sensing surface through amine coupling using NHS/EDC. The contact time for BSA protein immobilization is 30 minutes. The sensing surface was later deactivated and blocked using 1M ethanolamine-HCl solution for 30 minutes. This sensing surface was exposed to anti-BSA dilutions in PBS with 20 min contact time. The detailed experimental methods of HN/BPIV3 sensing can be found in the Supplementary Information. A 10 mM hexaammineruthenium (III) chloride in PBS was used as the redox couple. In the EGFET sensor, the Au active sensing surface serves as the WE, i.e. extended gate of a MOSFET. A DC voltage sweep is applied to the liquid through a commercial Ag/AgCl RE. For EIS sensor, the aforementioned Au WE is the active sensing surface. On-chip Pt pseudo-RE and Au CE are used. The signal, i.e. surface potential, of potentiometric sensors was extracted from the $I_d$-$V_{ref}$ curve as the $V_{ref}$ value when $I_d = 1$ μA. The EIS spectra were modeled using ZView (Scribner Associates Inc.) by minimizing the chi square value. The detailed methods and parameters for the electrical measurement can be found in Supplementary Information.

Comparing EGFET and EIS sensors, the Au working electrode plays a common role: an active sensing surface and WE. As a result, a fully integrated sensing system combining EGFET potentiometric and EIS impedance biosensors can be achieved by sharing a common Au WE as schematically shown in Figure 1a. Using this integrated system, the steps of chemical functionalization and biomolecular attachment can be achieved for both sensors concurrently. Furthermore, this design offers a direct comparison between potentiometric and impedance sensors because the same binding events will be measured on a shared sensing surface.

The optical image of the sensor chip is shown in Figure 1c and the enlarged view of Au micro-strip WE in Figure 1d. The dimension of the Au micro-strip is 50 μm long and 0.8 μm wide (4x10$^{-7}$ cm$^2$). The use of a microscale electrode provides the benefit of minimizing the mass transfer limited behaviors in EIS at low frequency, due to the decreased diffusion length around the miniaturized working electrode.$^{9,14,15}$ The
conventional Randle circuit model comprises of series resistance ($R_s$), surface capacitance ($C_{\text{surface}}$ usually modeled using constant phase elements, CPE), Warburg resistance (W) and charge transfer resistance ($R_{ct}$). When the nominal size of the working electrode decreases, the diffusion layer thickness decreases, and the current is less dependent on the diffusion of reacting species toward the WE. As a result, the mass transfer dominated W becomes negligible and the Randle circuit can be simplified to a simple RC circuit as shown in Figure 1b. The measured impedance spectra will be modeled using the simplified circuit model to extract the circuit elements, including $R_{ct}$. Using an n-channel MOSFET as the transducer, a positive voltage is applied to the solution for FET biosensor measurement and, therefore, a redox probe that has negative redox potential is desired. As a result, hexaaammineruthenium (III) chloride, which has both negative reduction and oxidation potentials, qualifies for the application in this study.

**Figure 1.** The scheme and the optical image of the integrated FET/EIS biosensor system. (a) The experimental setup of the integrated system. (b) The equivalent circuit model for the impedance spectroscopy. (c) - (d) The optical images of the biosensor chip and the enlarged view of the Au microstrip working electrode.

The FET/EIS integrated sensor’s responses to the BSA versus anti-BSA system are shown in Figure 2. The $I_d-V_{\text{ref}}$ curve of the transistor will shift horizontally depending on the magnitude and the polarity of
the change of surface potential on the Au sensing surface. This change of surface potential due to the attachment of charged antibodies to the WE, i.e. extended gate, was obtained using the change of the FET’s threshold voltage ($V_{th}$). Figure 2a depicts the shift of $I_d-V_{ref}$ curves of the potentiometric sensor in the positive direction in response to the increasing concentration of anti-BSA in the PBS, indicating that the anti-BSA (isoelectric point 4.8 – 5.2) is negatively charged in the PBS buffer (pH 7.4). Figure 2b shows the change of surface potential measured by FET potentiometric sensor versus anti-BSA concentration in linear scale and logarithmic scale (inset of Figure 2b). A typical Langmuir adsorption response with a gradual saturation toward high antibody concentration was observed. Measuring the same binding event at the working electrode, the change of EIS spectra with respect to anti-BSA concentration is presented in a Nyquist plot (Figure 2c). Taking advantage of the miniaturized working electrode, no obvious mass transfer limited characteristics were observed in the recorded impedance spectra, except a slight deviation from the semi-circle under low frequency (< 5 Hz) and a further optimization of the WE may be needed. As a result, the change of surface properties on the sensing surface is mainly reflected through the change of $R_{ct}$. As the anti-BSA concentration increases, the overall size of the semicircle increases, indicating an increase of $R_{ct}$ and total impedance. By fitting the impedance spectra with the equivalent circuit model (Figure 1b), biomolecular binding-related circuit elements, $R_{ct}$ and $C_{surface}$, could be extracted. However, $C_{surface}$ is relatively insensitive to the attachment of antibodies to the semipermeable biolayer (Figure S1). Figure 2d shows the relationship between $R_{ct}$ and anti-BSA concentration. A similar Langmuir adsorption behavior with saturation at high anti-BSA concentration was again observed, showing integrated operation of a FET/EIS biosensor system. Furthermore, the similar response obtained from both sensing platforms suggests a possible correlation between surface potential and the impedance, which is explored in the following.
Figure 2. The responses of FET potentiometric and EIS impedance biosensors to anti-BSA concentrations. (a) The shift of $I_d$-$V_{ref}$ curve measured with FET and (b) the FET signal (surface potential) in response to anti-BSA concentrations in linear scale and logarithmic scale (inset). (c) Nyquist plots of impedance spectra measured with EIS and (d) the $R_{ct}$ change in response to anti-BSA concentrations in linear scale and logarithmic scale (inset).

According to classical electrochemical kinetics, the electrical current that flows through the electrode is governed by the effective potential on the electrode surface. This relationship is expressed by the Butler-Volmer equation. Under bias conditions that deviate from equilibrium, i.e. Tafel behavior, the Butler-Volmer equation that links the charge transfer resistance and the surface potential can be simplified to:

$$R_{ct} = R_0 \exp \left( \frac{\alpha q \Delta V}{k_B T} \right)$$

where $R_0 = E_{app}/FAk^0C$, $F$ is Faraday constant, $A$ is the area of the working electrode, $k^0$ is the standard rate constant, $C$ is the concentration of the redox couple species at the electrolyte-electrode interface, transfer coefficient $\alpha$ is commonly assumed to be 0.5 for a symmetrical energy barrier, $E_{app}$ is the bias applied to the system, $\Delta V$ is the change of surface potential on the working electrode, $q$ is the elementary
charge of an electron, $k_B$ is Boltzmann’s constant and $T$ is the temperature. It is reported that $k^0$ exhibits a wide range from $10^{-9}$ to several hundred cm/s depending on the choice of the redox couple, the surface morphology of the WE, the formation of the biolayer, different charge transfer mechanisms, buffer concentration, etc.\textsuperscript{20,22,23} In effect, the Butler-Volmer equation predicts that $R_{ct}$ is exponentially related to the change of surface potential ($\Delta V$). Figure 3 shows the relationship between $R_{ct}$, measured with EIS, and the exponentiation of $\Delta V$, i.e. $\exp(\alpha q \Delta V/k_B T)$, obtained from the FET. A high linearity is observed which agrees well with the prediction of Butler-Volmer theory. The fitted $R_0$ is $1.43 \times 10^6 \, \Omega$ and is comparable to the calculated value of $1.04 \times 10^6 \, \Omega$, where $A = 4 \times 10^{-7} \, \text{cm}^2$, $k^0$ is 1 cm/s for a simple electron transfer,\textsuperscript{23} and $C$ is $10^{-5} \, \text{mol/cm}^3$. In the case of affinity sensors, the $\Delta V$ results from the binding of charged biomolecules to the surface, i.e. WE. EGFET sensors directly measure this surface potential change, which concurrently influences the electrical current and the resulting impedance measured by EIS sensors by increasing the hindrance for redox species from reaching the WE. This finding confirms that the origin of the sensing signals for both potentiometric biosensors and impedance biosensors is the change of surface potential. Also, the signal output from potentiometric biosensors can be linked to that of impedance biosensors using the Butler-Volmer equation.

Beyond the BSA/anti-BSA system, a complex serological system of bovine hemagglutinin-neuraminidase (HN) versus antibodies of bovine parainfluenza virus protein type-3 (BPIV3) in blood plasma samples was also tested.\textsuperscript{24} HN protein was immobilized on the shared WE via amine coupling as the probe against the BPIV3 proteins in the analyte solution. Similar to BSA/aBSA system, similar trends of the dynamic range of FET and EIS sensors were observed. Again, the relationship between signals from potentiometric and impedance sensors, $\Delta V$ and $R_{ct}$, respectively, are linked and agrees well with Butler-Volmer theory (see Supplementary Information and Figure S2). The results further confirm the correlation between potentiometric and impedance sensors and suggest that the electrical sensor is a promising tool for the disease diagnostic applications. The details of HN protein immobilization, sensing surface blocking and detection of antibodies of BPIV3 can be found in Supplementary Information.
Figure 3. The relationship between the exponentiation of change of surface potential ($\exp(aq\Delta V/k_B T)$) and the charge transfer resistance ($R_{ct}$), measured with potentiometric and impedometric biosensors, respectively. The linear relationship fits well into Butler-Volmer equation and confirms that both potentiometric and impedance biosensors are charge sensitive.

Impedance spectroscopy is a powerful tool to analyze the interfacial properties for corrosion, coatings, batteries, solar cells, etc. through complex analysis of the frequency responses. Intuitively, surface impedance measured in EIS biosensors is expected to respond to both charge and mass of the biomolecules. However, for biosensor applications, the frequency response of surface capacitance is insensitive to the formation of the biomolecular layer on the sensing surface because: (1) the biolayer is semipermeable and is not a good capacitor; and, (2) the double layer capacitance dominates. As a result, it is difficult to resolve the mass-related properties of biomolecules alongside their charge information using impedance biosensors. The surface potential related $R_{ct}$ remains the dominating contribution for the sensing signal. In other words, the FET/EIS integrated sensing system provides little extra value in monitoring the charge information of biomolecules since the origin of the signal is linked.
In this way, simple FET-based potentiometric biosensors provide sufficient information for practical biosensing applications.

To further illustrate their suitability for cost-effective and rapid point-of-care detection, large area Au extended gate sensor chips (0.1 cm$^2$) were also prepared without the use of photolithography steps, instead using e-beam metal evaporation and patterning with shadow masks. The DC operation of the EGFET at steady state is not limited by the diffusion and, therefore, alleviates the constraints of using microscale electrodes. The BSA proteins were immobilized onto widely used thiol SAM through amine coupling. The sensor response of the large area Au chips is compared to the micro-strip sensors in Figure 4. In both cases, the BSA/anti-BSA sensitivities are around 17 - 18 mV/decade change of anti-BSA concentration. The results indicate no sensitivity advantage to the micro-strip design in EGFET biosensor at steady state.

Figure 4. The comparison between EGFET biosensors using large area Au sensing surface (black square) and Au micro-strip (red circle). The BSA/anti-BSA sensitivities using either approach are comparable.
In conclusion, biosensing using an integrated two-sensor system that combines EGFET-based
potentiometric biosensors and EIS-based impedimetric biosensors has been demonstrated. This integrated
two-sensor system enables the direct comparison between two sensing techniques by monitoring the same
biological layers on the sensing surface. The correlation between sensor signals – that is, surface potential
and $R_{ct}$ from FET and EIS, respectively – was linked using the Butler-Volmer equation. The results
suggest that both FET and EIS biosensors are sensitive to the change of surface potential due to the
attachment of charged biomolecules to the sensing surface, whereas the mass-dependent value of $C_{surface}$
does not vary significantly. For the detection of charge properties of biomolecules, the EIS/FET
integrated sensing system provides little extra advantage. Therefore, EGFET biosensors are inherently
sufficient as electrical transducers for label-free biomolecule detection, while providing the added
benefits of rapid response and low-cost scalability. For scenarios where detailed information about both
charge and mass properties of biomolecular targets is required, the FET/EIS integrated sensing system
that we have proposed may be deployed to great effect. Future studies involving complex biofluids and
advanced modeling will help to identify how the mass-related properties extracted from EIS can be
leveraged.

Supplementary Material

The Supplementary Materials include (1) details of electrical measurement and the integrated sensor
operation; (2) the extracted capacitance response against different anti-BSA concentrations from EIS
spectra; (3) the detailed description and results of HN/BPIV3 animal disease sensing.
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