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Research Questions

• What are the characteristics of the people who migrate from single identity to ‘mixed’ neighbourhoods?

• **What is the impact of this type of migration on wellbeing** and identity?

• Why do they move? What are their expectations? How do they experience contact in their new residential setting?

• What are the identity processes underpinning this transition?

• How are people already living in ‘receiving’ communities affected?
The Data

• Representative sample of 28% NI population*
• Census information
• Migration data based on information from health card registration (2001 – 2015)
• Distinct Linkage Project
• Prescription data from BSO – Psychotropic medications issued (2010 – 2015)

*Filtered to 197,188
Healthy Migrant?

Good Health 2001 – 22% more likely to move to a different SOA

(OR = 1.22, 95% CI = 1.19, 1.26)
Healthy Migrant?

Intercept = .16
Likelihood of moving into a mixed vs non-mixed SOA

Predictors – Health & Age category: Reference = Poor & 65-74
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OR
Next Steps
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Analysis Strategy

• DV = Worse Health
  – Good to fair, Good to Poor, Fair to Poor vs No Change/Improvement

• Level 1 = Migration Status
  – Mover, Non-mover, Within Mover
    • Controlling for age, SES, Tenure, Number of Births, etc

• Level 2 (SOA) = Deprivation & Segregation
  – Townsend, Simpson’s 1/D, Peacelines
Effect of Migration x Area

Intercept = 0.08
Intercept = 0.08

OR = 1.29
95% CI = 1.11, 1.42
Intercept = .08
Conclusions

• People who move shorter distances (within the same SOA) more likely to report a decline in wellbeing

• For those who move to a different SOA, there appears to be a small health advantage in moving to a mixed area
Future work

[Graph showing the mean number of psychotropic drug prescriptions over quarters from January 2010 to June 2015, differentiated by migration status: Non-Mover, Soa-Mover, Mixed-Mover, and Within-Mover.]
Thank you

The help provided by the staff of the Northern Ireland Longitudinal Study (NILS) and the NILS Research Support Unit is acknowledged. The NILS is funded by the Health and Social Care Research and Development Division of the Public Health Agency (HSC R&D Division) and NISRA. The NILS-RSU is funded by the ESRC and the Northern Ireland Government. The authors alone are responsible for the interpretation of the data