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The Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ): A proxy measure of parenting stress

Abstract

The Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) (Goodman, 1997) is a brief behavioural screening questionnaire for three to 16 years olds. It is commonly used in clinical practice and research, particularly in the UK, and is completed by parents, carers and teachers. The measure was utilised in a cross-sectional phase of a longitudinal study of children in care, namely the Care Pathways and Outcomes Study, alongside a measure of parenting stress, the Parenting Stress Index – Short Form (PSI-SF) (Abidin, 1995), with a sub-sample of children (n=72) aged nine to fourteen, and their parents and carers. A Pearson Correlation Coefficient indicated a strong positive correlation between these two measures (r= .71), with normal and abnormal scores on one measure corresponding to normal and abnormal scores on the other. Consequently, it is argued that the SDQ may be considered a proxy measure of parenting stress, with scores in the clinical range being highly predictive of clinical levels of parenting stress. As such, SDQ-informed interventions for adopted children and children in care, and others where behavioural problems have been detected, should be developed to include a consideration of the needs of parents and carers, specifically in relation to reducing levels of parenting stress.
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Introduction

‘Care Pathways and Outcomes’ is a longitudinal study that has been tracking the placement profile for a population of children (n=374) who were under the age of five and in care in Northern Ireland on the 31st March 2000 (McSherry, Fargas Malet, and Weatherall, 2013). The study has assessed how sub-samples of the children and their parents and carers are coping at a number of intervals over the intervening period. In the last two phases of the study, a measure to assess the children’s behavioural and emotional functioning was utilised, namely the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) (Goodman, 1997), in addition to a measure to assess parent and carer level of parenting stress, namely the Parenting Stress Index – Short Form (Abidin, 1995). This paper focuses on the extent to which parent and carer scores correlate on these two measures and explores the implications of such for policy and practice, particularly given the fact that the SDQ is used ubiquitously in clinical, educational, and social work practice across the UK.

The Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire

The Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) (Goodman, 1997) is a brief behavioural screening questionnaire for three to 16 years olds, which is completed by parents, carers and teachers, and is commonly used in clinical, educational and social work practice and research. It provides subjective perspectives on the behaviour of individual children. In the UK, it is commonly used in research in the area of children in care, and adopted from care (Biehal et al., 2010; Rees, 2013; Thomas, 2013; McSherry, Fargas Malet, McLaughlin et al., 2015; McSherry, Fargas Malet and Weatherall, 2016) and there is a statutory duty on all social service departments across England to use the SDQ with all children entering the care system (DCSF, 2008; DfE, 2015). The measure is also widely used outside of the UK across multiple research areas, including care (Jimenez, Mata, Leon & Muñoz, 2013; Jimenez-Morago, Leon & Roman, 2015; Goemans, Van Geel & Vedder, 2016) and adoption (Reinoso & Forns, 2010; Lee et al., 2015). The SDQ website refers to the test being included in just under four thousand publications, across 102 countries (http://www.sdqinfo.com/).
The Parenting Stress Index – Short-Form

The Parenting Stress Index – Short Form (PSI-SF) (Abidin, 1995) is a brief version of the Parenting Stress Index, and is an internationally-applied and well-researched measure of parenting stress (Abidin, 1995). Similar to the SDQ, it has been widely used in clinical practice and research in the UK. The measure has also been used internationally with parents or carers of children with autism (Zaidman-Zait et al., 2010; Hall & Graff, 2011; Dardas & Ahmad, 2014), ADHD (Theule et al., 2013), cerebral palsy (Parkes et al., 2011), and living in low income families (Reitman et al., 2002).

However, in the UK, it has rarely been used to explore the stress related to caring for children in care and adopted from care (McSherry, Fargas Malet and Weatherall, 2013; 2016; Harris-Waller et al., 2016).

These two measures were included in the Care Pathways and Outcomes Study to allow for comparisons to be drawn between the subjective experiences of different groups of parents and carers in terms of the behaviour of the children they were caring for, and how this was related to their subjective experiences of the stress involved in caring for these children.

The Care Pathways and Outcomes Study: Phases and findings


The Care Pathways and Outcomes Study began in 2000 and focused on exploring the number and types of placements provided to a population of children who were under the age of five and in care in Northern Ireland on the 31st March 2000, over a two-year period (2000–2002). In addition, an extensive review was conducted of social work case files up to 2000. It was found that age of entry to care and geographic location were the strongest predictors of either being adopted or returning home to birth parents (McSherry et al., 2008; 2010).

Phase 2 (2003–2006)

Phase 2 of the study focused on how the children were progressing in their placements, from their
parents’/carers’ perspectives, and also how these parents/carers were coping themselves. A third study population placement profile was established for 31 March 2004, when the children were aged between four and eight years old. A sub-sample (n=116) of the children’s parents/carers were interviewed: adoptive parents of 51 children; long-term foster carers of 56 children; and birth parents of nine children. Amongst other measures, parents and carers completed the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) (Goodman, 1997), and the Parenting Stress Index – Short Form (PSI-SF) (Abidin, 1995). In terms of these two measures, findings indicated that adopted children were doing marginally better than children in long-term foster care, and that both these groups of children were faring markedly better than those children returned to birth parents (McSherry et al., 2008; 2010).

Phase 3 (2006–2010)

Phase 3 aimed to explore the perspectives of the children themselves, in addition to their parents and carers, across the different placement types. The SDQ and PSI-SF were again used with parents and carers. Findings again indicated that on these two measures, significantly less adoptive parents scored within the clinical domains on both measures than foster carers and the birth parents of children who had been rehabilitated (McSherry, Fargas Malet and Weatherall, 2013; 2016). This paper is based on findings from the third phase of the study and focuses on examining the relationship between parent and carer responses to both the SDQ and PSI-SF.

Children’s behaviour in care and adopted from care

A vast body of international research has highlighted the prevalence of emotional and behavioural difficulties among children in care and adopted from care (McCarthy et al., 2003; Meltzer et al., 2003; Richards et al., 2006; Connell et al., 2006; Vanderploeg et al., 2007; Sempik et al., 2008; Vostanis, 2010; Pecora et al., 2010; Stein & Dumaret, 2011; Dregan, Brown, & Armstrong, 2011; Radford et al., 2011; Courtney et al., 2013; McSherry, Fargas Malet, McLaughlin et al., 2015; Havlicek & Courtney, 2015). Significant majorities of these children have been found to fall within the
abnormal range on the SDQ Total Difficulties score, based on parent and carer reports (Dunne &
Kettler, 2008; Milburn et al., 2008; Egelund & Lausten, 2009; Biehal et al., 2010; McSherry, Fargas
Malet and Weatherall, 2016). This is not surprising considering that these children are likely to have
experienced early life stress (ELS), and there is a well-established link between the experience of
early adversity and behavioural problems (Hanson et al., 2015).

**Parenting stress, care, and adoption**

It is widely acknowledged that some degree of stress from parenting is to be expected (Cameron et
al., 1991; Nomaguchi & Milkie, 2003). If parenting stress is experienced within the confines of
boundaries, without it leading to negative consequences, then it can be a source of stimulation and
an opportunity for growth (Abidin, 1992). In contrast, stressed-out parents who are irritable,
uncommunicative, critical and harsh in their parenting style are more likely to cause problematic
behaviour in their children, which in turn, can result in further stress, thus creating a vicious circle
(Webster-Stratton, 1990). Watson et al. (2011) commented that most research on parenting stress
indicates that families of children with some form of disability are negatively impacted and, as a
consequence, experience more instability and dysfunction than typical families.

Bearing in mind the stresses of ‘normal’ parenting, adoptive and foster parents/carers are
caring for children who may have past experience of the care system, or who remain within the care
system, and as such face greater parenting challenges than the norm. Research evidence
consistently demonstrates that children in care have greater emotional disturbance than the general
population (Meltzer et al., 2003; Ford et al., 2007; McSherry, Fargas Malet, McLaughlin et al., 2015).

Yet, there is an expectation that when children enter the care system, their new care
placement will provide compensatory experiences that enable healthy development (Morgan &
Baron, 2011). This is despite the fact that these children will typically have medical and health
problems, dysfunctional attachments, cognitive and learning challenges, as well as behavioural and
psychiatric disorders (Carbone et al., 2007). At the same time, these adoptive and foster
parents/carers are expected to manage often very sensitive relationships with birth family members (perhaps more so in foster care), their own family tensions, the potential for complaints or allegations, and social work involvement (Wilson et al., 2000). Furthermore, unlike foster parents/carers, adoptive parents do this outside of the confines of a formal statutory support system (McSherry, Fargas Malet and Weatherall, 2016).

There is also evidence that these stresses can impact the stability of placements (Rushton & Dance, 2006). Farmer, Lipscombe & Moyers (2005) examined the extent of strain on the foster parents/carers of adolescents and found that their parenting capacity was markedly reduced in a number of specific areas where a high number of stressful events had been experienced in the six months prior to the young person’s arrival and were under considerable strain during the placement. They found that conduct problems, hyperactivity and violent behaviour by the young people increased foster parent/carer strain, as did contact difficulties with birth family members. Critically, higher placement disruption rates were experienced for young people whose foster parents/carers were under the most strain.

Research on the relationship between parenting stress and placement disruption in adoption is limited, but it may be postulated that as adoptive parents have been shown to demonstrate higher levels of parenting stress than the normal population (McGlone et al., 2002; Judge, 2004; McSherry et al., 2008; McSherry, Fargas Malet and Weatherall, 2013), one might expect these stresses to be placing strain on the stability of placements, in the same way that this occurs in foster care.

**Caregivers stress and children’s behavioural problems**

The research base highlights concerns regarding the subjective perspectives of those parenting children in care and adopted from care, in relation to the behaviour of the children’s they are caring for, and their level of parenting stress. There is some evidence of a link between child behavioural problems and parenting stress, particularly for parents or caregivers of children with developmental
disabilities (Woodman, Mawdsley & Hauser-Cram, 2015) or with Autistic Spectrum Disorders (Davis & Carter, 2008; Osborne & Reed, 2009). Some studies have also found links between children’s behaviour and caregiver psychological distress or depression. For example, in a US study of children raised by grandmothers, it was found that increased psychological distress (measured by the Brief Symptom Inventory) in grandmothers was a predictor of child behavioural problems (measured by the Child Behavior Checklist) (Kelley, Whitley & Campos, 2011).

This article is focused on establishing whether or not there is a relationship between parent and carer scores on the SDQ and PSI-SF. This is particularly relevant due to the fact that the SDQ is being used in routine social work assessments in the UK, and any correlation with parenting stress may have implications for social work policy and practice.

**Methodology**

**Design**

Although the third phase of a longitudinal study, a cross-sectional approach was primarily taken to data collection and analysis. Thus, we aimed to find out how the children and their parents/carers were faring contemporaneously, rather than examining the data with specific reference to data gathered during earlier phases of the study. In order to examine the longer-term difference in outcomes across the five different placement types, the third phase of the study focused on long-term placements, i.e. placements that are intended to last at least until the child reaches the age of 18 years.

**Sample selection**

Social service placement data was obtained for the original study population as of the 31st March 2007. Analysis of this data indicated that children were living in one of five different types of placement: adoption; foster care; kinship foster care; on Residence Orders (where carers and birth parents share parental responsibility); or with birth parents. An initial sample of 135 children
selected for recruitment, with an expectation of 75 children/youth people (15 in each group) and their parents/carers agreeing to participate.

Data collection

Data were collected in the family home using both quantitative and qualitative methods with the children and their parents/carers.

Instruments

The Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire – SDQ (Goodman, 1997)

As previously mentioned, this is a commonly utilised behavioural screening questionnaire for assessing psychological morbidity in children and adolescents, based on the subjective perceptions of parents, carers and teachers. It comprises 25 items divided into five scales: Emotional Symptoms; Conduct Problems; Hyperactivity/Inattention; Peer Relationship Problems; and Prosocial Behaviour.

A total difficulties score is based on the combined scores from each of the scales, with the exception of the prosocial behaviour scale. The prosocial scale plays no part in analysis as it is not related to behavioural deficits, which the SDQ is designed to detect, but may be useful in clinical applications where a more holistic perspective on a young person’s behaviour is required. Scores are classified as normal, borderline or abnormal. Approximately 10 per cent of a community sample scores within the abnormal range on each domain, with a further 10 per cent in the borderline range. The SDQ has been shown to have adequate discriminant and predictive validity (Goodman, 1997; Goodman & Scott, 1999), and correlates strongly with the Rutter Child Behaviour Questionnaires (Goodman, 1997) and the Child Behaviour Checklist (Achenbach & Rescorla, 2000).

The suitability of using the SDQ to assess mental health functioning in a community sample was considered by Vaz et al. (2006). They examined the inter-rater agreement and screening concordance of the parent and teacher versions of the SDQ at scale, subscale and item levels, and determining whether the concordance between parent and teacher reports on some items had the potential to influence decision making. They analysed cross-sectional data from parent and teacher
reports of the mental health functioning of a community sample of 299 students with and without disabilities from 75 different primary schools. They concluded that the SDQ is not optimised for use in community samples and further psychometric evaluation of the measure is warranted.

*The Parenting Stress Index – Short form – PSI/SF (Abidin, 1990)*

This is a measure of stress in the parent–child relationship. It contains 36 items across four sub-scales: *Defensive Responding; Parental Distress; Parent–Child Dysfunctional Interaction; and Difficult Child*. The measure provides a score for each of the sub-scales, in addition to a total stress score. Normal scores fall between the 15th and 80th percentiles, with abnormally high scores being at or above the 85th percentile. It was developed from factor analysis of the full-length PSI and strongly correlates with the full-length version: Total Stress and Total Stress = .94, Parental Distress and Parent Domain = .92, Difficult Child and Child Domain = .87, and has good test-retest reliability: Total Stress (.84), Parental Distress (.85), Parent-Child Dysfunctional Interaction (.68), and Difficult Child (.78) (Abidin, 1995).

The PSI-SF was critically evaluated by Reitman, Currier, and Stickle (2002), specifically in the context of a Head Start (low income, predominantly minority) population. The results supported the use of the PSI-SF with lower socio-economic groups, and provided indirect support for the generalizability of Abidin’s (1995) three-factor model of parenting stress. More recently, the validity and reliability of the PSI-SF was examined by Pérez-Padilla, Menendez and Lozano (2015). Using data gathered from 109 at-risk mothers receiving supportive interventions from social services, they found a satisfactory level of internal consistency coefficients for the scale. They also found that, in relation to the validity of the measure, the total PSI-SF score, but not the two sub-scales, could be useful for differentiating between different groups of mothers with varying levels of risk. They concluded that on this basis the PSI-SF may be a useful instrument for researchers and practitioners who work with at-risk families.

It also needs to be noted that the PSI-SF is a measure of parenting stress, and not overall
stress itself. Furthermore, it measures parenting stress subjectively, rather than providing an objective account of physical feelings, perhaps derived from the analysis of biological data (e.g. from saliva or bodily hair). There are also a multitude of factors that have the capacity to impact on the extent to which parents are stressed, for example, financial worries, employment (or lack of) difficulties, relationship problems with spouse and or other member of family or peer group. These are not comprehensively examined by the PSI-SF, which may limit its capacity to fully capture parenting stress holistically, particularly as most contemporary theorists in this area of work would emphasise the multi-factorial dimension of parenting stress, involving interactions of the child, parent and context (Reitman et al., 2002).

Sample

Following the recruitment process, 72 children and their parents and carers agreed to take part. These were: 17 adopted children and their adoptive parents; 16 children in non-relative foster care and their foster carers; 13 children on Residence Order and their carers; 13 children in relative (kinship) foster care and their foster parents; and 13 children living with birth parents and these birth parents. All interviews were conducted between March 2009 and January 2010, when the children were aged between nine and 14 years old. This age range was not specifically targeted, but was subject to the timing of approval of funding for the study (2007), and the earliest point that fieldwork could commence (2009). So, this could have been any five year time span throughout the adolescent period.

The age the children were placed in their current placements ranged from birth to 10 years, with an average of 2 ½ years, whilst the length of time they had lived in their current placement ranged from three to 14 years, with an average of 10 years. It was also clear that where children were placed in foster care, kinship foster care, and on a Residence Order, in each instance, although not legally parents of these children, the carers considered that they were providing a parental role. As such, the use of the PSI-SF was deemed appropriate for use in assessing stress in these
relationships.

Analysis

For the comparison of parent and carer scores on the SDQ and PSI-SF, a range of descriptive analyses were conducted, in addition to two inferential approaches, using Pearson Chi-Square and Pearson Correlation Coefficient.

Ethical considerations

For this study, two separate ethical applications were made to the Office for Research Ethics Committees in Northern Ireland (ORECNI). The first dealt with gaining access to the placement data from social services, which enabled the identification of the interview sub-sample (07/NIR02/47). The second focused on the methodological approach taken for the interviews with children and their parents/carers (08/NIR01/48). Both of these applications received favourable opinions.

Results

Descriptive statistics

On the SDQ, 32% (n=23) of parents and carers had ‘Total Difficulties’ scores within the abnormal range, compared with an anticipated 10% from a community sample. On the PSI-SF, 33% of parents and carers had ‘Total Stress’ scores within the abnormal range, compared with 15% anticipated from a community sample. Cross-tabulation of responses on the two measures indicated that 57% (n=41) of the total sample (n=72) had scores in the normal range for both measures, 22% (n=16) had scores in the abnormal range for both, and 21% (n=15) had a normal score on one measure and an abnormal score on the other. Overall, 79% (n=57) of the sample had scores that were within the normal or abnormal range on both measures.

Inferential statistics
A Pearson Chi-Square test of independence was performed to examine the relationship between SDQ ‘Total Difficulties’ and PSI-SF ‘Total Stress’ scores. This was found to be significant, $X^2 (1, N = 72) = 19.97, p < .001$. This indicates that responses from parents and carers on the two measures were more likely to be within either both normal and abnormal ranges, rather than a combination of scores within the normal and abnormal ranges.

Scores on SDQ ‘Total Difficulties’ and PSI-SF ‘Total Stress’ were also strongly positively correlated, $r(72) = .71, p = .00$ (applying Pearson Correlation Coefficient). Furthermore, the correlation was consistent across all five types of placement: on Residence Order, $r(13) = .62, p = .03$; living with birth parents, $r(13) = .64, p = .02$; adopted, $r(17) = .74, p = .00$; kinship foster care, $r(13) = .78, p = .00$; and foster care, $r(16) = .80, p = .00$. Figure 1 displays a scatterplot of scores on these two measures across the full sample (n=72), with plotted regression line, $R^2 = .50$.

A significant difference was found in SDQ ‘Total Difficulties’ scores for males (M=14.73, SD=7.8) and females (M=9.70, SD=8.0), $t(68) = 2.63, p = 0.010$. A mean difference was also found in PSI-SF ‘Total Stress’ scores between males (78.30) and females (70.30), but this was not significant. On both measures, boys received higher mean scores from their parents and carers, indicating increased risk of clinical levels of behavioural and emotional dysfunction and parenting stress for boys. However, the gender of the children, in addition to their age of at interview, their age when initially placed, and their length of time in placement were all controlled for in the analysis and none of these variables were found to have any influence on the correlation between the two measures.

Figure 1: Scatterplot of parent/carer scores (n=72) on SDQ ‘Total Difficulties’ and PSI/SF ‘Total Stress’

Figure 1 shows a clear positive correlation, indicating that the strength of association between the two measures is high ($r=.71$). R-square is a statistical measure of how close the data are to the fitted
regression line. It is the percentage of the response variable variation that is explained by a linear model. As such, it can also be noted that as much as 50% (0.705²) (R² Linear = 0.497) of the variation for scores on SDQ ‘Total Difficulties’ is explained by the scores for PSI-SF ‘Total Stress’.

Pearson Correlation Coefficient analyses were also carried out between the different subscales in both the SDQ and PSI-SF. Table 1 shows that, with the exception of the relationship between PSI-SF ‘Parental Distress’ and the SDQ domains of ‘Emotional Symptoms’ and ‘Hyperactivity’, all of these were significant. As might be expected, correlations between the prosocial scale of the SDQ, and all the domains of the PSI-SF, were negative, indicating that the higher the score on the prosocial domain the lower the scores on the PSI-SF domains. In other words, depictions of the children’s kind/caring behaviour were correlated with depictions of low stress by the parents and carers.

Table 1: Pearson correlations between PSI-SF and SDQ subscales (n=72) (significance levels in bold)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SDQ Domains</th>
<th>PSI-SF Domains</th>
<th>Parental Distress</th>
<th>Parent-Child Difficult Interaction</th>
<th>Difficult Child</th>
<th>Total Stress</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emotional Symptoms</td>
<td>Correlation</td>
<td>.097</td>
<td>.547</td>
<td>.692</td>
<td>.502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Significance</td>
<td>.552</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conduct Problems</td>
<td>Correlation</td>
<td>.429</td>
<td>.744</td>
<td>.706</td>
<td>.744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Significance</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperactivity</td>
<td>Correlation</td>
<td>.147</td>
<td>.462</td>
<td>.612</td>
<td>.498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Significance</td>
<td>.219</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer Problems</td>
<td>Correlation</td>
<td>.268</td>
<td>.523</td>
<td>.480</td>
<td>.503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Significance</td>
<td>.023</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prosocial Behaviour</td>
<td>Correlation</td>
<td>-.390</td>
<td>-.523</td>
<td>-.367</td>
<td>-.492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Significance</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.002</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Difficulties</td>
<td>Correlation</td>
<td>.321</td>
<td>.688</td>
<td>.751</td>
<td>.705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Significance</td>
<td>.006</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

The results presented in this article provide strong evidence that the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) may be considered a proxy measure of parenting stress, as measured by the Parenting Stress Index – Short Form (PSI-SF). The strength of the positive correlation between
responses on the two measures, and the negative correlations regarding the pro-social scale, supported by the Chi-Square analysis, demonstrates that, in most instance, parents and carers who scored within the normal range for the SDQ, also scored within the normal range for the PSI/SF, and those who scored within the abnormal range for the SDQ, also scored with the abnormal range for the PSI-SF.

Some of the findings shown here are consistent with similar results from the literature. As in the current study, in Osborne and Reed’s (2009) studies, a clear relationship was found between parenting stress and child behavioural problems. Moreover, we found that the PSI-SF ‘Difficult Child’ subscale was strongly associated with the SDQ ‘Total Difficulties’ and ‘Conduct Problems’ subscale. Similarly, in a study of low-income parents in the US (Reitman et al., 2002), maternal report of child behaviour problems was most strongly related to the PSI-SF ‘Difficult Child’ subscale.

This then begs the question, what is the direction of this relationship? Is parenting stress influencing children’s behaviour or is children’s behaviour influencing parenting stress? Which came first, the stress or the behaviour? Webster-Stratton (1990) commented that stress can cause parents to be irritable, uncommunicative, critical and harsh in their parenting style, thus being more likely to cause problematic behaviour in their children, resulting in more stress, thus creating a vicious circle. This perspective places the starting point at the parental level, which then raises the question as to what was causing the initial parenting stress? Was it the behaviour of the children? These chicken and egg type conundrums are by their very nature intractable. However, it might be argued that, irrespective of the origin of parental stress responses and problematic child behaviour, the relationship between these two is interdependent, one influencing the other in both positive and negative ways.

Recent research findings suggest that the impact of this relationship is stronger from the adolescent to the parent than vice-versa (Kerr, Statin & Ozdermir, 2012), and that problematic behaviour in adolescent girls can lead to the spiralling downwards of these relationships over time.
(Huh et al., 2006). Unfortunately, an analysis of these possible divergences of interdependence is beyond the scope of this paper. However, within the current study, the basic premise of symbiosis between parenting stress and child behaviour would appear to explain why those parents and carers who scored the children’s behaviour within the normal range, also scored their own parenting stress levels within the normal range, with the same being the case in relation to the abnormal ranges on both measures.

Establishing that the SDQ may be considered a proxy measure of parenting stress has major implications for clinical, educational and social work practice across the UK, and in other jurisdictions where the SDQ is being applied as an assessment tool. In England, since 2008 government policy has placed a duty on all Local Authorities (local government authorities with responsibility for delivering social services) to use the SDQ with all children entering care, and at regular intervals thereafter (DCSF, 2008). Systems have been established to alert social workers and managers to scores that are recorded for these children within the abnormal range, so that in instances where the child is not currently receiving some form of therapeutic intervention, appropriate referrals can be made for specialist assessment and treatment (DfE, 2015).

The statutory use of the SDQ with children in care in England is a very positive development in terms of meeting the behavioural, emotional and educational needs of this vulnerable population. However, the findings highlighted in this article of a strong correlation between the SDQ and the PSI-SF, suggest that a singular focus on the child when evidence of abnormal behaviour emerges, may be an incomplete approach to the problem. Where children’s behaviour scores are found to be within the abnormal range, the findings of the current study would indicate that there is also quite likely to be a correspondingly abnormal level of parenting stress being experienced by the parents and carers.

As such, it would seem sensible that interventions should be offered at both the child and parent/carer levels. At the very least, clinicians and practitioners should be prompted to consider
parental coping levels where SDQ scores show clinical deficits in children’s behaviour. Given the apparent symbiotic nature of the child behavioural and parental stress relationship, the provision of remedial support within both domains would appear to be more likely to lead to successful outcomes, than focusing on a singular domain.

Although the findings of the current study demonstrate a strong positive correlation between the SDQ and PSI-SF, this does not detract from the suitability or validity of these measures for use within their singular domains. Both provide important data on the child and parent-level domains that they were developed to investigate. However, the findings have illustrated how closely connected these child and parent domains are, and that, with particular reference to the SDQ which is used extensively by social services in the UK, when scores within the abnormal range are recorded, then levels of parenting stress should be additionally considered, and support provided if necessary.

*Possible Limitations*

It might be argued that the reason such a strong correlation between these two measures has been found is primarily due to the fact that some of the items contained in the two measures are similar, rather than being indicative of the close relationship between children’s behaviour and parenting stress. It is the case that at least 11 of the 36 items on the PSI-SF, specifically within the ‘Parent-Child Dysfunctional Interaction’ and ‘Difficult Child’ domains, are closely related to questions in the SDQ. For example, item 27 on the PSI-SF is ‘I feel that my child is moody and easily upset’, whilst item 5 on the SDQ is ‘often has temper tantrums or hot tempers’, and item 8 is ‘many worries, often seems worried’.

However, we would argue that this similarity is inevitable, as one measure (SDQ) is attempting to reflect a specific set of behaviours that have been carefully selected to illicit responses from parents/carers that will provide important information on the behavioural functioning of children (SDQ), whilst the other (PSI-SF) is attempting to reflect a specific set of behaviours that have
been carefully selected to illicit responses from parents/carers that will provide important information on the ways that certain child behaviours make them feel, and which has been defined as reflecting levels of parenting stress.

It is, in fact, precisely the similarity between the domains of child behavioural functioning and parenting stress that is being highlighted in this article, and the logic then of utilising assessments based upon the SDQ to enable further consideration of the extent to which parents/carers may be experiencing parenting stress, without the need to universally apply an additional measure of parenting stress, which would be likely to be prohibitively expensive, particularly where the SDQ is being routinely used with children entering the care system in England. Where instances of clinical need where found for children using the SDQ, practitioners could then assess the corresponding needs of parents and carers using either quantitative or qualitative measures of parenting stress, or a combination of both.

**Future Directions**

The findings of this study would encourage further investigation of the links between children’s behaviour and parenting stress, perhaps more specifically in terms of understanding further the contexts for scores on both these measures being within the normal and clinical ranges. Better knowledge of the wider factors that may be related to the functional and dysfunctional nature of parent/child relationships, and how this might develop, would assist practitioners better support families, and more specifically, families where the child or children have experienced (adoption or rehabilitation to birth parents), or are currently, in care. These wider factors are currently being considered within the fourth phase of the study, which is being funded by the UK Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC), and is focused on the late teens and early adulthood.

**Conclusion**

The basic premise of this article, that where children are experiencing behavioural problems, parents and carers are also likely to be experiencing parental stress, may appear so self-evident as to negate
the need for empirical evidence to support the assertion. However, we consider that the existence of such a relationship between these two domains has such profound implications for clinical, educational, and social work practice underpinned by the application of the SDQ, that it is important to establish the nature of this relationship empirically. The findings presented in this article have done this emphatically. This has enabled us to argue that, where the SDQ is being used in clinical, educational, and social work practice as a means of identifying need for intervention with children, there should be some cognisance taken of the fact that scores within the abnormal range are also very likely to be indicative of abnormal levels of parenting stress.

The literature review highlighted the detrimental impact that high levels of parenting stress can have for children in care and adopted from care, perhaps even threatening the stability placements. As such, we consider that using the SDQ within clinical, educational and social work practice additionally as a proxy measure of parenting stress, would be an effective way to help ensure that problems in these families, at both the child and parent/carer level, are identified and appropriately addressed. Indeed, in terms of early prevention/intervention, a shift in focus from the child, and targeting interventions to remedying problems in this singular domain, to a more joint parental child-relationship approach from the outset, may go some way to fast-tracking the mediation of the difficulties being experienced.
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