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Glacial geomorphology of the central Barents Sea: Implications for the dynamic deglaciation of the Barents Sea Ice Sheet
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ABSTRACT

Contemporary climate change has resulted in great uncertainty in how glaciers and ice sheets around the Earth might evolve. It has long been appreciated that the contemporary West Antarctic Ice Sheet (WAIS) shares many similarities with the former Barents Sea Ice Sheet (BSIS). Therefore, an increasing number of studies have sought to investigate the Barents Sea glacial record to better understand marine-based glaciation. This paper reports the analysis of a new high-resolution bathymetric survey covering ~55,000 km² in the central Barents Sea. The relative chronologies of newly-mapped glacial landforms such as mega-scale glacial lineations, grounding-zone wedges, moraines, and crevasse-squeeze ridges are used to reconstruct the ice sheet dynamics in the central Barents Sea. Our results show that the ice sheet responded dynamically with different periods of retreat, advance, and stagnation observed. These new landform records have been integrated with other geomorphological records in order to reconstruct the retreat pattern of the BSIS between 17–14 ka, when the Fennoscandian Ice Sheet is thought to have uncoupled from the BSIS. Our data shows that the dynamic drawdown of the ice sheet saddle by ice streams was probably the primary mechanism in separating the two ice sheets. After the ice sheets uncoupled, the BSIS is shown to have retreated episodically with several periods of relative stability. Geomorphological records such as those from the BSIS can be used to constrain ice sheet modelling and will help to develop a clearer understanding of marine-based glaciation and the role of ice streams in driving ice sheet evolution.

1. Introduction

Sedimentary records on high-latitude margins are important for reconstructing palaeo-ice sheets and their dynamics (Solheim et al., 1990; Jansen and Sjöholm, 1991; Vorren and Laberg, 1997; Ottesen et al., 2005). Mercer (1970) first suggested that an ice sheet similar in size to the West Antarctic Ice Sheet (WAIS) may have existed over the Barents Sea shelf at the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM). Subsequently, investigating the offshore stratigraphy and geomorphology of the Barents Sea has become an important area of research because the former Barents Sea Ice Sheet (BSIS) has been suggested as a possible analogue for understanding contemporary change on the WAIS (Andreassen and Winsborrow, 2009).

On a reverse slope, an initial perturbation of the grounding-line location (the area where ice becomes sufficiently buoyant to float) can lead to increased ice sheet discharge (Weertman, 1974; Hughes, 1981; Schoof, 2007) until a new steady-state is reached (Joughin and Alley, 2011; Jakobsson et al., 2012a; Jamieson et al., 2012). This scenario is concerning because of the potential sea level contribution of the WAIS (Rohling et al., 2009) and ongoing observations of grounding-line retreat and ice stream thinning and velocity increase (Pritchard et al., 2009; Wingham et al., 2009; Rignot et al., 2011). Since the LGM the WAIS has retreated up to 1000 km (Bentley et al., 2014) and the BSIS has disappeared. Although the geological setting of the WAIS is different to that of the BSIS, understanding the retreat of different marine-based ice sheets will allow for a more complete model of marine-based glaciation to be developed (Denton et al., 2010; Ingolfsson and Landvik, 2013).

This paper presents analysis of high-resolution bathymetric data in the central Barents Sea (Fig. 1a). The ice sheet history in the central Barents Sea is poorly understood due to limited data availability (Polyak et al., 1995; Bjarnadóttir et al., 2014). Geomorphological and geochronological compilations have suggested that the BSIS and the Fennoscandian Ice Sheet (FIS) separated in the central Barents Sea between 16–15 ka (Hughes et al., 2016; Stroeven et al., 2016) (Fig. 1b, c) and the aim of this study was to provide new insight into how that uncoupling process evolved. This was achieved by carrying out a geomorphological analysis of the seafloor and mapping landform assemblages preserved from the last glacial cycle.
2. Study area

2.1. Geological history

The Barents Sea, from 70–79°N and 14–58°E, is one of the world’s widest continental shelves (Andreassen and Winsborrow, 2009) (Fig. 1a). The northern and western margins were formed during Cenozoic rifting of the North Atlantic at ~55–54 Ma (Faleide et al., 1993, 1996; Gernigon et al., 2014). Subsidence since the Early Cretaceous has led to the accumulation of a major Cretaceous deposit composed of shale and claystone (Gabrielsen et al., 1990; Faleide et al., 2010). The Cenozoic succession consists of deep-marine sediment in the early Paleocene and shallow-marine in the Oligo-Miocene (Ryseth et al., 2003), before the dominance of glacial facies through the Plio-Pleistocene (Butt et al., 2000; Knies et al., 2009; Laberg et al., 2011).

The shelf is characterised by shallow banks of 100–200 m water depth, that are separated by cross-shelf troughs 300–500 m deep that extend to the shelf edge (Fig. 1a). The most prominent trough, Bjørnøya renna, is ~700–800 km long, 100–200 km wide, and has been excavated by glacial erosion since ~1.5 Ma (Elverhøi and Solheim, 1983; Laberg et al., 2011). The sedimentary cover in the Barents Sea is composed of glacial sediments deposited on top of the Upper Regional Unconformity and typically measures less than ~100 m thick, with the exception of the trough mouth fans (TMFs) and the bank areas (Solheim and Kristoffersen, 1984; Faleide et al., 1996; Solheim et al., 1996).

The shelf is characterised by shallow banks of 100–200 m water depth, that are separated by cross-shelf troughs 300–500 m deep that extend to the shelf edge (Fig. 1a). The most prominent trough, Bjørnøya renna, is ~700–800 km long, 100–200 km wide, and has been excavated by glacial erosion since ~1.5 Ma (Elverhøi and Solheim, 1983; Laberg et al., 2011). The sedimentary cover in the Barents Sea is composed of glacial sediments deposited on top of the Upper Regional Unconformity and typically measures less than ~100 m thick, with the exception of the trough mouth fans (TMFs) and the bank areas (Solheim and Kristoffersen, 1984; Faleide et al., 1996; Solheim et al., 1996).

2.2. Glacial history

Since 3.5 Ma the Barents Sea has experienced multiple glaciations with more extensive glacial occurrence after ~1.5 Ma and further intensification after ~1.0 Ma (Vorren et al., 1988; Knies et al., 2009). TMFs on the western margin of the Barents Sea record multiple shelf-wide glaciations throughout this period (Vorren and Laberg, 1997). The large size of the Bjørnøya TMF, with a volume of ~340,000 km³ (Fiedler and Faleide, 1996), reflects the frequent reoccupation of Bjørnøya renna by ice streams during the Plio-Pleistocene (Vorren and Laberg, 1997). Ice streams are narrow, linear zones of fast-flowing ice where the ice sheet velocity is significantly greater than the surrounding ice (Bennett, 2003). Palaeo-ice streams can be identified through the observations of landforms such as mega-scale glacial lineations (MSGLs) (Stokes and Clark, 1999).

The BSIS reached the shelf edge during the LGM between 21.5–18.1 cal. ka BP (Fig. 2) and also at some time prior to 26.5 cal. ka BP during the late Weichselian (Laberg and Vorren, 1997). The Bjørnøya Ice Stream was the main drainage outlet of the ice sheet during the LGM and it is thought that its retreat from the outer shelf started by 18–16 cal. ka BP (Rasmussen et al., 2007; Jessen et al., 2010; Junttila et al., 2010; Rüther et al., 2012). Observations of grounding-zone wedges, crevasse-squeeze ridges, moraines, and MSGLs suggest that retreat of the ice stream through the Bjørnøya renna was interrupted by stillstands and readvances related to changes in back-pressures, ice sheet velocity, and ice sheet thinning (Winsborrow et al.,...
The retreat of the ice sheet is then presented as a number of time-slices at a local (Fig. 10) and regional level (Fig. 11) between 17–14 ka, when the BSIS and FIS are thought to have separated (Hughes et al., 2016; Stroeven et al., 2016). Using the ‘most-credible’ time-slices from Hughes et al. (2016) before and after separation, several intermittent time-slices were reconstructed showing how the ice sheets uncoupled. This involved the use of cross-cutting flow-sets and judicious use of seafloor topography to intuitively develop plausible patterns of ice sheet flow that complemented landform records (i.e. through a trough, or convergence of ice to aid ice streaming). Without a network of dating constraints we assume coevality between different landform observations (i.e. stillstands in Bjørnøyrenna are coeval with stillstands in Sentralbankkrena) to carefully develop a realistic reconstruction. In areas where landform records are lacking, the ice margin is reconstructed with successively smaller margins between known ice margins. Although this is a simplification, it is the most plausible way of reconstructing the pattern of retreat, as long as correlations are carefully considered and the reconstruction is the simplest possible.

In the regional reconstruction (Fig. 11), observed readvances (e.g. Bjarnadóttir et al., 2014) during the retreat of the ice margin are not documented. This allows for a general geographic pattern of retreat to be developed. Similar methods and workflows are common and have been used to reconstruct several palaeo-ice sheets (Boulton and Clark, 1990; Clark, 1993, 1997; Kleman and Borgström, 1996; Kleman et al., 2006; Greenwood and Clark, 2009; Winsborrow et al., 2011; Clark et al., 2012; Hughes et al., 2014). The caveat to such an approach is that neighbouring ice streams in northern Norway have shown different rates and styles of retreat after the LGM (Stokes et al., 2014). However, given that the objective is to provide a regional pattern of retreat and separation of the BSIS from the FIS, such an approach is reasonable.

### 4. Results: description and interpretation of submarine landforms

In the survey investigated here, a number of banks and troughs are partially imaged in the multibeam data (Fig. 3). These include the Storbankenrenna and Sentralbankkrena troughs with water depths varying from ~280–355 m. The troughs are separated by Sentralbanken, Thor Iversen-banken, and Tiddlybanken where water depths decrease to 140–280 m (Fig. 3). Mapping of the survey revealed an extensive suite of landforms that are described and interpreted below.

#### 4.1. Streamlined bedforms

Streamlined bedforms are present across Storbankenrenna (Figs. 4 a–c), Sentralbankkrena (Fig. 4d), and in the low topography area north of Tiddlybanken (Fig. 4e). In Storbankenrenna and Sentralbankkrena the features appear to continue outside of the survey. These features show a parallel conformity and are generally 3–16 km long, 100–600 m wide, and 5–10 m deep. These features share morphological similarities to mega-scale glacial lineations (MSGLs) formed beneath areas of fast-flowing ice and are indicative of ice streaming or surging (Clark, 1993; Stokes and Clark, 1999, 2001; Ottesen et al., 2008a; Spagnolo et al., 2014). MSGLs form-parallel to ice flow and, although the formation of MSGLs is debated, it is generally thought to relate to streamlining or deformation of weak, saturated tills (Clark et al., 2003).

The northern MSGLs suggest NNE-SSW ice sheet flow (Fig. 4a, b) and the reworking of a ridge feature that is interpreted as a moraine (see Section 4.4) implies advance of the ice margin (Fig. 4a). These MSGLs appear to continue onto the southern flank of Sentralbankkrena where they have been significantly reworked by iceberg scouring (see Section 4.8) (Fig. 4b). In Storbankenrenna a number of subtle MSGLs
(Fig. 4c) have been overlain by ridge deposits and show a WSW-ESE orientation. These MSGLs probably represent the earliest period of ice flow through Storbankrenna into Bjørnøyrenna and pre-date the MSGLs formed during the later advance (Fig. 4a). The MSGLs in Sentralbankrenna (Fig. 4d) show an arcuate orientation that is parallel to the trough; suggesting fast-flowing ice was guided by the topography. MSGLs near Tiddlybanken suggest NE-SW oriented ice stream flow (Fig. 4e). Many MSGLs show a relationship with adjacent wedges and this is fully discussed in Section 4.6. The observed flow patterns correspond well with previous flow patterns inferred from less extensive high-resolution data (Bjarnadóttir et al., 2014).

4.2. Channels

In Storbankrenna, a 38 km long, ~100 m wide, and 10–15 m deep channel is observed (Fig. 5a). On Thor Iversen-banken channels are larger and show dendritic and braided patterns. Channel 2 is dendritic with widths and depths of 500–800 m and 8–22 m, respectively (Fig. 5b). Regional bathymetry shows the channel continues out of the survey area (Jakobsson et al., 2012b). Channel 3 is ~60 km long with similar dimensions to Channel 2 (Fig. 5c). It cuts through a set of moraines described in Section 4.4 and terminates at the slope of Sentralbankrenna (Fig. 5c).
Channel 4 is 20 km long, 200–300 m wide, and has a depth range of 10–50 m (Fig. 5c). Channel 5 is ~50 km long and terminates at Sentralbankrenna. It is characterised by braiding and an overdeepening (Fig. 5d). Channel 6 is adjacent to Tiddlybanken and is observed as an overdeepened channel ~60 km long, 0.8–2.5 km wide, and up to 50 m deep (Fig. 5e). In the larger channels (2 and 4–6) the thalwegs have an undulating basal topography.

These channels are interpreted as tunnel valleys, which are long and often steeply-flanked, overdeepened subglacial drainage pathways (Ó Cofaigh, 1996; Huuse and Lykke-Andersen, 2000) with a typical width-to-depth ratio of 1:10 (van der Vegt et al., 2012). The width-to-depth ratios observed here range from 1:10 (Fig. 5a–d) to 1:40 (Fig. 5e). The geometries of the tunnel valleys in the central Barents Sea fall comfortably within those described elsewhere (van der Vegt et al., 2012). The undulating thalwegs also indicate that the channels are tunnel valleys since the water was able to flow upslope (Fig. 5c, d). This is because the hydraulic gradient of a tunnel valley is controlled by the ice surface slope, meaning that subglacial meltwater can flow upslope (Ó Cofaigh, 1996).

Tunnel valley 1 (TV-1) in Storbankrenna cross-cuts a number of MSGLs; this suggests that the tunnel valley postdates the MSGLs (Fig. 5a). However, although the presence of meltwater and inefficient drainage at the base of an ice stream are known to aid ice streaming (Engelhardt and Kamb, 1997; Kyte-Smith et al., 2013), a coeval formation cannot be currently ruled out as channelised and efficient meltwater discharge has been observed below Antarctic ice streams (Le Brocq et al., 2013). TV-2 and TV-3 on Thor Iversen-banken terminate at the lateral margin of Sentralbankrenna and probably mark the confluence of slower-moving ice from the bank with the ice stream in the trough (Fig. 5b, c). TV-4 (Fig. 5c) on Thor Iversen-banken overdeepens as a number of coalescing valleys increased water volume and velocity sufficiently that the substrate was further incised, implying that the substrate was more easily erodible. Retreat moraines (Fig. 5c) cut by the tunnel valley, imply that TV-4 is a younger feature. However, if the tunnel valley is older, ongoing drainage could have prevented material accumulating and infilling the tunnel valley.

The base of TV-5 is characterised by a braided and undulating topography (Fig. 5d). It is not clear how much of this is due to bedrock restriction of valley width, but the relatively large width suggests that the location of meltwater discharge may have been stable for a significant period of time. Thalweg undulations and braiding also suggests that discharge was variable through time (Ó Cofaigh, 1996; Huuse and Lykke-Andersen, 2000). An area of homogenous low-relief upstream of TV-5 (Fig. 5d) may represent a local basin where meltwater accumulated and fed
the tunnel valley, perhaps catastrophically when subglacial pressures were too high. Further data is required to fully elucidate this hypothesis, but similar landform assemblages have been described in Canada (Livingstone et al., 2016). TV-5 terminates at the margin of Sentralbankrenna and may have been an important source of lubricating meltwater to the ice stream bed. In Tiddlybanken, TV-6 is significantly deeper than the other tunnel valleys and it occurs in a wide area of low topography that may represent a local basin of meltwater accumulation (Fig. 5e).
4.3 Sinuous ridge

A ~4 km long sinuous ridge (Fig. 6) is located adjacent to TV-2 (Fig. 5b). The ridge is 2–5 m high, 100–120 m wide, and is roughly orientated orthogonal to the Sentralbankrenna trough and to local observations of retreat moraines (see Section 4.4). Eskers are long, sinuous ridges formed in subglacial and englacial ice-walled conduits (Banerjee and McDonald, 1975; Henderson, 1988). Upon glacier recession or ice down-wasting, these channels leave behind ridges of stream deposits that are typically up to 50 m high, 100–200 m wide, and a few kilometres long (Storrar et al., 2014). This ridge is interpreted as an esker and indicates efficient subglacial drainage (Röthlisberger, 1972; Shreve, 1985), as is also suggested by TV-2 (Fig. 5b). Ottesen et al. (2008a) suggested that englacial and subglacial eskers can be distinguished, as englacially-formed eskers are likely to have a more disturbed appearance as they may be deposited on the seafloor in a more chaotic, time-transgressive manner. This esker is thought to have formed subglacially because its smooth topography suggests that meltwater gradually cut into the ice above. Although it is difficult to
determine a time of formation relative to other landforms, the ridge is within close proximity to a network of crevasse-squeeze ridges (see Section 4.7), suggesting coeval formation during a period of ice stagnation.

4.4. Ridge features

A number of ridges are observed in Storbøkrenna (Fig. 7a, b, d) and Thor Iversen-banken (Fig. 5c). Ridges in Storbøkrenna are 4–55 km long, 1–4 km wide, and 5–20 m high. The ridge in the northwest of the survey (red arrow in Fig. 7a) has MSGLs superimposed on its crest (Figs. 4a, 7a), suggesting that it was overridden by grounded ice after its formation. On the southern side of Storbøkrenna, several ridges similar in size are recorded (black arrows in Fig. 7a). In between the two sets of large ridges, smaller ridges are observed and are typically 0.5–4 km long, 200–600 m wide, and 5–8 m high (Fig. 7b, d). These ridges are deposited on top of the early and readvance MSGLs (Figs. 4c, 7b) and are typically orthogonal to the latter. On Thor Iversen-banken, ridges are observed and cut by iceberg scours and tunnel valleys (Fig. 5c). These arcuate ridges are 5–10 m high, 400–800 m wide and 10–14 km long.

Although subsurface data is required to rule out that the ridges are bedrock features, the rugged topography generated by iceberg scouring suggests that the ridges are, at least in the upper-most part, sedimentary in origin. The large size of the prominent ridges in Storbøkrenna suggests that they are moraines that mark the former ice sheet margin (Fig. 7a). The length of time taken for formation depends on the flux of material to the margin, but their relatively large size implies the ice sheet margin may have been stable at each moraine for a significant period of time, perhaps at least a few decades.

Fig. 8. a) sequence of grounding-zone wedges (GZWs) deposited on top of each other with MSGLs superimposed on top of each GZW in Sentralbankrenna. The location of panel (d) is shown; b) large GZW accumulated at the western margin of Sentralbankrenna accumulated during a period of stillstand; c) possible GZW at the northernmost edge of Storbøkrenna. GZW described in the text numbered from south to north; d) observations of MSGLs on GZW-3 in Sentralbankrenna. Arrows point to examples of MSGLs; e) reticulated (or rhombohedral) pattern of ridges in Sentralbankrenna that have been interpreted as crevasse-squeeze ridges. The inset panel shows a small number of digitised ridges to display the reticulated pattern; f) example of chaotic scour in the area between Tiddlybanken and Thor Iversen-banken.
MSGLs in Storbankrenna suggest the northernmost moraine was overridden by ice (Fig. 4a and red arrow in Fig. 7a), whereas the four large moraines in the south (black arrows in Fig. 7a) and the smaller moraines recorded in between (Fig. 7d) lack such evidence. This suggests that ice did not advance beyond this point during the readvance (Fig. 7a). The smaller ridges recorded in Storbankrenna are interpreted as retreat moraines, possibly annual push moraines, formed by small advances during the overall retreat of the ice margin (Fig. 7b, d) (Boulton, 1986). This suggests that the ice margin was retreating episodically (Dowdeswell et al., 2008). Given the similarity in both the geometry of the ridges and the likelihood that they were formed in a tidewater glacier setting, these ridges are similar to De Geer moraines observed in Sweden and Canada (Lindén and Möller, 2005; Todd et al., 2007).

On Thor Iversen-banken, the ridges are interpreted as retreat moraines (Fig. 5b, c). These are located on the bank area adjacent to Sentralbankrenna and their orientation is not orthogonal to the MSGL-inferred ice flow pattern observed in the trough (Fig. 4d). This suggests that prior to deglaciation this area probably marked the confluence of ice from the west across Thor Iversen-banken into Sentralbankrenna. During retreat of ice through the trough the moraines suggest that the ice on Thor Iversen-banken uncoupled from the ice stream in Sentralbankrenna. The Thor Iversen-banken ice cover then retreated to the east, with several stillstands, as the ice in Sentralbankrenna retreated through the trough to the different grounding-zones wedges (see Section 4.6). In general, the small ridges are uncommon compared to other locations nearby where they are more prominent on the bank areas (e.g. Bjarnadóttir et al., 2014), possibly owing to better preservation potential as large areas of the banks observed here are heavily iceberg scoured (see Section 4.8).

4.5. Parallel ridges

In Storbankrenna a set of highly-uniform ridges are transverse and superimposed on top of the MSGLs (Fig. 7e). The ridges are typically 0.4–3 km long, 100–150 m wide, 0.4–2 m high, and have a wavelength between ridge crests of 130–140 m. These features appear similar to corrugation ridges described in Antarctica (Jakobsson et al., 2011) and the Barents Sea (Andreassen et al., 2014; Bjarnadóttir et al., 2014). They are thought to form during tidally-modulated sea level changes and periodic grounding of mega-icebergs or ice shelf keels that are close to floatation (Jakobsson et al., 2011; Graham et al., 2013). Corrugation ridges are also typically observed in adjacent iceberg scours. However, this is not the case here and no ridges are observed in adjacent iceberg scours. Ridge dimensions are similar to the small moraines described in Section 4.4 and are interpreted as retreat moraines. This suggests retreat of a tidewater ice margin in Storbankrenna was punctuated by brief, possibly annual, advances or stillstands of the ice margin. The good preservation of MSGLs (Fig. 4a) implies that ice was probably cold-based and unable to significantly rework these deposits.

4.6. Asymmetric wedges

A number of wedges are observed in the Sentralbankrenna and Storbankrenna troughs. The three largest wedges (wedges 1–3) have an asymmetric shape (with the steepest side dipping to the west for wedge 1 and to the SSW for wedges 2 and 3) (Fig. 8a–c). The wedges rise 30–60 m above the local seafloor and are several tens of kilometres wide (measured orthogonal to the trough axis), covering an area of at least ~1800–3500 km² (the wedges clearly continue outside the survey) (Fig. 8a, b). A smaller wedge (wedge 4) is observed in Storbankrenna (Fig. 8c) and although it is not clear on the regional bathymetry (Jakobsson et al., 2012b) (Fig. 1a), the part contained within the survey shows a similar asymmetric shape that is ~40 m high, 15–20 km wide, and covers at least ~750 km² (Fig. 8c). Relative ages of wedges 2 and 3 can be derived through the superposition of wedge 3, suggesting it is younger (Fig. 8a).

These wedges are interpreted as grounding-zone wedges (GZW) based on their morphology, asymmetric shape, and relationship to the MSGLs (Fig. 8a–c). Similar landform assemblages are present in studies near the survey area (Andreassen et al., 2014; Bjarnadóttir et al., 2014) and on other glaciated margins (Dowdeswell et al., 2016). GZW are typically composed of diamicitic subglacial debris and ice-contact deposits that have been delivered to the grounding-line and indicate that ice margin retreat was punctuated by stillstands during deglaciation (Dowdeswell et al., 2008). The asymmetric shape of the GZW, with the steep lee-side orientated downstream (Batchelor and Dowdeswell, 2015), shows that ice was flowing predominantly from NNE to SSW in Storbankrenna and the upper part of Sentralbankrenna (Fig. 8a, c), which is in agreement with the MSGLs record. GZW-1 indicates that ice flow was ENE-WSW in outer Sentralbankrenna (Fig. 8b). This change in direction from GZW-1 to GZW-3 is recorded in the intervening area by the MSGLs.

MSGLs in Sentralbankrenna (GZW-2 and GZW-3) appear to continue below and above the GZW with similar orientations; suggesting fast-flowing ice across the area prior to, and during, the formation of the GZW (Figs. 4d, 5d). This indicates that ice flow direction changed little as ice retreated between GZW-2 and GZW-3. The preservation of MSGLs also suggests that the retreat between each GZW was sufficiently rapid that the MSGLs were not significantly reworked by grounded ice or a collapsed ice shelf. MSGLs also appear to continue beneath GZW-4 (Fig. 8c), but extensive iceberg scouring means that if they were present on top of the wedge they have been reworked. GZW are thought to take decades to centuries to form (Dowdeswell and Fugelli, 2012), meaning that between the phases of rapid retreat the ice sheet margin remained stable, possibly with an ice shelf, whilst material accumulated at the grounding-line. The interpretation of episodic retreat fits well with previous interpretations of adjacent landform records in the central Barents Sea and Bjørnøyrenna (Andreassen et al., 2014; Bjarnadóttir et al., 2014).

4.7. Reticulated ridges

In water depths of ~270 m in Sentralbankrenna, a reticulated (or rhombohedral) pattern of 0.5–2 km long, < 100–150 m wide, and 3–6 m high ridges is observed (Fig. 8e). There is some evidence of iceberg scouring, but the berm and associated furrows are clearly distinguishable from the reticulated ridges. Where iceberg scouring is more prevalent the distinction is more difficult due to extensive reworking. Although similar ridges are observed in Storbankrenna, elsewhere in the survey these patterns are uncommon because they were either not formed or are not preserved.

The ridge networks are similar to crevasse-squeeze ridges (CSRs) observed in front of surge-type glaciers on Svalbard (Ottesen and Dowdeswell, 2006; Flink et al., 2015). In areas of high velocity ice flow, deformable beds and water-saturated sediments are common and provide the initial conditions for injection of debris into basal crevasses due to the overburden pressure (van der Veen, 1998; Evans and Rea, 1999). The CSRs are then preserved on the former bed of the ice when the margin stagnates and down-wastes or lifts-off without reworking the CSRs through increased buoyancy. The MSGLs described in Section 4.1 indicate fast-flowing ice in Sentralbankrenna, whilst the CSRs indicate stagnation and uncoupling of the ice from the bed as the grounding-line migrated upstream. Rapid uncoupling of the ice from the seabed is required so that downstream flow does not rework the CSRs (Andreassen et al., 2014).

4.8. Curvilinear grooves

Linear and curvilinear grooves occur across the majority of the survey but are less common (when compared to the bank areas) in water depths > 300 m. Grooves are generally < 5–10 km in length
were formed. This ice cover might have produced icebergs that scoured the lack of scouring on the area of homogenous topography on Thor matched by isostatic rebound as the ice sheet lost mass, iceberg drafts margin retreated between the GZW-1 to GZW-3 (Fig. 5d). Conversely, have moved from the trough area and grounded on the bank as the ice Iversen-banken WNW-ESE trajectories suggest that the icebergs may grounded as they moved up onto the bank areas (Fig. 7a). On Thor Sentralbanken, NE-SW scour orientations suggest that icebergs other. On a local level, such as on the slope between Storbankrenna and linear and curvilinear grooves as the iceberg is moved by ocean currents or winds.

Scour orientations vary considerably and frequently cross-cut each other. On a local level, such as on the slope between Storbankenrenna and Sentralbanken, NE-SW scour orientations suggest that icebergs grounded as they moved up onto the bank areas (Fig. 7a). On Thor Iversen-banken WNW-ESE trajectories suggest that the icebergs may have moved from the trough area and grounded on the bank as the ice margin retreated between the GZW-1 to GZW-3 (Fig. 5d). Conversely, the lack of scouring on the area of homogenous topography on Thor Iversen-banken suggests that it was likely ice covered when the scours were formed. This ice cover might have produced icebergs that scoured Thor Iversen-banken as they moved away from the calving front toward Sentralbankenrenna. In Sentralbankrenna, the area of GZW-1 is reworked by the longest and widest iceberg scours. These larger scours regularly cross-cut narrower scours in the area and typically have a less sharply defined base, suggesting that the thicker iceberg scours represent the greater availability of wider deep-draft icebergs. This might be related to a possible collapse, or increased calving flux, of the ice stream front as the margin retreated from GZW-1 to GZW-2.

Observations of iceberg scours with spiral or arcuate trajectories (Fig. 5d) show the combined influence of geostrophic (possibly the North Atlantic Current) and tidal currents on iceberg trajectory (Newton et al., 2016). Chaotic scours which repeatedly change direction (Fig. 5f) are likely related to iceberg overturning or localised currents related to subglacial drainage that prevent the iceberg from moving away. Double-keeled icebergs are also observed on Sentralbanken (Fig. 7f). Isolated pits 5–10 m deep and 100–300 m wide (Figs. 5d, 7c), have been formed by icebergs that experienced temporary grounding during either low tidal conditions, or perhaps from the momentary passing of ocean swell (Bass and Woodworth-Lynas, 1988). These pits (Fig. 5d) are generally much larger than the potholes (Fig. 5e) described in Section 4.9.

Most of the iceberg scours have cut other glacial landforms, meaning that they are younger features. Determining the precise chronology of when cross-cutting occurred is difficult because iceberg calving occurs under retreating and stable margin conditions. Taken together, landforms indicating retreat (e.g. moraines) and the extensive iceberg scouring in the deepest areas (i.e. the availability of deep-draft icebergs) suggest that the scouring occurred during retreat of the ice sheet between stillstand locations. The shallower bank areas were probably reworked throughout the time period once they were free of grounded ice.

The scours are generally restricted to contemporary water depths of 140–300 m. The LGM sea level is thought to have been ~130 m lower than the present (Lambeck et al., 2014), suggesting that the icebergs that scoured the area would have had drafts of ~10–170 m. Whilst raised beaches on Svalbard are up to 100 m above sea level (Forman et al., 1995), the post-glacial marine limit on Novaya Zemlya is ~15 m above sea level (Zeeberg et al., 2001). This dichotomy between the relative sea level changes either side of the Barents Sea implies that the major ice load was located over the central and northern Barents Sea, causing a crustal depression of ~100–200 m at the LGM (Landvik et al., 1998; Patton et al., 2016). Assuming that sea level rise was at least matched by isostatic rebound as the ice sheet lost mass, iceberg drafts were probably ~200–400 m.

4.9. Seafloor craters

In the Sentralbankrenna trough and south of Tiddlybanken, there is a high density of circular craters on the seafloor (Fig. 5e). These craters have a more rounded morphology than the iceberg pits described above and lack the adjacent excavated material (Fig. 7c). They are generally ~5 m deep and 20–40 m in diameter. These craters have been interpreted as potholes caused by the fluid expulsion due to their rounded morphology and the general lack of adjacent iceberg scours that would be expected if iceberg pits were so prevalent (Andreassen et al., 2007; Brown et al., 2017).

4.10. Landform assemblages and flow-sets

The landform record described above was digitised and generalised into flow-sets (Fig. 9), where a set or an assemblage of landforms summarise a single ice sheet phase or event in the central Barents Sea (e.g. stillstand or ice streaming). The rationale for determining each flow-set is described in Table 1. The different flow-sets derived from the landform assemblages and their cross-cutting relationships are assumed to reflect a time transgressive record of the evolving ice sheet margin. Without geochronological data, it is assumed that margins retreated at similar rates, and thus, that some flow patterns may have been coeval in time.

5. Discussion

5.1. Deglaciation of the survey area

Ice dynamics across the survey have not been reconstructed in the southern-most area because of the limited areal extent of the data. Previous studies in this area have shown several phases of ice margin stillstand, stagnation, and fast-flow reactivation during the deglaciation after the LGM (Winsborrow et al., 2010; Bjarnadóttir et al., 2014). This is considered in Section 5.2. In this section, the spatio-temporal glacial evolution has been tentatively reconstructed in a number of successive times-slices for the Storbankenrenna and Sentralbankrenna troughs, and adjacent bank areas (Fig. 10).

At the LGM, MSGLs suggest that smaller ice streams in Storbankenrenna (Fig. 4c) and Sentralbanken (Fig. 4d) are thought to have flowed into the main trunk of the Bjørnøyrenna Ice Stream (Bjarnadóttir et al., 2014). As the Bjørnøyrenna Ice Stream after the LGM, it stabilised at a number of positions in the Bjørnøyrenna Trough (Andreassen et al., 2014; Bjarnadóttir et al., 2014). Concomitant with this retreat, MSGLs and GZW-1 indicate that a smaller ice stream was no longer confluent with the Bjørnøyrenna Ice Stream and stabilised in the Sentralbankenrenna trough (Figs. 4d, 8b, 10a). Thus, the Bjørnøyrenna Ice Stream was no longer being fed by the Sentralbankenrenna Ice Stream, but only by ice flowing southward, likely from an ice divide over Storbanken and Svalbard. Tunnel valleys incised into Thor Iversen-banken (Fig. 5b–d) terminate at the boundary between the bank area and Sentralbankenrenna and the availability of meltwater discharging directly into the trough may have provided lubrication to the ice stream base and in combination with topographic restriction of ice flow and a deformable substrate, helped to drive fast-flowing ice through Sentralbankenrenna.

MSGLs in Sentralbankenrenna (Fig. 4d) indicate an arcuate flow path of the ice stream that was topographically constrained in the trough as it rapidly retreated from GZW-1 to GZW-2 and then GZW-3 (Figs. 8a, 10b). MSGLs appear to continue below the wedges and are also present on the top of each GZW; suggesting fast-flowing ice prior to and after retreat between each GZW. The MSGLs, GZW-2, and GZW-3 suggest that the fast-flowing ice retreated to the northwest (Fig. 10b). CSRs observed to the west of GZW3 (Fig. 8e) suggest that the ice cover here had become stagnant before mass loss eventually led to rapid uncoupling from the seafloor. Moraines on the bank area then indicate slow
retreat of the margin to the northwest onto Sentralbanken (Bjarnadóttir et al., 2014). These retreat patterns appear to show that ice retreat was topographically-driven as grounding-lines retreated in different directions to higher elevations across Sentralbanken. Such an interpretation is in agreement with adjacent landforms (Bjarnadóttir et al., 2014). Additional data is required to the east of the survey to elucidate if ice was still fast-flowing as it retreated behind GZW-3.

As the ice margin retreated through Sentralbankrenna, the ice stream likely uncoupled from the ice on Thor Iversen-banken along the eastern break of slope in the trough (Fig. 10c, d). Retreat moraines (Fig. 5c) suggest that ice was grounded and episodically retreating as the ice margin moved southeast across Thor Iversen-banken (Fig. 10c, d). It was suggested earlier that TV-3 and TV-4 could pre-date or post-date moraine formation, however, if the moraines were deposited first, then it would have required that they were not reworked during a subsequent ice advance when the tunnel valleys were formed. The moraines are well-preserved and do not appear to be heavily modified, suggesting that a subsequent advance over the moraines is unlikely. If the tunnel valleys were operational at the ice terminus after the ice masses uncoupled, then this would have prevented the build-up of material in the incision as ice retreated. Therefore, it is interpreted that tunnel valley formation pre-dates the retreat moraines as this better fits with the ice dynamics described above. CSRs on Thor Iversen-banken suggest further down-wasting and rapid lift-off at the ice margin as it retreated to the east (Fig. 10c). The ice margin continued to retreat and deposited a number of retreat moraines (Fig. 9a) before the ice margin moved out of the survey area.

No studies of high resolution bathymetric data to the east of Thor Iversen-banken and Tiddlybanken have been published, but it seems likely that the ice margin retreated toward Sentraldjupet and Murmansankbanen (Polyak et al., 1995; Svendsen et al., 2004; Bjarnadóttir et al., 2014). As is indicated by the retreat pattern...
described above, after the ice margins uncoupled, retreat became topographically-controlled as grounding-lines moved to higher elevations on the bank areas. This interpretation is in agreement with the suggestion of Bjarnadóttir et al. (2014) that local ice divides may have developed over the bank areas as the deglaciation progressed.

As ice on Thor Iversen-banken and Tiddlybanken began to act independently, so did the ice cover further to the north (Fig. 10c). The ice stream in Storbankrenna that was previously confluent with the Bjørnøyrenna Ice Stream was no longer present as the ice margin had retreated to the northernmost moraine (red arrow in Fig. 7a). MSGLs suggest that the ice margin was grounded as it advanced over the moraine (Figs. 4a, 10d). The readvance was possibly associated with a reduction in backstress of the margin due to sea level rise and/or ice sheet thinning. The loss of backstresses have been shown on the Antarctic Peninsula to have resulted in the speed up in glacier velocity after the collapse of the Larsen B ice shelf (Rignot et al., 2004; Scambos et al., 2004); a similar change in stress regime here may have resulted in the readvance of the margin in the central Barents Sea.

The southern moraines (black arrows in Fig. 7a) indicate that backstresses increased and the margin stabilised on the northern flank of Sentralbanken. After deposition of the larger moraines to the south, the frequent deposition of smaller retreat moraines indicates that the ice margins were stable for shorter periods of time (Fig. 7d, e). The tunnel valley observed here (TV-1) cross-cuts the MSGLs and indicates a more channelised subglacial drainage after the readvance. It is possible that this tunnel valley indicates a switch from a distributed to a channelised subglacial flow regime that may have contributed to the slowdown of ice stream velocity and subsequent stagnation. However, additional acoustic stratigraphic data are required to test this hypothesis. Buoyancy increases through ice sheet thinning and/or sea level rise then led to the rapid floatation of the stagnant ice margin permanently, leaving behind a network of CSRs (Fig. 9a). The accumulation of GZW-4 also provides evidence that an ice shelf may have been present (Figs. 8c and 10e). Evidence for late Weichselian ice flow to the southwest from Storbanken to Storbankrenna is also observed (Bjarnadóttir et al., 2014). This fits well with the deglaciation pattern described here as an ice divide prevailed over Storbanken. This cyclical behaviour between fast- and slow-flowing ice has also been described for the nearby Bjørnøyrenna Ice Stream (Andreassen and Winsborrow, 2009; Andreassen et al., 2014; Bjarnadóttir et al., 2014).

5.2. Deglaciation of the central Barents Sea

Dating studies in the Barents Sea suggest that the BSIS separated from the FIS in the central Barents Sea sometime between 17.5 and 11.1 cal. ka BP (Salvigsen, 1981; Polyak et al., 1995; Rüther et al., 2011). In order to reconstruct ice margin evolution through the period of separation, the findings of this paper (Fig. 10) have been integrated with datasets from other studies. The ‘most-credible’ ice margin reconstructions from Hughes et al. (2016) are also used to constrain this time period to 17–14 ka.

After the LGM, where ice streams extended to the shelf edge (Ottesen et al., 2005), the western BSIS began to retreat from the shelf edge at ~19–18 ka (Elverhøi et al., 1995; Landvik et al., 1998; Rasmussen et al., 2007). There is uncertainty in how the eastern BSIS retreated due to a lack of published data on- and offshore Novaya Zemlya. In a recent review Patton et al. (2015) showed that ice cover on Novaya Zemlya was confluent with the BSIS at 16 ka. Alternatively, the Hughes et al. (2016) ‘most-credible’ reconstruction, which is based on geomorphological and geochronological data, suggests the ice covers were separate from at least 17 ka. Hughes et al. (2016) dedicate a detailed discussion to the problems associated with the lack of evidence in the eastern Barents Sea and this study only concentrates on the salient points when appropriate. Since the work presented here is
designed to add more detail to the reconstructions of Hughes et al. (2016), their interpretation that Novaya Zemlya was separated from the BSIS at 17 ka is followed.

From 17 to 16 ka (Fig. 11a and b) several ice streams are thought to have been active in the central Barents Sea, including the Bjørnøyrenna Ice Stream, which retreated through the trough episodically (Rüther et al., 2012; Bjarnadóttir et al., 2014). At 17 ka, a coast-parallel ice stream is thought to have been active along the north Norwegian coastline (Ottesen et al., 2008b; Winsborrow et al., 2010). Evidence of ice streaming in Sentralbankrenna (Fig. 4a) suggests an ice stream here was probably a tributary of the Bjørnøyrenna Ice Stream, which was temporarily stable at the GZW in outer Bjørnøyrenna (Winsborrow et al., 2010; Rüther et al., 2011). Ice streaming in Sentralbankrenna and Storbankrenna is partly facilitated by the location of an ice divide on the bank areas that causes convergence of ice into the troughs (Fig. 11a). At 16 ka (Fig. 11b) the reconstruction from Hughes et al. (2016) shows that the Bjørnøyrenna Ice Stream retreated through the trough to a second ice margin observed by Winsborrow et al. (2010). Using the ‘stage 3’ reconstruction from Winsborrow et al. (2010) to populate the ice margin with flow characteristics, the margins of the Nordkappbanken-east and Djujrenna ice streams were stable offshore northern Norway (Fig. 11b). During this period it is plausible that fringing ice shelves may have occupied the cavity that was created by the retreat of the Bjørnøyrenna, Sentralbankrenna, and mainland Norwegian ice to the northeast, east, and southeast respectively. The presence of ice shelves and a dense mélange of calved icebergs and sea ice may have provided an important buttress that stabilised the margin, e.g. Scambos et al. (2004) and Amundson et al. (2010). Thus, fringing ice shelves might account for the stillstand deposits that are observed offshore in this area. Smaller troughs off of Svalbard and Spitsbergen, such as Kveithola and Storfjorden, have also shown similar episodic retreat (Pedrosa et al., 2011; Bjarnadóttir et al., 2013; Llport et al., 2015).

After 16 ka (Fig. 11c), further retreat of the Bjørnøyrenna Ice Stream

---

**Fig. 11.** (a–f) Time-slice reconstruction of the Barents Sea Ice Sheet between 17 and 14 ka. In panels with dates the ice sheet margin is the ‘most-credible’ reconstruction from Hughes et al. (2016). The ice margins and flow patterns have been generalised from studies discussed in the main text and from this study. White lines indicate possible locations of ice divides, whilst blue arrows and lines indicate ice streams and general flowlines, respectively. These are necessarily schematic due to the limited availability of data and are based on correlations across different sets of geomorphological data. Thus, this reconstruction provides a simplified pattern of retreat after ice streams reduced ice volume in the saddle area before the eventual separation of the Barents Sea Ice Sheet from the Fennoscandian Ice Sheet. The question marks refer to uncertainty in ice margin extent in the southeastern Barents Sea and a proposal for greater ice cover extent in the central and eastern Barents Sea. Abbreviations are the same as Fig. 1 except for coast-parallel ice stream (CP), Murmanskbanken (MBK), and Sentraldjupet (SEJ). See Fig. 2 for location. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
and the Nordkappbanken-east and Djuprenna ice streams led to an increase in the size of the ice free area. The retreat of the ice stream in Sentralbankrenna to GZW-1 is correlated with ice margin number two in Bjørnøyrenna from Bjarnadóttir et al. (2014). The locations of the ice divides across the bank areas are still driving the convergence of ice in Storbankenrenna and Sentralbankrenna to aid fast ice flow. The ice margin positions of the Nordkappbanken-east and Djuprenna ice streams are thought to still be same as the previous time-slice, but with the addition of topographically-driven ice streaming from Tiddlybanken (Fig. 4e). The loss of any buttressing in the central Barents Sea may have provided the catalyst for reducing backstresses and allowing the ice stream flowing from Tiddlybanken to have been activated. Several ice streams are now operating in the central Barents Sea, in particular in the area of the ice sheet saddle. The combined mass loss by the ice streams would have drawn down the ice saddle over the southern part of the central Barents Sea at this time.

In time-slice 4 (Fig. 11d), the FIS is thought to have uncoupled from the BSIS in the region of the Djuprenna and Kola Troughs offshore Northern Norway where deeper water depths may have exacerbated mass loss and eventual uncoupling. This uncoupling is partially supported by the onshore radial pattern of ice margin retreat that is parallel to the coastline of the Kola Peninsula (Hättestrand and Clark, 2006; Hughes et al., 2016; Stroeven et al., 2016). Observations of moraines on Murmanskbanken indicate ice flow from the east (Svendsen et al., 2004; Bjarnadóttir et al., 2014), suggesting the ice divide may have moved eastward in the central Barents Sea. In this time-slice (Fig. 11d) and those preceding, it is proposed that a larger ice extent in the southeastern Barents Sea may have been a pre-requisite for an ice divide to remain for a sufficiently long enough time to form the Murmanskbanken moraines. As the Bjørnøyrenna Ice Stream episodically retreated through the trough, the ice margin stabilised at position three from Bjarnadóttir et al. (2014) and this is tentatively correlated to GZW-2 in Sentralbankrenna. In the intra-ice stream area between Bjørnøyrenna and Sentralbankrenna, a number of retreat moraines (Bjarnadóttir et al., 2014) indicate slow retreat of the ice margin onto Storbankenrenna.

At 15 ka (Fig. 11e) the central Barents Sea was almost fully deglaciated with marine sedimentation suggested at, or shortly after this time, in the western and central Barents Sea (Polyak et al., 1995; Rüther et al., 2012), showing the gradual degradation of the marine-based ice sheet. Offshore ice is thought to have only been present on Storbanken, Spitsbergenbanken, and Sentralbanken. However, it is proposed that an ice cover over Murmanskbanken may still have been present as a discrete entity, as its margins slowly retreated to the higher topography of the bank. After the demise of the Sentralbankrenna Ice Stream, the ice cover over Sentralbanken likely began a similar, gradual retreat after the deposition of GZW-3. The Bjørnøyrenna Ice Stream appears to have still been active during the late glacial (Bjarnadóttir et al., 2014).

In the final time-slice (Fig. 11f), a major reorganisation of the remaining ice sheet is proposed, with fragmentation of the ice divides inferred to be a necessity to account for observations of ice flow on or near Storbanken (Hogan et al., 2010; Bjarnadóttir et al., 2014). Ice margin position nine for the Bjørnøyrenna Ice Stream from Bjarnadóttir et al. (2014) is proposed to correlate with GZW-4 from this study. The outermost part of Spitsbergenbanken is completely deglaciated after the Kveithola trough became ice-free at ~14.2 ka (Rüther et al., 2012), whilst a local ice divide is used to infer a radial retreat pattern that can account for moraines observed on the bank (Rüther et al., 2012).

It seems likely that with the vastly reduced extent of the ice sheet that if the Bjørnøyrenna Ice Stream remained active, it was only so for a short period of time. Ice sheet flow is thought to have been to the south of Kong Karls Land toward Bjørnøyrenna (Andreassen et al., 2014; Bjarnadóttir et al., 2014), and to the north into the Kvíteyra and Franz Victoria troughs (Hogan et al., 2010; Batchelor et al., 2011). This flow could have, much like during the initial separation from the FIS, drawn-down ice volume sufficiently to separate the ice cover on Svalbard with that over Storbanken. Coeval with this drawdown, it is postulated that the ice cover on Spitsbergenbanken was close to separating from the ice cover on Svalbard. This reconstruction would account for the ice divide positions on the southern western part of Storbanken observed by Bjarnadóttir et al. (2014) and the reconstruction on Spitsbergenbanken described above when the ice masses separated during the Late Bolling–Early Allerød (14.2–13.9 cal. ka BP) (Rüther et al., 2012).

Through the deglaciation, the dynamic drawdown of ice masses by ice streaming meant that topographic control and retreat of grounding-lines to shallower water depths became a controlling factor on ice sheet flow patterns and the location of ice divides. As has been discussed above, the seafloor record in the western and northern Barents Sea has been well-studied, and multiple observations of ice flow and margin positions have been constrained with a limited amount of geochronological data (Ottesen et al., 2005, 2008b; Dowdeswell et al., 2010; Hogan et al., 2010; Winsborrow et al., 2010, 2011; Batchelor et al., 2011; Rüther et al., 2012; Bjarnadóttir et al., 2013, 2014; Andreassen et al., 2014). In the eastern Barents and Kara Seas our understanding is, by comparison, relatively poor (Hughes et al., 2016).

This paper concentrates on the key point that there is great deal of uncertainty in the timing of (de)glaciation and the characteristics of the ice cover on Novaya Zemlya. The post-glacial rebound of Novaya Zemlya of ~15 m, dated to ~7 ka BP (Zeeberg et al., 2001) is in major
contrast to the rebound of ~100 m observed from raised beaches on
Kong Karls Land (Forman et al., 1995). Zeeberg et al. (2001) suggest
that a thin ice sheet over Novaya Zemlya may have prevailed though
the deglaciation until the Holocene. However, in the reconstructions of
Hughes et al. (2016), and thus the regional model of deglaciation
refined in this paper, Novaya Zemlya was deglaciated as early as 18 ka.
This is supported by 14C dates collected from the west coast of Novaya
Zemlya (Forman et al., 1999) and the limited postglacial marine limit
on Novaya Zemlya. As to why Novaya Zemlya deglaciated much earlier
than elsewhere in the Barents Sea might be explained by precipitation
starvation and an ensuing negative mass balance. The current lack of
geomorphological data offshore Novaya Zemlya increases this uncer-
tainty on the demise of the eastern BSIS.

This framework builds on the observations of Hughes et al. (2016)
and aims to provide a reconstruction of ice sheet evolution during an
important period of ice sheet separation in the Barents Sea. Although
the synthesis presented here (Fig. 11) is fitted to all currently available
landform records and timescales, the uncertainties in the eastern
Barents Sea mean that as more geological, geophysical, and geochro-
nological data become available, this reconstruction can be tested,
challenged, and modified. This model can be used as a basis for
developing a more complete model of how the marine-based glaciation
of the Barents Sea evolved after the LGM.

5.3. Implications for marine-based glaciation

During BSIS deglaciation, ice stream activity led to the gradual
drawdown and collapse of the ice saddle joining the BSIS and FIS
(Fig. 11). After separation of the two ice sheets, the FIS retreated
onshore (Hughes et al., 2016; Stroeven et al., 2016), whilst the BSIS
remained largely marine-based. Ice saddle collapses in North America
and in the North Sea have been shown to drive mass loss through
increased ice stream calving (Gregoire et al., 2012; Sejrup et al., 2016)
and this was likely the dominant process leading separation in the
central Barents Sea.

In the central Barents Sea and Bjørnøya/renna, retreating ice margins
have been shown to cycle through phases of retreat, advance, and
stagnation (Bjarnadóttir et al., 2014). Variability in mass balance
through the deglaciation would have changed ice sheet geometry, such
that frequent reorganisation of ice domes and ice divides (as described
in the previous section) could have caused a direct response in ice sheet
dynamics, locations of ice streams, and meltwater distribution
(Bjarnadóttir et al., 2014; Piasecka et al., 2016). During deglaciation the
geomorphological record in the central Barents Sea suggests that
fast-flowing ice was primarily restricted to the Bjørnøya/renna, Storbank-
renna, and Sentralbankrenna troughs (Fig. 11). Routing of ice streams
in topographically low areas would have resulted in an increased
negative mass balance as more ice was brought below the equilibrium
line altitude. With rising temperatures and sea level, it might be
expected that retreat of the ice margin would have accelerated.
However, this is not the case and the geomorphological record suggests
that after saddle collapse retreat of the margin was episodic, not rapid.

Although more geological and geochronological observations are
required to validate the proposed reconstructions, this work shows that
through time, the bank areas were likely modulating spatio-temporal
changes in the locations of ice domes, ice divides and ice stream
(Fig. 11). As ice streams and temperature rise continued to enforce a
negative mass balance, it is proposed that a number of these ice domes
prevailed until they fragmented during the final demise of the BSIS with
separate domes present on mainland Svalbard and Storbanken. This
interpretation honours ice margin positions observed across the central
Barents Sea (Svendsen et al., 2004; Bjarnadóttir et al., 2014).

Migration of the BSIS ice divides (Fig. 11) is important because
recent observations from the WAIS suggest that the Western Divide,
which separates ice flowing into the Weddell and Ross Seas, has
migrated in response to changes in ice sheet dynamics and mass loss
(Conway and Rasmussen, 2009). Thus, the behaviour of the BSIS might
provide insight into the future evolution of the Western Divide. For
example, how the underlying topography might reorganise not just the
exact pathways of ice streams but also the volume percentage of ice that
they drain, once the ice divide has migrated.

Although the exact geological setting of the WAIS is different to the
BSIS, observations discussed here and elsewhere in the Barents Sea
(Winsborrow et al., 2010, 2011; Andreasen et al., 2014; Bjarnadóttir
et al., 2014) have shown changes in ice sheet dynamics that are
potentially comparable to those observed on contemporary WAIS ice
streams (Joughin and Tulaczyk, 2002; Anandakrishnan et al., 2007;
Hulbe and Fahnestock, 2007). Bjarnadóttir et al. (2014) suggest that
the cyclicality of changes in ice stream stagnation, flow-switching, and
reactivation in the Barents Sea could be attributed to meltwater
availability, drainage capabilities of the subsurface, and the effect of
buttressing. Similar observations in Antarctica have been observed from
palaeo-ice streams and after the collapse of the Larsen B ice shelf
(Scambos et al., 2004; Hulbe and Fahnestock, 2007; Bell, 2008). Thus,
new insights on the marine-based glaciation of the Barents Sea (such as
that presented here) will provide a better understanding of how the
WAIS might evolve in the future, especially if meltwater production
increases as the climate warms.

6. Conclusions

Observations from new bathymetric data in the central Barents Sea
have been integrated with those already published to establish the late
Weichselian ice sheet history of the central Barents Sea between
17–14 ka. During this period the BSIS and FIS are thought to have
separated (Hughes et al., 2016; Stroeven et al., 2016) and the
geomorphological record presented here suggests that the two ice
sheets uncoupled in the southern Barents Sea, close to the Djuprenna
and Kola troughs. Intense ice streaming is proposed to have led to the
rapid drawdown of the ice cover in the saddle area, resulting in a
lowering of its ice profile, before the saddle finally collapsed and the
two ice sheets uncoupled. As the BSIS retreated through the survey
area, the results presented here and in adjacent areas (e.g. Bjarnadóttir
et al., 2014) show that retreat in the central Barents Sea was punctuated
by periods of stillstand and advance. These changes are interpreted to
have been in response to changes in ice dynamics that were becoming
increasingly controlled by the seafloor topography as the grounding-
line retreated to shallower water depths. Importantly, punctuation of
ice margin retreat implies that after the saddle collapsed the BSIS did
not rapidly destabilise or disintegrate.

Although a chronology of events in accordance with other studies in
the region has been assumed, the chronology is tentative. With future
geochronological data for ice-free conditions, the pattern of retreat
described here can be refined and tested. Additional geophysical
mapping of the seafloor and acoustic stratigraphy are crucial and
may result in the reinterpretation of the seafloor features. This is
especially the case to the east and north of the survey where a number
of the landforms terminate at the boundaries of the data and are not
well-represented in regional, lower-resolution bathymetry data.
Developing more detailed reconstructions for the demise of the BSIS,
such as that presented here, is essential for developing a more complete
model of marine-based glaciation and may provide insights into how
the WAIS might evolve under a warming climate.
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