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Frustrated Lewis Pairs in Ionic Liquids and Molecular Solvents – A Neutron Scattering and NMR Study of Encounter Complexes

Lucy C. Brown, a James M. Hogg, a Mark Gilmore, a Leila Moura, a Silvia Imberti, b Sabrina Gärtner, b H. Q. Nimal Gunaratne, a Ruairi J. O’Donnell, a Nancy Artioli, a John D. Holbrey* a and Małgorzata Swadźba-Kwaśny* a

The presence of the weakly-associated encounter complex in the model frustrated Lewis pair solution (FLP): tris(tert-butyl)phosphine (P(tBu)3) and tris(pentafluorophenyl)borane (BCF) in benzene, was confirmed via P⋯B correlation analysis from neutron scattering data. On average, ca. 5% of dissolved FLP components were in the associated state. NMR spectra of the FLP in benzene gave no evidence of such association, in agreement with earlier reports and the transient nature of the encounter complex. In contrast, the corresponding FLP solution in the ionic liquid, [C10mim][NTf2], generated NMR signals that can be attributed to formation of encounter complexes involving over 20% of the dissolved species. The low diffusivity characteristics of ionic liquids is suggested to enhance high populations of encounter complex. The FLP in the ionic liquid solution retained its ability to split hydrogen.

Conventional 1D NMR spectroscopy has failed to provide evidence for the encounter complex formation; however, the paucity of direct spectroscopic evidence could be a direct consequence of these low association energies and small dynamic populations. Rocchigiani et al.7 described ‘marginal shifts’ of the 19F NMR resonances upon saturating a highly concentrated phosphine solution in benzene with BCF, and no change to the 31P NMR spectra upon titration of concentrated BCF solutions with trimesitylphosphine. The H/F association was identified from 2D 19F, 1H HOESY NMR experiments, providing the first direct evidence for encounter complex in FLP solutions. Association via non-specific weak H/F dispersive forces was suggested, with the phosphine and borane in random relative orientations. Consistent with the DFT simulations,4 the diffusion NMR studies indicated that only a small fraction of the components in solution were associated.
Neutron diffraction is a powerful tool to study structure and solvation in molecular \(^8,9\) and ionic \(^10\) liquids. Combined with H/D isotopic substitution, \(^11\) multiple experimental data sets can be obtained with different scattering profiles associated with correlations from isotopically distinct sites. Using an approach such as empirical potential structure refinement (EPSR) \(^12\) allows simulation models to be refined self-consistently to these multiple experimental scattering data sets. The resulting solution structure allows for analysis of complex systems, even when the individual correlation functions are not accessible.

Here, we set out to examine concentrated equimolar solutions of BCF/P(tBu)\(_3\) (Fig. 2) in benzene, aiming to use the total neutron scattering data combined with EPSR analysis to isolate the P∙∙∙B pair distribution functions, and through that to provide experimental verification of the encounter complex. The Lewis acid/base pair was selected as a model system to provide experimental verification of the encounter complex systems, even when the individual correlation functions are not accessible.

Neutron scattering data were collected from equimolar solutions of P(tBu)\(_3\)/BCF in benzene-\(^{1}H\), in benzene-\(^{13}C\) and in 1:1 H/D mixture at 160 mmol concentration, which is equivalent to a 1:1:70 molar ratio of P(tBu)\(_3\):BCF:benzene. The neutron scattering data was reduced, correcting for the instrumental parameters with Gudrun, \(^13\) and modelled using EPSR \(^12\) with a cubic simulation box of sides 47.8 Å in length, containing 10 P(tBu)\(_3\), 10 BCF and 700 benzene molecules. \(^1\)

As expected, solvent/solute partial-radial distribution functions from the EPSR model (Fig. 13-SI) show that most P(tBu)\(_3\) and BCF molecules are solvated by benzene. The closest centre-of-mass correlation of BCF with benzene is at \(~4\) Å, suggesting n-donation from a solvent molecule aromatic system towards the vacant \(p\)-orbital on the Lewis acidic boron atom. The first shell benzene-phosphine correlation occurs at \(~7\) Å, consistent with first shell packing around the bulky tert-butyl substituents. Finally, the benzene-benzene correlation features first shell maxima at ca. 5.8-6.0 Å, consistent with the structure of bulk neat benzene. \(^9\)

**Formation of encounter complexes** was expected to generate correlations in the P-B site-site distribution function, manifesting as peaks in partial-radial distribution function plot. These signals correspond to the P-B separation in the liquid model, over the accumulated time-frame of the structure simulation. Indeed, the P-B radial distributions generated from two independent data-driven simulations (Fig. 3) feature two signals, which provide enticing evidence for the association of the phosphine and borane molecules. In both cases, a small maximum at ca. 8 Å is followed by a second peak at ca. 10.5 Å, before the correlation reaches a probability of 1 (homogeneous distribution) beyond \(~14\) Å. The concentrations of BCF and P(tBu)\(_3\) are low for neutron scattering experimental standards. This resulted in poor resolution for the specific site-site interactions, and thus in some variability in the refinement solutions, which is evident in the differences between the P-B distribution functions from the two refinement runs (Fig. 3). Nevertheless, both runs feature the peaks ca. 8 and 10.5 Å.

![Fig. 2 The FLP components considered for this study.](image)

![Fig. 3 The plot of P-B pair partial-radial correlation function (blue) between P(tBu)\(_3\) and B(C\(_6\)F\(_5\))\(_3\) in benzene (1:1:70), averaged from two independent data-driven simulation models (purple and magenta data points), and compared to the equivalent correlation from DFT simulation \(^4\) of P(tBu)\(_3\) and B(C\(_6\)F\(_5\))\(_3\) in toluene (red line). Correlation distances corresponding to the range of 'solvent-separated' pairs (6-9 Å) are indicated by the shaded region.](image)

To maximise the probability of detecting the encounter complex in the neutron scattering experiments, high concentrations of the FLP components in solution are needed.

From the running coordination number for P-B correlation lengths, \(P(r)\), there is <1% correlation at P-B separations of 5.7 Å, but this value increases at separation distances between 6-8 Å, to reach 4.9% at 8 Å. The 8 Å distance is of the same order as the “solvent-separated” pairs described by Bakó et al. \(^4\) and appears consistent with the H/F-contact interactions described by Rocchigiani et al. \(^7\) Slightly less than 5% of the borane and phosphine molecules contribute to the encounter complex, and of these, the majority are associated with a P-B separation of \(~8\) Å, which again is in agreement with DFT results. \(^4\) This strongly suggests that a small degree (nearly 5%) of encounter complex in benzene solution of BCF/P(tBu)\(_3\) can be observed directly from the neutron total scattering data. In conclusion, the encounter complex can be detected, but our results corroborate with low concentration and transient nature of this association reported elsewhere.

The relative concentration of encounter complexes in solution is critical for enhancing kinetics of FLP-promoted hydrogenation reactions. \(^6\) The second part of this study explored the opportunity to use the low diffusivity of solutes in ionic liquid media to stabilise encounter complexes of FLP solutions in ionic liquids. Solutions of BCF, P(tBu)\(_3\) and
BCF/P(‘Bu)₃ in [C₁₀mim][NTf₂] were prepared at 160 mmol concentrations, in keeping with concentrations used for neutron scattering experiments. No colour change was observed upon dissolution of FLP in [C₁₀mim][NTf₂].

Solutions of the FLP and its individual components in [C₁₀mim][NTf₂] were studied by ¹⁹F and ³¹P NMR spectroscopy. NMR spectra of isolated FLP components in the ionic liquid were nearly identical to NMR spectra of these components in benzene-d₈, with a small discrepancy attributable to the solvent effect, but no indication of hydrolysis, oxidation, or strong interaction with the ionic liquid components (Table 1). However, the solution of combined BCF and P(‘Bu)₃ in [C₁₀mim][NTf₂] featured additional signals, absent from NMR spectra of the corresponding benzene-d₈ solution (Table 1). For the ease of comparison, ¹⁹F and ³¹P NMR spectra of the FLP in [C₁₀mim][NTf₂] were overlaid with relevant spectra of its individual components in the same ionic liquid (Fig. 4).

For the ease of comparison, ¹⁹F and ³¹P NMR spectra of the FLP in benzene-d₈ solution (Table 1). In corresponding spectrum of the FLP solution, this signal is slightly shifted due to solvent effect (Δδ₁₉F = +1 ppm), but the main signal is a new upfield peak at 53 ppm (Δδ₃¹P = −8 ppm from the main signal). The measured peak area ratio between the free P(‘Bu)₃ signal and the new signal is about 0.29:1, which indicates that ca. 78% of the phosphine saw a change in electronic environment. On average, when phosphines form adducts with strong Lewis acids, their ³¹P NMR signal shifted downfield by about Δδ₃¹P = +20 ppm; for example, triphenylphosphine adduct with BH₃ has Δδ₃¹P = +26 ppm, compared to free triphenylphosphine. Therefore, this change where the ³¹P nuclei is slightly shielded, rather than deshielded, does not suggest adduct formation, but a different type of interaction.

The additional resonances observed for FLP in [C₁₀mim][NTf₂] - but not for individual components - give clear evidence for interaction between the phosphine and borane. Furthermore, the fact that they are easily observed though NMR spectroscopy suggests stabilisation of these species in the ionic liquid medium. Interestingly, the stoichiometric ratio of species that seem to partake in this interaction is not equimolar, but ca. 3:1 for BCF:P(‘Bu)₃. From these data, it is impossible to make definitive assignments to the nature of the association. However, it is clear that about 24% of BCF and 78% of P(‘Bu)₃ are in different environments in the FLP solution which - in the absence of other factors - should be attributed to the interaction between the FLP components.

Direct acid-base interaction, with the Lewis base lone pair pointing in the direction of the Lewis acid empty orbital (and possibly partial orbital overlap) can be excluded, seeing as the ³¹P NMR signal was shielded, rather than deshielded. This is in agreement with earlier results for FLPs in organic solvents by Bakó et al.,(4) (DFT) and Rocchigiani et al. (2D NMR spectroscopy), who suggested that FLPs form “solvent-separated pairs”, with many equally probable association orientations through H/F interactions. Results presented here, although not conclusive, align with this interpretation. However, in this work FLP components are solvated not by molecules, but by the ions of the ionic liquid, which appears to stabilise the encounter complex. This stabilisation can be attributed to high cohesive energy densities and internal pressure, combined with slow diffusivity in ionic liquids, which leads to matrix isolation. Ionic liquids may not promote the FLP formation, but are likely to reduce its tendency for disassociation when formed.

The extended life of encounter complex in the ionic liquid is of value only if the FLP retains its ability to activate H₂.1,2 In a simple experiment, 160 mmol solutions of BCF/P(‘Bu)₃ in benzene and [C₁₀mim][NTf₂] were stirred under a flow of pure H₂ for 12 h, following which ¹⁴NMR spectra were recorded. In both cases, uptake of H₂ by the FLP system was confirmed by the formation of a new pair of broad peaks of equal integration (Fig. 5), demonstrating that the ability of the FLP to

---

### Table 1. ¹⁹F and ³¹P NMR signals of solutions of the BCF/P(‘Bu)₃ FLP and its individual components in benzene-d₈ and in an ionic liquid, [C₁₀mim][NTf₂].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>¹⁹F signals/ppm</th>
<th>³¹P signals/ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P(‘Bu)₃ in benzene-d₈</td>
<td>−134, −149, −161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P(‘Bu)₃ in [C₁₀mim][NTf₂]</td>
<td>−133, −157, −166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCF in benzene-d₈</td>
<td>−139, −152, −162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCF in [C₁₀mim][NTf₂]</td>
<td>−151, −156, −160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLP in benzene-d₈</td>
<td>−134, −138, −140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLP in [C₁₀mim][NTf₂]</td>
<td>−164, −165, −166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLP in [C₁₀mim][NTf₂]</td>
<td>53, 61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
split H\textsubscript{2} in the ionic liquid medium, despite the very low solubility of molecular hydrogen in ionic liquids.\textsuperscript{18} In agreement with the literature, hydrogen could not be released from the benzene solution at ambient pressure; in [C\textsubscript{10}mim][NTf\textsubscript{2}], following heating to it was only released at 150 °C, under reduced pressure (1.0 \times 10\textsuperscript{-3} mbar) however this was accompanied by decomposition of the FLP components.\textsuperscript{6}

These results inspire further investigation into potential use of ionic liquids in FLP chemistry, including detailed studies of encounter complex structure and exploring catalytic applications. In continuation of this work, neutron scattering data, analogous to that reported here in benzene solution, has already been collected and are currently being analysed.

In conclusion, experimental evidence for encounter complex formation in benzene solution of an intermolecular FLP was obtained from neutron scattering studies, and is consistent with prior DFT work. At any given time, ca. 5% of dissolved FLP remained associated as the encounter complex. In contrast to the benzene solution, the encounter complex in an ionic liquid, [C\textsubscript{10}mim][NTf\textsubscript{2}], could be detected by a simple NMR spectroscopy experiment, suggesting both higher degree of association at any given time, and longer lifetime. It is postulated that the ionic liquid stabilises the encounter complex through matrix isolation, which may contribute to enhanced kinetics of hydrogenation. FLP dissolved in [C\textsubscript{10}mim][NTf\textsubscript{2}] had the ability to split H\textsubscript{2}, as confirmed by \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectroscopy. On-going work in our groups is focused on interpretation of neutron scattering data recorded for the FLP in [C\textsubscript{10}mim][NTf\textsubscript{2}]. Furthermore, FLP-promoted hydrogenations in ionic liquids are under investigation, taking advantage of negligible vapour pressure in most ionic liquids, beneficial in handling gas-phase reactants. A supported ionic liquid layer (SI\textsubscript{L}P) strategy gives promise of exploring continuous flow reactions.\textsuperscript{19} Borenium ionic liquids, developed by our group, are tested in dual roles of FLP components and solvents.\textsuperscript{20}
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Fig. 5. Fragments of \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectra of the FLP solutions in benzene (top) and [C\textsubscript{10}mim][NTf\textsubscript{2}] (bottom) after saturation with H\textsubscript{2}, showing signals from split H\textsubscript{2} molecule.