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There is increasing appreciation that hosts in natural populations are subject to infection by multiple parasite species. Yet the epidemiological and ecological processes determining the outcome of mixed infections are poorly understood. Here, we use two intracellular gut parasites (Microsporidia), one exotic and one co-evolved in the western honeybee (*Apis mellifera*), in an experiment in which either one or both parasites were administered either simultaneously or sequentially. We provide clear evidence of within-host competition; order of infection was an important determinant of the competitive outcome between parasites, with the first parasite significantly inhibiting the growth of the second, regardless of species. However, the strength of this ‘priority effect’ was highly asymmetric, with the exotic *Nosema ceranae* exhibiting stronger inhibition of *Nosema apis* than vice versa. Our results reveal an unusual asymmetry in parasite competition that is dependent on order of infection. When incorporated into a mathematical model of disease prevalence, we find asymmetric competition to be an important predictor of the patterns of parasite prevalence found in nature. Our findings demonstrate the wider significance of complex multi-host–multi-parasite interactions as drivers of host–pathogen community structure.

1. Introduction

Animal species are host to a wide range of parasites and, equally, parasites can target a range of viable hosts: multi-host–multi-parasite systems are the norm [1,2], with potential consequences for the structure and diversity of host–parasite communities [2]. From a host perspective, multiple infections occur when conspecific strains or parasite species co-infect a single host [3,4] and, though coexisting parasites can act independently of one another, they may interact synergistically (by cooperating in extracting host resources, for example [5,6]) or antagonistically, by inhibiting each other’s growth or even preventing the establishment of weaker competitors [3,4].

Competing parasites can alter one another’s distributions, affecting their fitness, population size and, ultimately, leading to changes in the richness and abundance of parasite communities [7]. In turn, these can have significant impacts on epidemiology [8–12], with major repercussions for disease control in humans [13,14] and other animal hosts [15]. For example, rodents infected with multiple *Plasmodium* sp. clones contain an unusually high number of asexual forms, leading to enhanced virulence. This suggests a strong trade-off between virulence (e.g. host
resource acquisition) and transmission (probability of host death) [11,16]. However, predicting the type and outcome of interactions is not straightforward as it may depend on multiple factors, including variation in the environment, host (genotype), relatedness between pathogens, transmission route or even the relative inoculation frequency of different pathogens [17–20].

One important aspect that can affect the type of interaction is the sequence of infection. Multiple infections can occur simultaneously, or more realistically, sequentially, wherein a parasite infects an organism that is already host to a pre-established parasite. An increasing number of studies have begun to explore these dynamics [21–23]. The species that arrives first is often found to have a larger overall influence on the type and outcome of intra-host interactions [24]. Such ‘priority effects’ may have both negative and positive impacts for the later parasite. For example, the second species may be disadvantaged if there is a significant depletion of host resources following initial infection [25]. Conversely, the second may benefit due to host immunosuppression by the first pathogen, thereby facilitating establishment, and increasing the likelihood of host immune avoidance [23].

A major obstacle in understanding these multi-faceted interactions among parasites within a single host has been a genuine lack of empirical research into multiple host–parasite systems, with knock-on effects for theoretical progress in the field [2]. To address this gap in understanding, we explore the competitive interaction dynamics between two intracellular microsporidian (Microsporidia: Nosema) ventricular (gut) parasites of the western honeybee (Apis mellifera) by manipulating the sequence of infection of a native (Nosema ceranae) versus an exotic species (Nosema apis). Microsporidia are a highly diverse group of obligate intracellular pathogens that usually reproduce asexually (but see [26]) and infect a wide range of animals from insects to mammals [27]. They have been implicated as causative agents of numerous diseases, with significant economic and ecological impacts [28]. Nosema ceranae is considered an emerging infectious disease [29] that has reached a global distribution [30] by recently switching to A. mellifera [31] from its putatively original host, the Asian honeybee Apis cerana [32]. The distribution of both Microsporidia appears strongly influenced by environmental conditions [30,33], with N. ceranae in particular being negatively affected by low temperature [34,35].

In a controlled laboratory experimental approach using individual adult honeybees, we explored the nature of the competitive interaction between N. apis and N. ceranae. We demonstrate that competition between pathogens is antagonistic, but that competitive effects depend on the sequence of infection, with the first parasite significantly inhibiting the growth of the second. Notably, competition is strongly asymmetrical, with the exotic N. ceranae inducing a greater inhibitory effect than the native N. apis. We explored the impact of asymmetric competition on pathogen prevalence by developing a simple mathematical model. Our findings demonstrate the importance of complex multi-host–multi-parasite interactions for host–parasite community structure and disease emergence.

2. Material and methods

(a) Host and pathogen preparation
Honeybee brood was sampled from three unrelated colonies, and day-old worker bees that emerged in an incubator were mixed and held in metal cages (10 individuals per cage) in an incubator at +30 °C with ad libitum 50% (w/v) sucrose solution. Both Nosema species were obtained from propagations in the laboratory through mass feeding of caged honeybees with either N. ceranae spores originating from Germany or N. apis spores originating from Sweden. Freshly prepared inocula of N. ceranae and N. apis spores were prepared on the day of experimental infection by crushing the ventriculus of infected honeybees in distilled water. Inocula were purified using the triangulation method [36]. Spore numbers were counted with a Neubauer haemocytometer under a light microscope (×400) and diluted to obtain the required concentration in 50% (w/v) sucrose solution.

For the control, an extract from the ventriculus of uninfected caged honeybees was obtained as above. Nosema species identification and absence of spores in the controls were confirmed with a multiplex PCR that simultaneously differentiates N. apis from N. ceranae [36].

(b) Experimental set-up
Caged bees were fed individually on days 3 and 6 after emergence with 10 μl of 50% (w/v) sucrose solution containing 50 000 spores of either N. ceranae or N. apis, a 1:1 mix of the two or a control solution. When bees received Nosema spores on both days, they acquired a total of 105 spores. This is within the suggested dosage of spores that yields infection in all individual bees (ID50) [37,38]. Bees that did not consume the entire inoculum were discarded. Ten treatments were administered (Table 1), with each treatment consisting of four independent replicate cages of 10 bees per cage. Fourteen days after the first infection (bees were 17 days old), surviving bees were killed and stored at −20 °C for parasite quantification.

(c) Determining the level of infection (pathogen load)
The level of infection was determined using whole gaster DNA extracts. Both N. ceranae and N. apis are tissue specific, infecting only the ventriculus [39]. Nosema spores are ingested and travel to the midgut where they germinate and infect epithelial cells to complete their life cycle. After lysis of an infected cell, spores of various developmental stages are released and can either accumulate in the rectum or germinate and infect surrounding healthy epithelial cells [33,40]. By using the whole gaster for determining

### Table 1. Overview of experiment design. Each treatment was replicated four times.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>first infection (day 3)</th>
<th>second infection (day 6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50 000 spores in total per bee</td>
<td>50 000 spores in total per bee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>control°</td>
<td>control°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>N. ceranae</td>
<td>N. apis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–A</td>
<td>control°</td>
<td>N. apis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>N. apis</td>
<td>control°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC</td>
<td>N. apis</td>
<td>N. ceranae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–C</td>
<td>control°</td>
<td>N. ceranae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>N. apis</td>
<td>N. apis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC/AC</td>
<td>N. apis/N. ceranae</td>
<td>N. apis/N. ceranae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>N. ceranae</td>
<td>N. ceranae</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

°Extract from the midgut of uninfected honeybees.
pathogen load, there is potential for spore loss through defaecation. But faeces are very rarely observed in caged bees, and gaster extracts therefore represent a good proxy for pathogen load.

DNA was extracted from five individual bees per cage and \textit{N. apis} and \textit{N. ceranae} DNA copy number was determined by quantitative PCR (qPCR). Gasters were individually washed and crushed in 500 \( \mu \)L of ddH\(_2\)O, then 200 \( \mu \)L were used for genomic DNA extraction using a DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiaogen) according to manufacturer’s instructions for plant tissue with a robot (QIAcube (Qiagen) instrument). Levels of infection were determined by qPCR using primers previously described by vanEngelsdorp et al. [41], with a modification to the reverse primer of \textit{N. apis} to match available Genbank sequences and to increase amplification efficiency (AAAGTCTATTGTATTGCGCGTGCT versus original reverse: TATATTGTATTGTATTGCGCGTGCT). Amplicon sizes were 232 bp and 208 bp for \textit{N. ceranae} and \textit{N. apis}, respectively. Separate quantitative reactions were performed for each \textit{Nosema} species in a Bio-Rad C1000 Thermal Cycler (Bio-Rad) using \( 2 \times \) SensiMix SYBR and Fluorescein (Bioline), 0.2 \( \mu \)M of each primer and 1 \( \mu \)L (approx. 1 ng) of template in a final volume of 10 \( \mu \)L. Samples including all components except DNA template served as a negative control in each run. Each reaction was performed in duplicate and the average quantification cycle (Cq) value was taken (accepting a maximum Cq difference of 1 between duplicates). Amplification was performed using the thermal profile described in vanEngelsdorp et al. [41] but with an empirically determined optimal annealing temperature of 54°C. Post amplification melting curve analysis was used to check for non-specific amplification (50–95°C with an increment of 0.5°C s\(^{-1}\)) and external standards (serving also as positive controls) comprising serial dilutions ranging from 10\(^{-3}\) to 10\(^{-8}\) of purified PCR products (QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen)) were used to estimate PCR reaction efficiencies. PCR products were quantified on an Epoch Microplate Spectrophotometer (Biotech) for each target DNA fragment. Standard curves were included in each run for absolute quantification of DNA copy number of each \textit{Nosema} species; we accepted PCR efficiencies between 90 and 100% and R\(^2\) values above 0.98.

Spore load per bee was estimated by counting the number of spores in ten randomly selected singly infected bees using a Neubauer haemocytometer under a light microscope (\( \times 400 \)). These represented a subset of the samples analysed by qPCR, and a correlation between actual spore counts and DNA copy number was generated to provide an estimation of the infection load of individual bees. Using these regression equations, all DNA data were transformed to spore counts (electronic supplementary material, figure S1) to calculate the impact of each pathogen on the spore production of the other. This constituted a component of our mathematical model (see §3).

(d) Statistical analysis

The effect of experimental treatment on the growth of each parasite species was analysed using generalized linear mixed models (GLMM), including replicate as a random factor and treatment as a fixed factor. Normality and homogeneity were checked by visual inspection of diagnostic plots (plots of residuals against fitted values). The validity of our models was assessed by performing likelihood tests of final models (containing fixed factors) against the respective null model that contained only the random effects. Post hoc tests with Bonferroni correction were applied to test differences between treatments.

The magnitude of observed effects was assessed using the standardized effect size, as [42]

\[
r = \sqrt{\frac{t^2}{df + t^2}},
\]

with \( r \) representing Pearson’s correlation coefficient (constrained between 0 and 1, with 0 indicating absence of effect), and \( t \) values were obtained from the model summary.

A second model using the total copy number of \textit{Nosema} spp. from each treatment was also constructed using the same method as described earlier, and post hoc tests with Bonferroni correction were also applied to test for differences in group means. DNA copy numbers were log-transformed prior to analysis to meet model assumptions. Data were analysed using R (v. 2.15.2) and the R packages lme4 [43] and multcomp [44].

The number of bees that remained alive until the termination of the experiment was also recorded and differences between treatments were tested using GLMM, including replicate as a random factor, followed by post hoc tests with Bonferroni correction.

(e) Mathematical modelling

We constructed a mathematical model in \textsc{mathemtica} (v. 9.0) by implementing two differential equations to simulate the change in the frequency of infected colonies across time. Briefly, we modelled a population of honeybee colonies with a proportion \( A \) infected by \textit{N. apis} (0 < \( A < 1 \)) and \( C \) infected by \textit{N. ceranae} (0 < \( C < 1 \)). Colonies can be infected by both pathogens with a frequency of \( AC \). We assume that, once a colony is infected, it stays infected until it dies, at which point it is replaced in our model with an uninfected colony. In the model, transmission of \textit{N. apis} from \textit{N. apis}-only infected colonies to \textit{N. apis}-susceptible colonies occurs at a rate of \( o_A \), while transmission of \textit{N. ceranae} from \textit{N. ceranae}-only infected colonies to \textit{N. ceranae}-susceptible colonies occurs at a rate of \( o_C \). Transmission of \textit{N. apis} and \textit{N. ceranae} can also occur from mixed infected colonies at a rate of \( m_A \) and \( m_C \), respectively. The mortality rate of colonies infected with either \textit{N. apis} (\( v_A \) or \( v_A + v_C \)) or \textit{N. ceranae} (\( v_A \) or \( v_C \)) was set to 0.03 (indicating an annual death rate of infected colonies of 3\%). This value was set after taking into account data from Germany across a 5-year study published in table 2 of Gisder et al. [34], and calculating the average death rate of colonies infected with either \textit{Nosema} spp. after accounting for background mortality of uninfected colonies.

The rate of change of colonies infected with \textit{N. apis} is given by

\[
\frac{dA}{dt} = (1 - A)(1 - C) A o_A + (1 - A) A C m_A - v_A, \tag{2.2}
\]

and the rate of change of colonies infected with \textit{N. ceranae} is

\[
\frac{dC}{dt} = (1 - C)(1 - A) C o_C + (1 - C) A m_C - v_C. \tag{2.3}
\]

The code used to generate the plots is given in the electronic supplementary material.

3. Results

(a) Interspecific competition between \textit{Nosema apis} and \textit{Nosema ceranae}

Mortality recorded at the end of the experiment was not found to differ significantly across treatments (\( p > 0.05 \)), which was unsurprising as the experiment was designed such that experiments were terminated before severe mortality occurred, to ensure adequate sample sizes for subsequent pathogen analysis. Moreover, none of the bees fed with the control solution became infected. Thus, control groups were excluded from further analysis.

Experimental treatment was found to have a significant effect on pathogen load (\( F_{1,217} = 12.106, p < 0.001 \)). Post hoc analysis revealed a significant priority effect in the sequential interspecific double infections (i.e. \( CA \) or \( AC \); the growth of the second pathogen (administered on day 6) was significantly
figure 1, comparisons not shown), suggesting that there was no major growth advantage of one species over the other under these infection conditions, and also demonstrating a lack of a 'timing effect' as infection of older bees (day 6 versus day 3) resulted in similar parasite loads for both species.

Finally, total parasite growth varied subtly among treatments, with treatments in which *N. ceranae* was administered first showing a slight reduction in total amount of *Nosema* spp. DNA compared to all other treatments. Differences among treatments were, however, marginal and not consistently significant (electronic supplementary material, figure S2).

(b) Mathematical modelling

First, we considered the impact of each parasite in a colony on the spore production of the other parasite. Transformation of DNA copy number to spore counts showed that *N. ceranae* resulted in a 2.6-fold reduction in *N. apis* spore production when the former was established first, while pre-establishment of *N. apis* suppressed *N. ceranae* spore production by a factor of 1.2. When setting the model parameters to represent this effect (figure 2), we find that the prevalences of *N. apis* and *N. ceranae* converge to equilibrium levels, with *N. ceranae* stabilizing at a higher prevalence than *N. apis*.

A negative effect of low temperature on *N. ceranae* germination has been previously observed [34], suggesting negative impacts of harsh winters in northern temperate or boreal climates on *N. ceranae* spore survival. We modelled this cold climate effect by reducing the colony-to-colony transmission rate of *N. ceranae* relative to that of *N. apis*. We derived the equilibrium levels of the two species predicted by our model for a given set of parameters and confirmed that the equilibria are stable (electronic supplementary material). We plotted stable equilibria for a range of colony-to-colony transmission rates of *N. ceranae*, with the aim of predicting relative prevalences
across a range of climatic conditions. For relevance, we chose a range that reflected conditions that are typically found from Southern to Northern Europe (figure 3; electronic supplementary material, table S1). With increasing cold climate compromising N. ceranae transmission, our model predicted a transition zone at which N. apis becomes more prevalent than N. ceranae (figure 3a). When we ignore interspecific competition, the result is trivial: N. ceranae never manages to predominate N. apis (figure 3b).

Our experimental results focused on the impact of multiple infections on individual bees. But at the colony level, the impact of N. ceranae on N. apis transmission may be lower than we predict as not all bees in multiply-infected colonies are infected by both pathogens. Furthermore, N. ceranae might lead to increased mortality of colonies when compared to N. apis [45]. Alternatively, the advantage of N. ceranae over N. apis may actually accumulate within colonies, meaning that the impact of N. ceranae on N. apis transmission may be higher than we predict. To test if our model is robust to these effects, we varied the level of the impact of the Microsporidia on each other’s transmission. This resulted in a slight reduction in both N. ceranae and N. apis prevalence across a range of N. ceranae colony-to-colony transmission rates (electronic supplementary material, figure S3). We also investigated the effect of increased mortality of N. ceranae-infected colonies (see electronic supplementary material, §S1 and §S2), with our model predicting that increased mortality reduces N. ceranae prevalence (electronic supplementary material, figure S4). Despite this potential influence of mortality on pathogen prevalence, our model predicts that a transition in predominance between N. apis and N. ceranae will nevertheless occur. As field data on the colony level addressing differential mortality of the two pathogens are currently lacking, we suggest that, if differences do exist, these are likely to be subtle because where environmental conditions are permissive, N. ceranae prevalence is naturally high (electronic supplementary material, table S1).

4. Discussion

We provide clear evidence of interspecific competition between N. apis and N. ceranae when in a common host, A. mellifera. The effect of competition was found to depend strongly on the sequence of infection, with the pre-established parasite inhibiting the growth of the second pathogen, indicating a ‘priority effect’. Interestingly, no interaction was observed when the two pathogens were introduced simultaneously. Importantly, the extent to which each species was inhibited through competition with the other was asymmetrical, with the exotic N. ceranae inducing a much greater inhibitory effect than the native N. apis. By incorporating differences in interspecific competition into a model, we found that the observed priority effect helped to explain the relative prevalence of these pathogens in nature. Specifically, both N. apis and N. ceranae occur across severe boreal to mild temperate climates, but while N. apis predominates in the former, N. ceranae is more prominent in the latter [33,46].

Order of infection can determine the outcome of multi-parasite interactions, and has been shown to be relevant for a broad range of pathogenic organisms including viruses and fungi, as well as Microsporidia. Outcomes of pathogen interactions are often negative, leading to decreased performance of one or both competitors [47–49] via processes of exploitation or apparent competition [3,4]. As both Nosema species are known to infect the same host honeybee tissues [39], exploitation competition for space and resources could be responsible for our findings. During infection, Nosema invades adult honeybee ventricular epithelial cells, leading to their degeneration [50]. Overlapping requirements for host cellular resources could explain why the parasite species that arrives second suffers reduced growth. Prior residency may provide a temporal advantage, enabling parasite niche establishment and an initial uptake in host resources that increase its density relative to its competitor, which additionally faces a deteriorating and lower-quality host environment. Alternatively, or in addition to this effect, competitive inter-actions may mediate an immune response in the host that suppresses growth of the pathogen arriving second through immune priming [51]. It is difficult to distinguish between these two processes, and a combination of both could potentially account for the priority effect observed in our study. For example, under a mixed infection regime in the rodent...
malaria system, depletion of host resources (red blood cells) as well as immune priming are thought to play a role in competition between genetically diverse strains [52].

Interestingly, we detected an asymmetry in the strength of the priority effect, with *N. ceranae* having a stronger negative effect on *N. apis* growth than vice versa. This difference could similarly be driven by resource competition. Dussaubat et al. [53] found inhibition of pathways involved in the renewal of gut tissue following *N. ceranae* infection, and documented lesions in the epidermal layer of the ventriculus. It is possible that host resource quality deteriorates more quickly during *N. ceranae* infection, perhaps due to the higher virulence attributed to *N. ceranae* [50,54,55]. Alternatively, the environment encountered by *N. ceranae* may be more favourable, perhaps due to *N. ceranae* being better able to evade the host’s immune response after the host’s initial exposure to *N. apis*. As a native pathogen, *N. apis* may induce a more specific immune response that is less effective against subsequent infection with the exotic *N. ceranae*. While there are indications that *N. ceranae* induces immune suppression of the honeybee [56], potentially making the host more susceptible to secondary infection, a parallel study of *N. apis* has not been conducted and is required for meaningful comparisons to be drawn.

Regardless of the underlying mechanisms, the stronger inhibitory effects of the exotic *N. ceranae* over the native *N. apis* offer a potential explanation for the widespread distribution of this novel parasite. In a simplified mathematical model, we found that both environmental (climate) and interspecific competition variables may be important in explaining the differential prevalence of *Nosema* spp. across climatic regions. By taking asymmetric competition into account, we could better explain the predominance of *N. ceranae* over *N. apis*, at least under conditions of equal transmission (figure 2). Moreover, by exploring a range of transmission rates for *N. ceranae*, which we assumed to be influenced by its sensitivity to environmental stress (cold intolerance), our model could predict a transition in the predominance of one species over the other (figure 3a), reflecting the transition observed in nature between severe temperate/boreal and warmer climates [33].

Field data across Europe reveal a transition zone in the relative prevalences of the two species, with *N. ceranae* predominating over *N. apis* in Southern regions such as Spain, and *N. apis* predominating in Northern climates such as Sweden (electronic supplementary material, table S1). This transition may be gradual across a geographical latitude gradient, with differences in the relative prevalence of either pathogen becoming less pronounced in central Europe (e.g. Germany; electronic supplementary material, table S1). It is challenging to assess the field data as a whole against our model because high variance between field studies hinders comparison. However, although our model predictions are generally elevated as compared to the field data (compare figure 3a and electronic supplementary material, table S1), the relative differences between the prevalence of the two pathogens are consistent across studies and with our model predictions.

Data from Sweden in 2007 [35] report the occurrence of *N. ceranae* and *N. apis* in approximately 3% and 33% of colonies, respectively (electronic supplementary material, table S1). Our model assumes impaired *N. ceranae* transmission in boreal conditions, and indeed predicts substantially lower *N. ceranae* prevalence compared with *N. apis*. Although *N. apis* prevalence is overestimated in our model with the parameters that we used, the relative difference between pathogens (approx. 30%) falls within the predicted range of our model (figure 3a, low $\sigma_c$ values). Conversely, when transmission rates are similar between the two species, as is the case in temperate conditions, our model predicts a switch in the relative prevalence of the two species, with *N. ceranae* and *N. apis* occurring in 70% and 50% of colonies, respectively. Field data from Spain across multiple years are consistent with this pattern, with *N. ceranae* and *N. apis* occurring in 40% and 10% of colonies, respectively (electronic supplementary material, table S1). Thus, although our model overestimates the recorded prevalence, the relative differences between the species are in line with our predictions. The transition zone in our model occurs where the superior competitive ability of *N. ceranae* is compensated by its susceptibility to cold. More studies covering a wider range of climatic gradients are required to characterize this zone more precisely, but we predict that it will vary by year and season as environmental conditions fluctuate. Climatic conditions and current available field data indicate that central Europe may be a suitable region upon which to focus attention.

Deviations between our model and field data can be partly attributed to lack of available data in addition to model limitations. Lack of empirical information concerning realistic transmission rates or routes of transmission of *N. apis* and *N. ceranae* is a considerable source of uncertainty. The maximum transmission rate, which we keep constant for *N. apis* and equal to that of *N. ceranae* in temperate conditions, was arbitrarily set to 0.1. This value can be lowered to attain prevalences that are more representative of the field data without affecting the relative prevalence of the two microsporidia (data not shown). In addition, in the current model we assume a linear relationship derived from our experiment, between number of spores and transmission rate. A power function might be more suitable for future modelling. Exclusion of seasonality (which may influence prevalence [57]) and the extrapolation of our laboratory-derived individual host data to host colonies in the field are also possible limitations, although for the latter our model is robust to variation in the inhibitory abilities of *N. ceranae* (electronic supplementary material, figure S3). Our model is also restricted to a rate of mortality that is set constant and equal for both species. While increased mortality attributable to *N. ceranae* impacts its prevalence, its effect is subtle (electronic supplementary material, figure S4). Moreover, the relative prevalences predicted by our model given a more moderate rate of mortality are consistent with the field data. Given that both *N. apis* and *N. ceranae* are also globally widespread and highly prevalent [58,59], we doubt that mortality attributable to *N. ceranae* is substantially different from *N. apis* at the colony level, despite potentially subtle differences in virulence at the level of the individual bee [55]. Finally, we assume that *N. ceranae* has already reached equilibrium, but this cannot be conclusively demonstrated given available data. However, the rapid spread of *N. ceranae* across the globe indicates that *N. ceranae* is now firmly established [60]. Despite these potential limitations, we are able to show that the outcome of asymmetric interspecific competition on pathogen prevalence depends significantly on variation in the pathogen’s response to environmental stress, and furthermore, that these variables may assist in explaining the contemporary prevalence of exotic and native pathogens of the western honeybee. In other words, in addition to abiotic factors, complex host–parasite interactions
play an important role in shaping pathogen community structure.

In conclusion, we demonstrate that interspecific competition between N. apis and N. ceranae is antagonistic, that it depends on the sequence of infection, and that it is asymmetric, with the emerging infectious pathogen, N. ceranae, more strongly inhibiting the growth of the native N. apis than vice versa. Under certain conditions, our model indicated that, in combination with environmental variables, asymmetric interspecific competition can help to explain the widespread prevalence of an emerging infectious pathogen (N. ceranae) through enhanced inhibition of its native competitor, N. apis. However, as interspecific competition can impact both pathogens and host(s), it is unclear to what extent co-infection dynamics influence the epidemiology of Microsporidia in honeybees, as critical disease components such as virulence and transmission have not yet been quantified. These represent important targets for future research if we are to reach a better understanding of the impacts of disease in multi-host–multi-parasite systems.
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