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Abstract—Recently, deep learning techniques have substantially boosted the performance of salient object detection in still images. However, the salient object detection in videos by using traditional handcrafted features or deep learning features is not fully investigated, probably due to the lack of sufficient manually labeled video data for saliency modeling, especially for the data-driven deep learning. This paper proposes a novel weakly supervised approach to salient object detection in a video, which can learn a robust saliency prediction model by using very limited manually labeled data and a large amount of weakly labeled data that could be easily generated in a supervised way. Furthermore, we propose a spatiotemporal cascade neural network (SCNN) architecture for saliency modeling, in which two fully convolutional networks are cascaded to evaluate visual saliency from both spatial and temporal cues to lead the optimal video saliency prediction. The proposed approach is extensively evaluated on the widely used challenging datasets, and the experiments demonstrate that our proposed approach substantially outperforms the state-of-the-art salient object detection models.

Index Terms—Video saliency, weakly supervised learning, spatiotemporal prior fusion, cascade fully convolutional network

I. INTRODUCTION

SALIENT object detection, which aims to identify the objects or regions that are noticeable and mostly attract human attention in an image/video, has become a research focus of computer vision for decades. It is generally as a preprocessing step to support high-level computer vision tasks, such as object segmentation, object recognition, object tracking and content-based video compression. A number of approaches have been proposed to detect salient objects. The recent approaches based on deep Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), e.g., [1]–[3], have substantially improved the performance of salient object detection in still images. However, these deep learning models trained by still images may not perform well in some dynamic video scenes.

One of the key issues is the difficulty in eliminating the interference of relatively complex background regions, which may be unmoving or moving in a video. The image saliency approaches take each video frame as a still image and perform saliency detection one by one, without considering the motion of objects. Therefore, those background regions, which are salient in a still image, are easily highlighted in the generated saliency map (See the examples in Fig. 1). However, the motion is the most important cue to attract human attention and these regions may not be salient in a video. Before the usage of neural networks, such motion information is introduced into video saliency approaches by graphics models [5], [6]. These approaches firstly generate an initial saliency map from global motion clues [5] or gradient flow field [6], and then exploit an energy function with spatiotemporal constraint to estimate the final saliency in video sequences. Due to their employment of the handcrafted features in these methods, the salient objects are difficult to be detected in complex video scenes.

Recently, deep learning models are employed into the video saliency prediction. As far as we know, a robust deep learning model needs to be trained by the large-scale labeled pixel-wise video frames. Unfortunately, the pixel-wise labeling is very time-consuming and needs huge human resources. It should be noted the fact that current datasets for video salient object

Fig. 1. Salient object detection in dynamic scenes by using different models. (a) Paired frames and the ground truth of the second frame. (b) Saliency maps for the second frame generated by the previous DSTM model [1] (top), DCL model [2] (middle) and UCF model [4] (bottom). (c) Results generated by our proposed SCNN model, including spatial prior (top), optical flow (middle) and the saliency map for the second frame (bottom).
detection have very limited manually labeled ground truth. For instance, a total number of labeled data are no more than 7000 frames in the widely used video datasets, including SegTrackV2 [7], FBMS [8], VSB100 [9] and DAVIS [10], where some of them only label a small part of frames in a video sequence. In [11], an approach by synthesizing video data from still frames has been proposed to generate large-scale simulated video data and the corresponding pixel-wise annotations. The other methods introduce weakly supervised learning to train networks by image-level data and the corresponding pixel-wise annotations. These labels, that indicate the presence/absence or specific category of objects in an image, are easier to collect than the pixel-wise ones. However, as for the deep models of saliency detection, the pixel-wise labels are more suitable to train the network.

Bearing in mind the issues aforementioned, we, on the one hand, aim to find a solution to learn a salient object detection model in a weakly supervised approach, that trains a saliency model by using limited manually labeled ground truth and huge weakly pixel-wise labeled data which are generated in a fusing saliency maps way. On the other hand, we expect to propose a deep neural network architecture which can learn spatial and temporal cues to identify salient objects in a video.

Therefore, we propose a spatiotemporal cascade neural network (SCNN) architecture, which utilizes spatial and temporal priors to model visual saliency in videos. Moreover, to overcome the problem of the lack of pixel-wisely labeled data, we propose a weakly supervised learning strategy to train deep neural networks. In summary, the contributions of this paper are as follows:

1. We propose a spatiotemporal cascade neural network (SCNN) architecture, which leverages two fully convolutional neural networks to evaluate visual saliency from both spatial and temporal cues.
2. We introduce a weakly supervised approach, which takes advantage of large-scale weakly labeled data for saliency model learning. These weakly labeled data not only complement manually labeled ones, but also enable to achieve obvious performance improvement for salient object detection.
3. We present a novel approach to extract the motion information of salient object from optical flow fields, which is able to be effectively incorporated into the proposed SCNN framework.
4. We demonstrate that our proposed approach substantially outperforms the state-of-the-art salient object detection models.

II. RELATED WORKS

In this section, we review related works in spatiotemporal saliency models, CNN-based saliency methods and relevant approaches by using weak supervision.

Spatiotemporal saliency models. Over the recent decades, a variety of techniques and theories have been exploited to detect salient objects in still images, such as spatial prior [15], low-rank matrix recovery [16], regional contrast [17], graphical modeling [18], and information theory [19].

Weakly Supervised Learning. Recently, weakly supervised learning methods have been introduced into many areas such as object detection [36], [37], object localization [38], [39], semantic segmentation [40], [41]. In [42], the saliency detec-
tion is applied for weakly-supervised object detection via a self-paced curriculum learning regime. Lai et al. [43] integrates saliency information into an end-to-end neural network to perform weakly supervised object detection. In [44], a weakly supervised image parsing method is proposed by using saliency results to guide the dictionary learning. In the area of saliency detection, Cholakkal et al. [12] firstly propose a weakly supervised top-down saliency approach by exploiting the backtracking ScSPM image classifier. Then, they extend the approach by combining a selected saliency map from the fast bottom-up saliency approaches to generate the final map in [45]. After that, a two-stage weakly supervised network [14] is proposed for saliency prediction. The network is firstly pre-trained with image-level tags, and then self-trained by using estimated pixel-level labels. In [46], an image-level classifier and a pixel-level map generator are composed to conduct saliency detection.

III. THE PROPOSED MODEL

Fig. 2 illustrates the framework of our proposed model. Given a video frame, a spatial prior map, on one hand, is generated through a fully convolutional network (FCN). On the other hand, a temporal prior map is obtained by evaluating visual saliency from optical flow fields. Furthermore, the spatial prior map and the temporal prior map are combined to generate a spatiotemporal prior map to guide the second FCN for saliency prediction. The generated saliency map is refined further through a model of conditional random field. The connected two FCNs for visual saliency prediction from both spatial and temporal evaluation is called spatiotemporal cascade neural network (SCNN). The SCNN parameters are learned by our proposed weakly supervised approach.

In the following, we present firstly the details of SCNN. Then, we show how to generate the spatiotemporal prior map. After that, we introduce the weakly supervised learning approach. Finally, the CRF for saliency refinement is given.

A. The spatiotemporal cascaded neural network

The proposed SCNN consists of two FCNs having the same architecture extended from VGG network [48], which contains five convolutional blocks, each of which has several convolutional layers. In order to generate feature maps rather than feature vectors, the last two fully connected layers need to be transferred into convolutional layers with $1 \times 1$ kernel like [33]. Therefore, the FCN in our SCNN contains six convolutional blocks. Besides, in order to recover the scale of feature maps, an up-sampling layer is added at the top of FCN to generate a saliency map with a resolution of the input video frame. In the FCNs, each convolutional operation can be formulated as follow:

$$f(\mathcal{X}; W, b) = \sigma(W \ast \mathcal{X} + b)$$

where $f(\cdot)$ denotes the generated feature map by a convolutional operation; $\mathcal{X}$ is the input and contains three channels tensors ($\mathcal{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{h \times w \times c}$); $b$ is a bias term; $W$ is a set of kernel parameters; $\sigma(\cdot)$ denotes the activation function, which is Rectified Linear Unit (ReLU) in our experiments.

The original VGG network contains five max-pooling layers. The scale of feature maps is reduced twice after each max-pooling layer, which largely reduces the scale of the feature
maps from the last convolutional layer. After the operation of up-sampling, the feature maps are very coarse and the spatial context information is also lost, which is not conducive to generate the final saliency map. To keep the scale of final feature map suitable, a padding operation of 100 pixels is added in the Conv block1 in the first convolutional layer in [33] (See Fig.2). Although it can increase the scale of feature map, it brings a lot of useless information as well. In this paper, we remove the last two max-pooling layers so that the feature maps after the Conv block3 have enough scale to retain dense features. However, this operation also changes the receptive fields of convolution and makes the original parameters of kernels not suitable for new convolutional layers. Therefore, the convolution layers with dilution [49], that add holes into convolutional kernels, are employed to keep receptive fields and spatial context information.

In our SCNN, the multi-layer up-sampling is used for resizing the feature maps. In [2] [33], the up-sampling operation is embedded after every convolutional block. However, through our experiments, we find that the up-sampled feature maps from the first four max-pooling layers have less effect on the final saliency map. To simplify the structure of the network and speed up the process, we only up-sample the feature maps from the last two convolutional blocks, and then sum them up element-wisely to obtain the final feature map.

The generated feature map from the first FCN is called spatial prior map and combined with the temporal prior map evaluated from optical flow fields to obtain a spatiotemporal prior map, which is presented in detail in Section III-B. Then, the spatiotemporal prior is exploited to guide the second FCN learning for saliency prediction. Due to the embedding of the spatiotemporal prior map, the convolutional operation in the first layer of the second FCN is modified as follow:

$$f(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{P}; \mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{W}_2, b) = \sigma(\mathcal{W}_1 \ast \mathcal{X} + \mathcal{W}_2 \ast \mathcal{P} + b)$$

where \( \mathcal{P} \) denotes and the spatiotemporal prior map; \( \mathcal{W}_1 \) is a set of kernel parameters for the input frame \( \mathcal{X} \) whereas \( \mathcal{W}_2 \) is the one for the spatiotemporal prior map \( \mathcal{P} \); \( b \) is a bias term.

At the top of SCNN, a loss function is applied to compute the errors between the final feature map \( \mathcal{S} \in [0, 1]_{h \times w \times 1} \) and the pixel-wise labeled \( \mathcal{G} \in [0, 1]_{h \times w \times 1} \), where \( h \) and \( w \) denote the height and width of an input video frame, respectively. Considering the unbalance between the number of salient pixels and that of non-salient pixels, we exploit a modified cross-entropy loss function as follows:

$$L(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{G}) = -\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{h \times w} g_i \log P(s_i = 1|\mathcal{X}_i, \mathcal{W})$$

$$- (1 - \alpha) \sum_{i=1}^{h \times w} (1 - g_i) \log P(s_i = 0|\mathcal{X}_i, \mathcal{W})$$

where \( s_i \in \mathcal{S} \) and \( g_i \in \mathcal{G} \) denote the saliency value and the label of ground truth for a pixel \( \mathcal{X}_i \), respectively; \( \alpha \) denotes the balance factor and is set as the ratio of background pixels in the ground truth \( \mathcal{G} \).

B. Generation of spatiotemporal prior map

In our SCNN framework, a spatiotemporal prior map \( \mathcal{P} \), which is a combination of the spatial prior map \( \mathcal{P}_s \) and the temporal prior map \( \mathcal{P}_t \), is proposed to guide the second FCN learning for salient object prediction. As the guidance seed, we emphasize the precision rather than recall; i.e., we do not expect to highlight the whole salient object in the spatiotemporal prior map \( \mathcal{P} \), but those salient regions highlighted should be reliable. Therefore, the element-wise production is adopted to fuse the spatial and temporal prior maps, i.e.

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_s \otimes \mathcal{P}_t$$

where \( \otimes \) denotes the operation of element-wise production. Such an operation highlights the shared salient regions in
both the spatial and temporal prior maps and suppresses those regions being salient in one prior map only.

As mentioned in the previous subsection, the spatial prior map $\mathcal{P}_s$ is generated from the first FCN. Specifically, the FCN takes a frame as the input and produces the corresponding feature maps. Then, the feature map of the last convolutional layer is activated by a sigmoid function to generate the spatial prior map $\mathcal{P}_s$ as follows

$$\mathcal{P}_s = \Psi\left( \mathcal{U}_s(\mathcal{F}_s(\mathcal{X}; \theta)) \right) \quad \text{(5)}$$

where $\Psi(\cdot)$ denotes sigmoid operation; $\mathcal{U}_s(\cdot)$ denotes the upsampling operation; $\mathcal{F}_s(\cdot)$ represents the convolution operation with the parameters $\theta$. In our experiments, the bilinear up-sampling is used to ensure the spatial prior map $\mathcal{P}_s$ having a resolution of the input video frame.

To generate the temporal prior map $\mathcal{P}_t$, we propose a novel approach to evaluate visual saliency from optical flow fields. As illustrated in Fig.3, we firstly perform $\mathcal{M}$ segmentations on the optical flow map by using graph-based algorithm [47] with different parameters to generate multi-scale superpixels. Then we extract deep features [32] from RGB image (video frame) for each superpixel $r_j^i (j = 1, 2, ..., \mathcal{M})$, where $i$ denotes the superpixel index in the $j$-level segmentation, to train a binary classifier of the three-layer neural network for salient superpixel prediction. Finally, the saliency maps from different segmentation levels are linearly fused to generate the temporal prior map $\mathcal{P}_t$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{P}_t(r_i) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}} \sum_{j=1}^{\mathcal{M}} S^j(D(r_j^i)) \quad \text{(6)}$$

where $D(\cdot)$ denotes the deep features of the superpixel $r_j^i$; $S^j(\cdot)$ denotes the saliency value predicted by the binary classifier. The segmentation level $\mathcal{M}$ is set to 3, which is a balance setting between the accuracy and the processing time.

C. Weakly supervised learning approach

Deep learning has demonstrated its success in various tasks. However, it is a data-driven approach and needs large-scale data with ground truth to learn network parameters. Although it is easy to obtain video data, the pixel-wisely labeling for the ground truth is very time consuming, that is why some datasets labeled a part of video frames only for the performance evaluation of salient object detection. Therefore, we propose a weakly supervised approach which learns network parameters by generating weakly labeled data increasingly along with the model learning iteration. Our hypothesis is that if we assign weak labels for some frames unlabeled in the training set and then make the labels stronger during training processing, these frames and weak labels are also useful to learn network parameters. To this end, we use a method fusing saliency maps to generate the pixel-wise labels and propose an interactive iteration approach to complete our weakly supervised learning.

As illustrated in the Fig.4, the proposed weakly supervised learning approach consists of two components, including network optimization for SCNN and labeling improvement for the generated weak labels. Given some labeled data available, the SCNN model is optimized, through the stochastic gradient descent (SGD) method, and generates a saliency map for the input video frame. The generated saliency map is combined with other saliency maps, obtained by existing salient object detection models, to generate weak labels. Interactively, these weak labels are included in the training data for the next iteration of SCNN model optimization. In this way, the two components boost each other interactively and iteratively until the convergence is reached.

To fuse the SCNN generated saliency with other saliency maps generated by several existing saliency models, we exploit weighted linear combination, i.e.,

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i * S_i \quad \text{(7)}$$

where $S_i (i = 1, \cdots, N)$ denotes the saliency map generated by SCNN or existing saliency models thus $N$ models used in total. The weights $\{w_1, w_2, ..., w_N\}$ of combination are obtained by the quadratic programming through minimizing

![Diagram](image-url)
the following objective function

\[ w^* = \arg \min_{w_i} \left\| G - \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \ast S_i \right\| \]  

(8)

where \( G \) denotes the available manually labeled ground truth and \( w^* \) are the optimal weights. In other words, we use the data with ground truth to learn the weights in Eq.8, and these weights are used to fuse those saliency maps without ground truth. With the fused saliency map \( S \), we generate binary labels through Otsu thresholding method. Compared with other methods such as mean thresholding, P-Tile thresholding, etc [50], Otsu is stable, effective and able to produce automatically binary images. Hence, we choose it to generate the binary labels. The overall algorithm of the weakly supervised learning approach is summarized in Algorithm 1. It should be noted that the weak pixel-wise labels by fusing saliency maps are used to optimize the proposed network during the training process. In the testing process, all of the fusion saliency maps are removed in the network. Then, the trained SCNN produces directly the saliency prediction.

In the implementation, three existing saliency models [1]–[3] are introduced to generate fusing saliency maps. These three models are recent deep models and achieve competitive performance in image saliency detection. Although their saliency maps exist some flaws in video datasets, the main salient regions can be detected. Besides, by using the weighted linear combination, the saliency maps can compensate for each other. Thus we can produce more accurate weak pixel-wise labels and exploit them to support the network training.

D. Pixel-wise saliency refinement

The SCNN is already able to detect salient regions in frames, but saliency labels may be too coarse. Therefore, the conditional random field (CRF) framework is exploited to refine saliency pixel-wisely. Specifically, a CRF energy function is defined respect to saliency labels (as random variables), and its minimum leads to the optimal saliency labeling. Following the previous work [51], the CRF energy function is defined as follows:

\[ E(s_i, s_j) = - \sum_i \log \Theta(s_i) + \sum_{i,j} \varphi_{ij}(s_i, s_j) \]  

(9)

where \( s_i \) and \( s_j \) denote the pixels in a saliency map \( S \), respectively. The first is a unary potential, where \( \Theta(s_i) \) is defined as the saliency value \( s_i \). The second is a pairwise potential and expanded as follows:

\[ \varphi_{ij}(s_i, s_j) = \mu(s_i, s_j) [\omega_1 \ast \exp(-\frac{||p_i - p_j||^2}{2\delta_\alpha^2}) - \frac{||I_i - I_j||^2}{2\delta_\beta^2}] + \omega_2 \ast \exp(-\frac{||p_i - p_j||^2}{2\delta_\gamma^2}) \]  

(10)

where \( \mu_{ij}(s_i, s_j) = 1 \) if \( s_i \neq s_j \), otherwise 0. The first term is appearance kernel, which promotes the adjacent pixels with similar color appearance to be assigned with the same label. The second term is smoothness kernel, whose purpose is to eliminate small isolated regions. In this equation, \( p_i, p_j \) denote coordinates of pixels, and \( I_i, I_j \) denote intensity of pixels. The parameters \( \delta_\alpha, \delta_\beta \) control the impact of spatial distance, whereas \( \delta_\gamma \) determines the impact of intensity contrast; \( \omega_1 \) and \( \omega_2 \) are the weights of the two kernels.

IV. EXPERIMENTS

In this section, we present firstly the most commonly used benchmark datasets and evaluation criteria for salient object detection. Moreover, the implementation of our approach is introduced in detail. Then, we compare our proposed SCNN with the state-of-the-art saliency detection models, and analyze the effect of each module. Finally, the runtime complexity is reported.

A. Datasets and performance evaluation criteria

We perform experiments on four benchmark datasets including MSRA10k [52], SegtrackV2 [7], FBMS [8] and DAVIS [10].

MSRA10K contains 10k images from diverse scenes, such as person, animals, plants, traffic signs, etc. This dataset is widely used in image saliency detection.

SegtrackV2 contains 14 video sequences including 1,066 frames in total. Each sequence contains 100 frames appropriately. Each frame is manually labeled for salient objects.

FBMS has 59 video sequences including 13,960 frames in total. This dataset is divided into a training set (with 29 video sequences) and a test set (with 30 video sequences). The ground truths are incomplete and discontinuous. For example, there are 7,306 frames in the test set, but only 720 frames have their corresponding ground truths.

DAVIS consists of 50 video sequences containing 3,455 frames in total, and each frame is pixel-wisely labeled. This dataset contains a diversity of difficult scenes, such multiple objects with occlusion, appearance variation, motion blurred and low contrast, that makes it challenging for salient object detection.

In our experiments, we train our SCNN model with all images in MSRA10K, SegtrackV2 and the training set of FBMS, and test the performance with the testing set of FBMS and the DAVIS dataset.

As for evaluation criteria, the standard precision-recall (PR) curve is adopted. In computing PR curve, each saliency map is normalized into the range of \([0, 255]\). Each integer within this range is used as a threshold for segmentation to generate a binary mask to compute precision and recall by comparing against the ground truth. Furthermore, the mean absolute error (MAE) (See Eq.11) is also used to measure the average prediction error between saliency maps and ground truths, which is defined as:

\[ MAE = \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_i |S(p_i) - G(p_i)| \]  

(11)

where \( p_i \) denotes any pixel in a frame; \( S \) and \( G \) denote the generated saliency map and its corresponding ground truth, respectively.
The deep learning based models have successfully detected most of the salient regions in video sequences.

B. Implementation

The proposed SCNN has mainly been implemented with Caffe library [53] and its MATLAB API. As an auxiliary, deep learning toolbox [54] in MATLAB is used at the same time.

For the network training, we start firstly with the pre-trained VGGNet [55], learned from ImageNet dataset [56], and transfer its fully connected layers into fully convolutional layers. Secondly, MSRA10K is used for fine-tuning the FCN. Then, two pre-trained FCN are connected to form the SCNN. The spatiotemporal prior map fusing the spatial prior map generated from the first FCN and temporal prior map evaluated from optical flow field is fed into the second FCN. Since the spatiotemporal prior is added to guide the network learning, the first convolutional layer in the second FCN is not suitable. Therefore, the parameters of this layer are re-initialized with four channels by Xavier function. Thirdly, we use the SegtrackV2 and the training set of FBMS to fine-tune the SCNN. Lastly, in the inference phase, the saliency maps generated from SCNN are refined further by CRF.

In the whole training process, stochastic gradient descent (SGD) is used to update the parameters. The initial learning rate is $10^{-2}$ and $10^{-10}$ for the two-phase fine-tuning, respectively. The weight decay is set to 0.005 and momentum is 0.9.

C. Comparison to the state-of-the-art saliency models

For performance comparison, we compare the proposed approach with six state-of-the-art video saliency approaches and ten image saliency detection approaches both qualitatively and quantitatively. The video saliency approaches we compared are space-time saliency detection (ST) [57], cluster-based co-saliency method (CS) [26], segmenting saliency detection (SS) [23], consistent gradient based saliency (CG) [29], saliency-aware method (SA) [6] and video salient object detection via fully convolutional networks (SFCN) [11]. The compared image saliency detection models include eight deep learning based models: deep contrast learning (DCL) [2], recurrent fully convolutional network (RFCN) [3], deep saliency multi-task (DSMT) [1], local estimation and global search (LEGS) [31], visual saliency on multi-scale deep features (MDF) [32], aggregating multi-level convolutional features (Amulet) [58], saliency detection with image-level supervision (WSS) [14], learning uncertain convolutional features (UCF) [4] and two models based on handcrafted features: robust background detection (SO) [59], saliency filters (SF) [15].

Fig. 5 shows the PR curves and MAEs generated by the proposed SCNN model and the other sixteen state-of-the-art saliency models. Clearly, the proposed SCNN achieves obvious higher performance on each dataset in term of both PR curve and MAE criteria. Notice that the PR curve of our approach outperforms the others by a large margin on the DAVIS dataset and also has an improvement on the FBMS dataset. In the aspect of MAE, the proposed SCNN decreases it to 5.8% and 10.8% on the DAVIS and FBMS datasets, respectively.

Fig.6 shows some saliency maps generated by the top sixteen models on the two datasets. The first four sequences (bmx-bumps, blackswan, bus, train) are from DAVIS and last three sequences (camel01, horse04, goats01) are from FBMS. Notice that the SA and CG by modeling the motion cues are more difficult to predict saliency than the deep learning based models (e.g. DCL, RFCN, MDF) learned from still images only. The deep learning based models have successfully detected most of the salient regions in video sequences.
Fig. 6. Saliency maps generated by using part of comparing methods and the proposed approach on DAVIS video sequences (bmx-bumps, blackswan, bus and train), FBMS video sequences (camel01, horses04 and goats01). Qualitatively, our approach removes the inference of still salient region and generates the most similar saliency maps to the ground truths.
However, they highlight some background regions as well. For example, the red brand in *bmx-bumps* sequence and river bank in *blackswan* sequence from the background are highlighted as salient regions. The main reason comes from the lack of motion information for learning these models. Our approach employs the spatiotemporal prior to guide saliency modeling and eliminate the inference of unmoving salient regions effectively, which makes the proposed approach achieve a higher quality of saliency maps. As for the multiple objects, our method also achieves competitive performance. In *horse04* and *goats01* sequences, all of the horses and goats are detected by the SCNN.

**D. Validation of the proposed approach with different configurations**

We perform several experiments on DAVIS dataset to validate the effectiveness of SCNN framework, the spatiotemporal prior and the weakly supervised learning approach, which demonstrate the contributions of this paper.

To validate the proposed SCNN framework, a single fully convolutional network (s-FCN), which has the same the structure of FCN in our SCNN, is used for generating the saliency maps for input video frames. As shown in the Fig. 7 (a) the PR curve of SCNN is obviously higher than that of s-FCN and decreases the MAE from 10.2% to 5.8%, which demonstrates the effectiveness by using two FCNs to model visual saliency from both spatial and temporal cues.

To validate the effectiveness of the spatiotemporal prior, we report saliency performance by replacing it with the following alternative methods while keeping other components of SCNN.

- **FG**: the color optical flow image is converted into gray-scale one which is a typical method representing motion information. Then the gray-scale motion image and the spatial prior map $P_s$ generated from the first FCN using Eq.(5) are stacked with the input video frame to form a five-channel input of the second FCN.
- **FP**: the temporal prior map $P_t$ generated with Eq.(6) and the spatial prior map $P_s$ are stacked with the input video frame to form a five-channel input of the second FCN.
- **OS**: only the spatial prior map $P_s$ is stacked with the input video frame to form a four-channel input of the

![Fig. 7. PR curves (top) and MAEs (bottom) generated by using different configurations on DAVIS dataset. (a) Performance comparison between s-FCN and the proposed SCNN. (b) Performance validation for the spatiotemporal prior. (c) Performance validation for the weakly supervised learning approach.](image)

![Fig. 8. Saliency maps by different configurations. From top to bottom, they are saliency maps by single FCN (s-FCN), connecting graying optical flow prior map and spatial prior map (FG), connecting spatial prior map and temporal prior map (FP), only using the spatial prior of video frame (OS), only using temporal prior map (OT), saliency maps without weak pixel-wise labels (NA) training and Final saliency map (SCNN) with all of components](image)
second FCN.
- **OT**: only the temporal prior map $P_t$ is stacked with the input video frame to form a four-channel input of the second FCN.

From Fig. 7 (b), we can clearly observe that our proposed SCNN guided by the spatiotemporal prior substantially outperforms the four alternative methods mentioned above. In some complex scenes, some background regions are generated in the spatial prior. These background information directly affects the network learning. The element-wise production may remove some regions of salient objects. Besides, due to the accuracy in both spatial prior and temporal prior, the main parts of salient objects can be retained. Therefore, the fusing spatiotemporal prior can guide the SCNN to learn more robust salient features.

The proposed weakly supervised learning approach generates weakly labeled data for training the network. To validate the contribution, in Fig.7(c) we report the saliency performance by using manually labeled ground truths only (without including weak labels) to train our network. Clearly, the proposed SCNN with weakly supervised learning approach achieves notable higher performance. Fig.8 displays the some saliency maps generated by our network with different configurations.

In our approach, we exploit the dense CRF as the post-processing to refine the saliency maps generated from SCNN. In order to validate its effectiveness, we also set a experiment to present the performance of our saliency maps with and without the CRF in DAVIS dataset. The PR curves and MAEs are shown in Fig. 9. We can see that the post-processing indeed enhances the quality of the saliency results from our SCNN, because it can improve the spatial coherence of the generated saliency maps. However, the proposed SCNN also achieves competitive performance.

In the some deep saliency models, the final saliency maps are generated by fusing the up-sampling feature maps from multi-scale convolutional blocks. Through the experiments, we find that the up-sampling feature maps from the first four convolutional blocks have less effect on the final saliency maps in video saliency datasets. Therefore, we try to fuse the up-sampling feature maps from the last two convolutional blocks to generate the final saliency maps. The Fig. 10 shows that the PR curve and MAE of the proposed architecture are slightly better than the ones of integrating the up-sampling feature maps from all of the convolutional blocks.

### E. Runtime Analysis

The PC configuration is an Intel(R) i7-5820 CPU (3.3 GHz), a Nvidia Geforce TITAN X GPU (12 GB memory), and 64G RAM. All approaches are run on this PC. Table I displays the average run time per frame of different methods on DAVIS dataset. Among them, CG, SA, SS and our SCNN by exploiting the optical flow cost much time than others. SCNN$_f$ introduces the FlowNet2.0 [60] to extract optical flow. Compared with traditional method of optical flow extraction [61], FlowNet2.0 is faster by a robust deep learning model. Table. II shows the average run time of each component of the SCNN and SCNN$_f$. We can see that the FlowNet2.0 decreases the computation time from 36.720s to 0.739s and accelerates the proposed method from 38.511s to 2.53s.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table I</th>
<th>COMPARISON AVERAGE RUN TIME (SECONDS PER FRAME) ON DAVIS DATASET</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Method</td>
<td>SCNN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time(s)</td>
<td>38.511</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>CG</th>
<th>SCNN</th>
<th>DSC</th>
<th>DCL</th>
<th>RFCL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time(s)</td>
<td>38.075</td>
<td>38.751</td>
<td>0.473</td>
<td>0.842</td>
<td>0.670</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>DSMT</th>
<th>LEGS</th>
<th>MDF</th>
<th>Amulet</th>
<th>UCF</th>
<th>WSS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time(s)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.206</td>
<td>11.33</td>
<td>5.299</td>
<td>0.151</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table II</th>
<th>AVERAGE RUN TIME (SECONDS PER FRAME) OF EACH COMPONENT IN THE PROPOSED APPROACH ON DAVIS DATASET</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>Component</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCNN</td>
<td>Optical flow computation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Temporal prior generation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neural network processing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saliency refinement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| SCNN$_f$ | Optical flow computation              | 0.739    | 29.2      |
|          | Temporal prior generation             | 0.823    | 32.5      |
|          | Neural network processing             | 0.685    | 27.1      |
|          | Saliency refinement                  | 0.283    | 11.2      |
|          | Total                                 | 2.53     | 100       |
V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we propose a novel SCNN for salient object detection in a video. The framework integrates the spatial prior of the video frame and the temporal prior based on optical flow, which successfully eliminates unnoving salient region and generates final saliency maps in dynamic scenes. Based on optical flow, we subtly incorporate superpixel segmentation on optical flow map and multi-scale deep features to obtain a high-quality temporal prior map, which can guide the training of SCNN and support accurate inference. Furthermore, facing the shortage of training data, a weak supervised learning strategy is proposed. This method enables our network to learn more information and make saliency maps more accurate. Finally, we performed an extensive evaluation on the widely used FBMS and DAVIS dataset. Experiments denote that the proposed approach substantially outperforms the state-of-the-art video saliency and image saliency models in term of both PR curve and MAE criteria.
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