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Introduction

Progesterone (P₄) plays an essential role in female health. The importance of P₄ has led to the continued development of synthetic progesterone receptor (PR) ligands for a variety of women’s health issues [1,2]. For example, oral contraceptives, combination hormone replacement therapy (HRT) for the treatment of menopausal symptoms, and to reduce the risk of endometrial hyperplasia and cancer associated with unopposed E₂ therapy [3-5]. These common treatments contain synthetic progestins, such as medroxyprogesterone acetate (MPA), which are associated with deleterious side effects including cardiovascular disease, heart attack, stroke, and breast cancer [6,7]. Side effects are thought to occur primarily due to the promiscuous binding of synthetic progestins to other nuclear receptors such as the androgen receptor (AR), glucocorticoid receptor (GR), and mineralocorticoid receptor (MR) [8]. Therefore, the identification of progestins that have tissue and receptor specificity with minimal side effects is important.

Due to the side effects associated with HRT and the perceived safety of natural remedies, millions of menopausal women are seeking alternative therapies in the form of botanical extracts and dietary supplements [9]. Most of the formulas used to treat menopausal symptoms contain estrogenic compounds, such as genistein and 8-prenyl-naringenin that bind and activate estrogen receptors. Unopposed estrogen signaling in the uterus is associated with hyperplasia and cancer [10]. P₄ when combined with E₂ protects against proliferation and hyperplasia of endometrial cells [11]. Therefore, botanical formulations containing both a phytoestrogen and a phytoprogestin should be safer in the uterus. Some botanicals have been identified that contain progestogenic compounds as demonstrated by in vitro and in vivo assays [12-17].

Selective PR modulators (SPRMs) are a class of PR ligands that function as an agonist, antagonist, or mixed agonist/antagonist and have clinically relevant tissue selectivity [4]. Previous studies demonstrated kaempferol is a non-steroidal phytoprogestin that functions in a cell-specific manner in vitro [13]. Kaempferol is a widely distributed dietary flavonoid found in fruits and vegetables that also has anti-oxidant and anti-inflammatory properties [18]. The anti-inflammatory properties of kaempferol appear to be mediated by
nuclear factor-κB (NFκB) [19,20]. In animal studies, kaempferol inhibited ovarian cancer tumorigenesis and angiogenesis [21,22]. Moreover, in human epidemiological studies, kaempferol intake significantly decreased (40%) ovarian cancer incidence [21]. The biological activities demonstrated by kaempferol in these previous studies are consistent with kaempferol functioning as a progestin, especially considering progestins are known to inhibit NFκB and are well known to protect against ovarian cancer [23-25].

The objective of this study was to investigate if kaempferol exerts progestosterone-like effects in vivo using the ovariectomized Sprague-Dawley rat model. Since genistein is a phytoestrogen that was previously demonstrated to increase uterine weight and proliferation [3], the ability of kaempferol to block genistein action in the uterus was investigated. Analyses of proliferation, steroid receptor expression, and induction of well-established PR-regulated targets Areg and Hand2 were completed. In addition, kaempferol in silico binding analysis was completed for PR, as was the activation of ER and AR signaling in vitro in order to determine receptor specificity. The data from this study suggest that kaempferol interacts with PR, activates the receptor without stimulating its degradation, antagonizes genistein-induced endometrial proliferation, and induces known PR target genes in vivo.

Materials and Methods

Reagents

All chemicals and reagents were purchased from Fisher (Hanover Park, IL) or Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO) unless otherwise indicated. All media for cell culture were purchased from Life Technologies, Inc (Carlssbad, CA). Fetal bovine serum (FBS) and charcoal stripped serum was purchased from Atlanta Biologicals (Norcross, GA). Genistein and kaempferol were purchased form Indofine Chemical Co. (Belle Mead, NJ) and Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Desmethylarzoxifen (DMA) was provided by Dr. Gregory Thatcher (Department of Medicinal Chemistry, University of Illinois at Chicago).

Molecular modeling

The crystal structure of the human PR in complex with the agonist P4 (PDB: 1A28; 1.8 Å) was used in the docking procedure [26]. The protein model was analyzed using the protein structure preparation module in MOE [27]. All ligands and water molecules were removed and hydrogen atoms were added using Protonate3D. This structure was saved as a PDB file. The 3D structures of the ligands were built and inspected with VIDA and AM1-BCC [28] partial atomic charges were calculated with Molcharge [27] and minimized using OMEGA [27]. All ligands were docked into the binding pocket of PR using GOLD (version 5.1, CCDC, Cambridge, UK) [29]. The active site was defined as all protein atoms within 6 Å of P4. The scoring function used to rank the docked poses was Chem-PLP. A maximum of twenty docking solutions were generated for each structure, with early termination of the process if the respective RMSDs of the three highest ranked docking solutions were within 1.5 Å RMSD of one another (GOLD default 1 setting: 100,000 Genetic Algorithm (GA) Operations, 5 islands). Flipping of ring free corners, amide bonds, protonated carboxylic acids and planar or pyramidal nitrogen atoms were allowed. MOE was also used to analyze the docking results and generate figures. The top-ranked poses were further co-minimized using MOE LigX module utilizing AMBER12HT force field for optimization and calculation of affinity score [30].

Cell culture and cell lines

Human endometrial stromal cells (HESCs) were provided by Dr. Asgerally Fazleabas (Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproductive Biology, Michigan State University, Grand Rapids, MI). HESCs were cultured according to a previous study [29].

Luciferase assay

HESCs were grown in 12-well plates until 80% confluent. MDA-231 were grown in 12-well plates at 100,000 cells per well. Progesterone responsive element (PRE), estrogen responsive element (ERE), or progesterone specific antigen (PSA) were performed as previously described and the transfection efficiency and cell viability was normalized to a co-transfected beta-galactosidase plasmid (β-gal) [13]. Transfections preceded treatment for 24 or 48 hours. Cell lysates (50 μL) were placed in 96-well plate. The luciferase activity was quantified as previously described [29]. The results are presented as the average fold induction of treated over untreated cells (DMSO) after correcting for transfection efficiency from triplicate experiments.

Western Blot Analysis

HESC cells were incubated in serum free media with various agents for 48 hours. Cells were lysed in 1X RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 7.6, 150 mM NaCl, 1% (v/v) Triton X-100, 0.1% (w/v) SDS) and Roche protease inhibitor (Roche, Madison, WI). Protein concentrations were measured using BCA protein assay reagent (BioRad, Hercules, CA). Protein was separated by 7% SDS–PAGE and transferred onto nitrocellulose membrane. Membranes were blocked in 5% non-fat milk in Tris-buffered saline-Tween (TBS-T-1%). PR-A/B proteins were probed for with antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA) in 5% milk in TBS-T. Membranes were re-probed for actin (Cell Signaling, Danvers, MA) as loading control. Signals were detected using chemiluminescence SuperSignal West Femto Chemiluminescent Kit, (Thermo Scientific, Hanover Park, IL) according to the manufacturer’s protocol on Protein Simple FluorChem E (Santa Clara, CA).

Rat study

All animal studies were approved by the UIC Animal Care and Use Committee. Sprague-Dawley ovariectomized (OVX) rats were utilized for this study to eliminate endogenous hormone production. Twenty-four animals weighing 160-180 g were purchased for the study (n=8/group) (Harlan Laboratories, Madison, WI). All rats were housed at 21°C in 12 h light:12 h dark cycles and were fed 7% corn diet (Harlan Laboratories, Madison, WI) devoid of phytoestrogens. Two weeks post ovariectomy, 5.625 mg kaempferol or genistein was dissolved in a DMSO/corn oil mixture and given via oral gavage daily for 8 days based on a previous study demonstrating estrogenic action of genistein at this dose and duration [3]. Control animals were given DMSO/corn oil only. Animals were sacrificed 24 h after the last injection.

Immunohistochemistry

Uteri were carefully excised, weighed, and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde overnight. Histological analyses were performed according to a previously published study [31]. Primary antibodies utilized in this study included Ki67 (Abcam, Cambridge, MA), PR, ERα and Hand2 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA) [32]. For PR, ERα and Ki67, a biotinylated horseradish peroxidase-conjugated
anti-rabbit IgG was used as the secondary antibody (1:200, Vector, Burlingame, CA). For Hand2 detection a biotinylated horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-goat IgG was used as the secondary antibody (1:200, Vector, Burlingame, CA). Secondary antibody incubation was followed by ABC peroxidase detection enhancement (Vector, Burlingame, CA) and detected by DAB as the chromogen (Vector, Burlingame, CA). Slides were counterstained with haematoxylin and photomicrographs of sections were obtained using Nikon Eclipse E600 microscope. To assess proliferation in the luminal epithelial cells, a minimum of 300 cells were quantified (2 sections per animal) and the average taken. Data are represented as percentage of positive cells. In the stroma, the entire endometrial section was examined, and the number of proliferating stromal cells was categorized as 0, no staining; fewer than 5, low; and more than 5, high. High, low and absent Ki67 expression was classified in endometrial stroma for all four groups.

**qPCR**

Uterine RNA was isolated using Trizol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) reagent as per manufacturer’s instructions. The quality of total RNA was determined spectrophotometrically. Complementary DNA was made using RevertAid first strand cDNA synthesis kit (Fermentes, Glen Burnie, MD) in a total volume of 20 μl. Each real-time PCR consisted of 100 ng cDNA, 10 μl FastStart SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Roche, Madison, WI), and 0.5 μM forward and reverse primers (IDT, San Jose, CA). PCR analyses were conducted using the following set of primers; Gapdh 5'- CATGGCCTTCCGTGTTCCTA-3' (forward) and 5'-GTTGGTGTTCATCCGCCTTC-3' (reverse), Rpl1 5'- CTGTTAGGGCATCACATTTC-3' (forward) and 5'-GGTTGTTCTTACCCGCTTT-3' (reverse), Eρα 5'- AATTCTGACAATCGACGCCAG-3' (forward) and 5'-AACTGAACTTCTGGAGCCTTC-3' (reverse), PR 5'- TGTGGCTGCTCACTGTGCTT-3' (reverse), Areg 5'- CAATCGTTCTACGCTCTCT-3' (reverse), and Rlp1 5'- CCACGACACTTCCAGCTCTT-3' (forward) and 5'-GGTGGATTTGCCACATGGT-3' (reverse), ERα 5'- CGTTGCTGCTCACTGTGCTT-3' (reverse), P4 5'- TGTGGCTGCTCACTGTGCTT-3' (reverse), Hand2 5'- AAGAGGAAGAAGACGCTGATG-3' (forward) and 5'- CGTGGTGTCTCAGCTGTGCTT-3' (reverse), and Areg 5'- AACTGAACTTCCAGGCTTC-3' (forward) and 5'-CATGCCATGCGCTTCTGTA-3' (reverse). Fold change in mRNA expression was determined via the ΔΔCt method, with Gapdh as an internal control for Areg and Rlp1 for Hand2, PR and ERα. Data reported are the mean fold change ± SEM for three replicates compared to vehicle control.

**Statistical analysis**

All data were analyzed using one-way ANOVA, followed by Tukey’s test for multiple comparisons. Statistical significance was defined as #p < 0.05 between groups, *p < 0.05 compared to control, groups not marked were not statistically different from each other.

**Results**

**Molecular modeling of kaempferol, MPA and P4 in PR Ligand Binding Domain (LBD)**

Kaempferol was previously reported to bind to PR, activate PRE-lucerase in a concentration-dependent manner, and it was antagonized by RU486 in T47D and human endometrial stromal cells (HESC) [13]. In order to further characterize the ability of kaempferol to bind the PR, a molecular docking study was used to highlight and compare the binding interactions of kaempferol with those of RU486, P4, and MPA at active site residues. Kaempferol fits into the ligand binding domain (LBD) and has an affinity score comparable to those of RU486, P4, and MPA (Table 1). Consistent with previous reports, RU486 is a stronger PR binder as compared to P4 and demonstrated the highest affinity score [33]. Top poses of MPA and kaempferol are shown in Figure 1. The 4’-, 5-, and 7-hydroxyl groups of kaempferol form hydrogen bonds with Gln725, Thr894, Asn719, respectively. The binding pose of MPA is very similar to P4 and RU486. In addition to the hydrogen bond between the 3-keto group and the side chain amide moiety of Gln725, the acetate group in kaempferol extends into the pocket formed by Leu715, Leu718 and Phe794, which is occupied by the 17α-propynyl group in RU486. Kaempferol’s interaction with the LBD of PR is driven by a combination of hydrogen bonding and hydrophobic contacts commonly observed for all PR ligands.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ligand</th>
<th>Affinity (kcal/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kaempferol</td>
<td>-8.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4</td>
<td>-11.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPA</td>
<td>-14.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RU486</td>
<td>-15.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1: Known progesterone receptor ligands used in this study and affinity scores for the best docking poses after co-minimization in the binding site**

The interactions of the aromatic rings of kaempferol and MPA are similar to P4 in the ligand binding domain of the receptor. The 4’-hydroxyl group of kaempferol anchors to Gln725 analogous to the keto group of P4 or MPA. Additionally, the 7-hydroxyl moiety on the phenyl ring forms a hydrogen bond with Asn719 and the 5-hydroxyl group interacts with Thr894. MPA gains more interactions through its ester linked arm that extends into the cavity formed by Leu715, Leu718 and Phe794.

**Kaempferol does not increase uterine weight of OVX rats**

Since botanicals are mixtures and are often consumed as multi-botanical formulations, the ability of kaempferol to oppose genistein action in the uterus was investigated [34-36]. Genistein is a phytoestrogen found in commonly used botanical supplements soy
and red clover that activates ER and increases uterine weight and cell proliferation similar to E$_2$ [3]. Based on a previous study, Sprague-Dawley rats (200 g) fed 375 μg genistein/g of food/day demonstrated significant uterine weight gain and proliferation [3].

The dose of genistein and the length of treatment in this study were calculated based on the average amount of food consumed per day (15 g/animal/day), indicating that genistein at 5.625 mg/animal/day for 8 days should significantly induce uterine proliferation. Kaempferol’s ability to block genistein-induced proliferation in OVX rats after 8 days of oral treatment was investigated (Table 2). As expected, the uterine wet weights of genistein-treated animals were significantly higher than control rats (Table 2). Oral administration of an equal dose of kaempferol (5.625 mg/animal/day) did not significantly increase uterine wet weight compared to control group, indicating that kaempferol did not induce an estrogenic response in the uterus (Table 2), consistent with previous reports [37,38]. Additive effects were not observed on uterine weight in animals co-treated with kaempferol and genistein.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment (5.625mg/animal/day)</th>
<th>Uterine Weight (mg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle (control)</td>
<td>63.3 ± 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genistein</td>
<td>90.0 ± 17*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaempferol</td>
<td>52.0 ± 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genistein + Kaempferol</td>
<td>95.7 ± 22*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Genistein induced uterine wet weight increase in OVX Sprague-Dawley rats. Animals were administered with vehicle control, genistein, kaempferol and genistein+kaempferol for 8 days via oral gavage. Data are uterine wet weights (mg) 24 h after last treatment (n = 8 per group). Mean ± SEM ($^p < 0.05$) as determined by one-way ANOVA test.

Kaempferol inhibits uterine epithelial cell proliferation

P$_4$ inhibits ER-mediated proliferation in the uterine luminal epithelium, while also preparing the uterine stroma to respond to E$_2$ by inducing stromal proliferation [39-41]. Therefore, the effect of kaempferol, genistein, and the combination on proliferation of rat uterine epithelial cells was investigated. Ki67 staining was utilized to quantify proliferation. Genistein significantly increased luminal epithelial proliferation as compared to control (Figure 2A).

These results are in agreement with previously conducted studies [14]. Kaempferol alone did not increase luminal epithelial proliferation. Importantly, kaempferol when given in combination with genistein decreased proliferation of the uterine luminal epithelial as compared to genistein alone (Figure 2A and 2B). Due to minimal proliferation in the stroma, a semi-quantitative assessment method was used to investigate Ki67 expression. Co-administration of kaempferol and genistein stimulated proliferation of uterine stromal cells when compared to the individual treatments and vehicle control (Figure 2C). These changes in luminal and stromal proliferation from co-administration of kaempferol and genistein are consistent with similar studies which assessed actions of P$_4$ in the presence of E$_2$ [11,42].

Kaempferol induces Areg mRNA expression and Hand2 protein levels in the uterus

The anti-proliferative action of P$_4$ in the uterine epithelial cells is mediated by Hand2 induction [4,32]. Immunohistochemistry and qPCR analyses were used to investigate if Hand2 induction correlated with the anti-proliferative effects of kaempferol.

As predicted, an increase in Hand2 expression was observed in uterine stromal cells after kaempferol treatment (Figure 3A). Hand2 protein expression was not induced by genistein, and a slight increase was observed in rats treated with both genistein and kaempferol (Figure 3A). Hand2 mRNA changes were not observed in any of the treated animals (Figure 3B). The observed discrepancy between Hand2 protein and mRNA expression is likely based on the technique, as immunohistochemistry allows for analysis of specific uterine cell types, whereas the mRNA analyzed was a heterogeneous mixture of all uterine cell types.

Amphiregulin (Areg) is a secreted protein that is induced by P$_4$ in the uterus [43]. Kaempferol treatment significantly induced (5-fold)
Areg mRNA compared to vehicle treated animals, suggesting that it can function to increase PR-regulated targets in vivo (Figure 3C). Genistein blocked kaempferol-induced Areg expression, consistent with the antagonistic effects of E2 on P4-mediated induction of Areg [43]. Since Areg is a secreted protein, it was not investigated via immunohistochemistry [43].

Genistein and kaempferol treatment modulated uterine PR and ERα protein and mRNA expression

Steroid receptor mRNA and protein levels can be influenced by several physiological factors, including exposure to E2 and P4 [44]. To investigate the effects of genistein and kaempferol on steroid receptor expression in the uterus (the myometrium, endometrial stroma, luminal and glandular epithelium), mRNA levels of ER and PRA were measured. To establish cell type specific PR and ER regulation of steroid receptor expression, protein levels were compared using immunohistochemistry.

ERα bound to E2 triggers its proteasome-dependent protein degradation [4,45]. In order to study ERα regulation, first qPCR for the receptor was performed. ERα mRNA levels in whole uterus were not affected by genistein treatment, but was significantly induced by kaempferol and kaempferol combined with genistein (Figure 4A). To investigate ERα protein expression in the different uterine cell types, immunohistochemical analyses were performed. As expected, genistein downregulated ERα protein expression (Figure 4B).

Interestingly, the induction of ERα mRNA in animals treated with kaempferol alone and in combination group (genistein and kaempferol) was significant and paralleled protein levels (Figure 4A). The lack of uterine weight gain and ERα expression in kaempferol-
treated rats confirmed that kaempferol did not function as an estrogenic compound.

PR is an ER-regulated target [44]. Genistein treatment significantly increased PR mRNA and protein expression, confirming that genistein acts as a phytoestrogen in rat uteri [46]. Interestingly, kaempferol and kaempferol combined with genistein also significantly upregulated PR mRNA (Figure 4A). PR immunostaining in the vehicle-treated rat uteri was intense and localized to the nucleus throughout the luminal and glandular epithelial cells, but exposure to genistein and kaempferol increased PR expression in the stroma (Figure 4C). Therefore, kaempferol blocked genistein-induced proliferation and induced expression of PR target genes (Areg mRNA and Hand2), which is consistent with kaempferol functioning as a progestin. However, kaempferol acted without stimulating the degradation and loss of PR protein or mRNA expression, which typically occurs when PR binds a ligand (Figure 4C).

Kaempferol, E2 and genistein regulation of PR expression in human endometrial stromal cells (HESC)

Upon P4 binding, PR is targeted for proteasomal degradation, which could be partially responsible for the resistance to progestin therapy observed in endometriotic patients consuming progestins chronically [47].

Kaempferol does not induce ERE-luciferase in HESCs

Enhanced PR protein expression in the uterine stroma from kaempferol treatment in vivo could be due to activation of ER, which in turn transcriptionally induces PR [44]. Although kaempferol was previously reported to function as an ER modulator in HeLa cells, rat primary osteoblasts and human breast cancer MCF-7 cells in a concentration range of 10-70 μM [48-52], the ability of kaempferol to activate the ER in HESCs has not been reported. Therefore, HESCs were treated with a vehicle control, genistein, kaempferol, and genistein combined with kaempferol and ER activation was monitored by ERE-luciferase transcription (Figure 6). E2 and genistein, but not kaempferol significantly induced ERE-luciferase expression in HESCs (Figure 6). In agreement with PR protein expression (Figure 5), genistein (5 μM) was as active as E2 at inducing ERE-luciferase transcription (Figure 6). The ER antagonist desmethylarzoxifen (DMA) (100 nM) significantly inhibited E2-induced signaling suggesting that these activities are mediated through ER. Despite increased PR protein expression after kaempferol treatment, ERE transcription was not significantly activated (Figure 6).

Kaempferol does not induce PSA-luciferase in MDA-MB-231 cells

MPA is one of the most commonly used synthetic progestins [8,53]. Although MPA signals through PR, it also activates other nuclear receptors such as AR, thereby increasing side effects and the risk of breast cancer and cardiovascular disorders [8,54,55]. Thus, it was important to evaluate if kaempferol also activates AR signaling. As PRE and ARE have similar consensus sequences, it is difficult to accurately determine androgen-specific activity when both PR and AR are expressed in a cell [34,56]. Therefore, in this experiment, MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells, which express AR but not PR, were used. Prostate specific antigen (PSA) is an AR-regulated gene and its proximal promoter is highly responsive to androgens [56,57]. Thus, PSA-luciferase activity was measured in MDA-MB-231 cells to monitor AR activation. As expected, MPA activated PSA-luciferase in MDA-MB-231 cells, verifying that MPA stimulates AR-mediated transcription (Figure 7). In the presence of RU486 (1 μM), an AR antagonist, MPA-induced AR signaling was completely inhibited, further demonstrating MPA activation of AR-mediated transcription (Figure 7). Although there was a trend for increased activation with kaempferol, this was not statistically significant.

![Figure 7: PSA-luciferase induction in MDA-MB-231 cells. MDA-MB-231 cells were transiently transfected with PSA-luciferase and treated with pure compounds kaempferol (20 μM), P4 (1 μM), and MPA (1 μM) with and without AR antagonist RU486 (1 μM) for 48 hours. MPA activates PSA-luciferase and can be antagonized by RU486. Kaempferol and P4 did not significantly activate AR signaling. Data represent mean fold change ± SEM of relative light units normalized to β-gal in triplicate experiments. (a) indicates significant luciferase induction compared to basal DMSO; (b) indicates significant reduction of luciferase induction by RU486 as determined by Student’s t-Test, *p < 0.05.](image)

Discussion

Many studies have provided evidence that kaempferol may function as progestin, including (i) activation of PR signaling in vitro [13], (ii) antagonistic effects when a potent PR agonist is present [13], (iii) similar anti-inflammatory mechanisms when compared to P4 [18,20] and (iv) protection against ovarian cancer [22,58,59]. To date, there are no reports regarding the progestogenic effects of kaempferol in vivo. Therefore, this study investigated the effects of kaempferol on P4 signaling in the uteri of OVVX Sprague-Dawley rats and steroid receptor activation in vitro. In this study, the kaempferol treatment of cultured cells and animals were within the range used in previous studies (10-70 μM and 1-100 mg/kg, respectively) [3,13,18,60,61]. These findings, together with previous data, collectively suggest that kaempferol may have the potential to provide progestogenic biological activity in vivo, particularly in the uterus.

Computational analysis demonstrated that kaempferol adopts binding poses, which closely mimic the binding conformation and the interactions commonly observed between the LBD of PR and established ligands. The hydrophobic and hydrogen bond interactions of kaempferol are highly analogous to those of the steroid scaffold of P4. In addition to the interactions expected for the steroid-based backbone of MPA, it gains additional interactions with the binding site through its ester-linked appendage, which may be associated with its agonistic effects. Molecular modeling data are consistent with previous in vitro binding analysis performed with kaempferol and the PR ligand binding domain [13]. Future investigations using additional molecular modeling techniques to study the recruitment of coregulatory proteins are warranted to elucidate the molecular mechanisms of kaempferol as a selective progestosterone receptor modulator.

One well-established function of P4 is the inhibition of E2-induced uterine cell proliferation [1,11]. As a result, progestins are used therapeutically to reduce the proliferation of E2-dependent endometrial cancers and in endometriosis [62]. Kaempferol reduced genistein-induced proliferation in luminal epithelial cells, while preparing the uterine stroma to respond to genistein, leading to stromal cell proliferation. Hand2 mediates the anti-proliferative effects of P4 in the uterus [32]. Although kaempferol treatment stimulated Hand2 protein expression in the uterine stroma, there was no change in Hand2 mRNA expression. Hand2 mRNA and protein expression in kaempferol treated uteri likely do not correlate completely because Hand2 is expressed in a discrete area within the uterine stroma, easily detectable by immunohistochemistry, but constituting only a small portion of the total uterine mRNA. Previous in vivo studies have reported that P4 treatment completely abolished E2-induced proliferation in the uterine epithelium [39,40]. Although kaempferol significantly reduced genistein-mediated proliferation in the uterus, it did not completely eliminate proliferation. However, it is important to note that the differences between P4 and kaempferol could be due to different routes of treatment administration (oral vs. subcutaneous), potency, and duration. P4 is poorly orally bioavailable driving the administration of synthetic progestins, like MPA. Since genistein and kaempferol are biologically active in the uterus after oral administration, botanicals (or combination therapies) containing both estrogenic and progestogenic compounds might provide the desired benefits for mitigating menopausal symptoms while also preventing E2-induced uterine hyperplasia.

Reduced PR protein following progestin administration occurs in P4 responsive cell types, and may be used to study progestogenic action within a target tissue [13,63,64]. Unexpectedly, the uteri of kaempferol-treated rats maintained expression of PR in vivo and in HESCs. This finding was especially intriguing because PR induction is linked to estrogenic signaling [32,35,66], which was not observed with kaempferol treatment, in vivo or in vitro as demonstrated by no change in ERE-luciferase expression and a lack of increased uterine weight. While kaempferol has been described as a partial ER agonist in human breast cancer cells and cervical cells [49,50], multiple studies investigating the estrogenic actions of kaempferol in vivo detected no uterotrophic estrogenic effects [37,60], which is further corroborated in this study. Progestin therapy resistance occurs in some populations...
of patients with endometrial cancer and endometriosis due to reduced or loss of PR protein expression after prolonged treatment [51,67,68]. Endometrial cancer is the most common gynecological malignancy in the United States and the fifth most common cancer among women in the world [47,53,69]. The anti-proliferative effects of kaempferol, without simultaneously downregulating PR expression raises an interesting possibility that a novel therapeutic approach could be attempted using kaempferol as an alternative for longer-term management of endometriotic symptoms.

The mechanism of progesterin action is complex and may exert effects other than those traditionally expected from progestogenic activity [47,70]. Progestins currently available for prescription, such as MPA, interact with other steroid receptors, including the AR, mineralocorticoid receptor (MR) and glucocorticoid receptor (GR) [8,71]. It has been proposed that AR, GR and MR activity enhance the proliferation of mammary epithelial cells, increasing breast cancer risk [8,72,73]. The androgenic nature of MPA has been suggested to be one mechanism through which it may give rise to blot clots, heart attacks and hypertension [6]. Therefore, an ideal progesterin would be devoid of non-specific GR, MR, and AR activity [8,53,74]. Contrary to MPA, kaempferol did not significantly activate AR in vitro. However, further studies are needed to confirm kaempferol’s action on GR and MR.

Taken together, the results from this study demonstrate that kaempferol functions as a progesterin in vivo to mediate anti-proliferative effects of genistein in the uterus and modulate steroid receptor expression, without activating AR and ER signaling. The notion that phytoestrogens can be identified provides a new type of endocrine modulator, which could interact with and change endocrine signaling. Endocrine disruption is a critical issue as women are already consuming botanical-based therapies for a variety of conditions, such as infertility, menopause, and premenstrual symptoms [9,75]. Identification and characterization of progesterone-like molecules from natural sources might allow for informed decisions regarding their use as part of complicated multi-botanical formulations or as an alternative to current progesterin therapies.
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