Identifying the key obstacle in photocatalytic oxygen evolution on rutile TiO2


Published in:
Nature Catalysis

Document Version:
Peer reviewed version

Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal:
Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal

Publisher rights
© 2019 Springer Nature Publishing AG.
This work is made available online in accordance with the publisher’s policies. Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

General rights
Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Queen's University Belfast Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy
The Research Portal is Queen's institutional repository that provides access to Queen's research output. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person’s rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact openaccess@qub.ac.uk.
Identifying the Key Obstacle in Photocatalytic Oxygen Evolution on Rutile TiO$_2$

Dong Wang,† Tian Sheng,† Jianfu Chen, Hai-Feng Wang,* P. Hu

1Key Lab of Advanced Materials, Center for Computational Chemistry and Research Institute of Industrial Catalysis, East China University of Science and Technology, China

2School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Queen’s University Belfast, UK

* Corresponding authors: p.hu@qub.ac.uk; hfwang@ecust.edu.cn
† These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract
As the bottleneck in photocatalytic water splitting, the oxygen evolution reaction (OER) has drawn huge attention, but its efficiency still falls short of expectations. A widely accepted speculation is that the catalytic activity of catalysts is insufficient (high reaction barriers). Herein, we develop a first-principles method to investigate the photocatalytic OER at the water/TiO$_2$(110) interface. A full mechanism uncovering the importance of radicals is determined. Kinetic analysis further enables us to quantitatively estimate each possible obstacle in the process. We demonstrate unambiguously that the rate-determining factor of OER varies with the concentration of surface-reaching photoholes ($C_{h^+}$). Under experimental conditions, the intrinsic catalytic activity of TiO$_2$(110) does not represent the major obstacle, but all steps involving photoholes are slow due to their low concentrations. It suggests that the key to enhance the OER efficiency at the current stage (before approaching the estimated threshold $C_{h^+} = \sim 10^{-4}$) is to increase $C_{h^+}$.

Introduction
As an environmentally friendly approach to generate renewable energy directly from the sun, potentially achieving the sustainable energy development, photocatalytic water splitting ($H_2O \xrightarrow{h^+} \frac{1}{2}O_2 + H_2$) has drawn huge attention in chemistry$^{1,2}$. Overall, this process consists of the hydrogen evolution reaction ($H^+ + e^- \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}H_2$) and the oxygen evolution reaction with photoholes $h^+$ involved (OER: $2H_2O + 4h^+ \rightarrow O_2 + 4H^+$) (Fig. 1a), among which the OER is known to be the bottleneck in photocatalytic water splitting, hindering the overall process$^3$. Therefore, huge efforts
have been made to increase the efficiency of OER on a variety of catalysts, especially on TiO$_2$ which is perhaps one of the most important materials in photocatalysis due to its natural abundance, cheap price, non-toxicity and superior photostability$^{2,3}$.

Amongst different extensively studied topics, the reaction mechanism of OER has been the main focus both experimentally and theoretically. It is anticipated that further insight into the mechanism can provide a guidance to rationally improve the catalytic efficiency. However, such studies fell short on their promises due to the complicated nature of OER and the limitations of approaches used. Based on the experimental work, mainly two mechanisms were suggested. It has long been speculated that O$_2$ is produced from H$_2$O$_2$ by coupling two surface ·OH radicals$^4$. But later spectroscopy results excluded the generation of H$_2$O$_2$ in OER$^{5,6}$. Subsequently, a nucleophilic attack mechanism was proposed, suggesting that the O-O bond is formed by the involvement of lattice O$^{5,7,8}$. Theoretically, few studies were reported on the overall OER at the liquid/solid interface due to the difficulties in simulating surface radicals (trapped holes on surface) and aqueous environment. Most works were limited on the initial water dissociation step$^{9,10}$ with few exploratory studies focused on the thermodynamics of the process$^{11-13}$. It is clear that due to the limitations in both experimental and theoretical approaches, the mechanism of OER remains elusive.

In addition to the mechanism, extensive investigations have also been carried out on a variety of other issues, such as the photocatalyst preparation$^{1,2}$, the band structure modification (e.g. ion doping$^{14-16}$), increasing the efficiency of photo-induced charge separation (e.g. phase junction$^{17-19}$) and lowering the reaction barriers (e.g. the water dissociation barrier$^{20,21}$). It is clear that there is still a lack of understanding on the main obstacle in OER and therefore it is not surprising that the overall efficiency of OER is still very unsatisfactory. Obviously, the following fundamental questions need to be answered in order to make a breakthrough: Firstly, what is the favored reaction mechanism of photocatalytic OER? Secondly, which factor determines the overall efficiency? Thirdly, how can we further improve the efficiency?

In this work, we choose the most stable rutile TiO$_2$(110) surface as the model photocatalyst (Fig. 1a), considering that the rutile phase is generally good for water oxidation$^{22,23}$ compared to the anatase phase$^{24,25}$. A systematic computational investigation into the photocatalytic OER mechanism at the water/TiO$_2$(110) interface is carried out, using extensive first-principles molecular dynamics (MD) simulations. A comprehensive picture of the whole chemical process, consisting of H$_2$O dissociation, the formation of active radical species, and O-O coupling reaction, is obtained. Based on the completed reaction mechanism and detailed microkinetic analyses, we demonstrate that under experimental conditions the intrinsic catalytic activity of TiO$_2$ is not the rate-determining factor that limits the overall efficiency of OER. It is shown clearly that the low concentration of surface-reaching holes is the main obstacle in the system.

**Results**

**Development of the MPA-MD approach.** Theoretically, it is extremely difficult to calculate the OER since one has to overcome two major issues. The first one is to
correctly locate the photo-induced surface radicals, which is a prerequisite to test a variety of pathways in OER. As pointed out by Cheng and Sprik, the error of the commonly used PBE functional for calculating radicals can be as large as 0.6 eV, but the problem can be solved by using hybrid functional calculations, such as HSE06\textsuperscript{26}. However, HSE06 functional is too time-consuming for the OER systems, particularly in the presence of the liquid phase. In our previous work\textsuperscript{27,28}, we showed that DFT+U can yield similar structures as the HSE06 functional with reasonable energies (Supplementary Fig. 1 and Note 1). In this work, we first used DFT+U method to run MD simulations as well as the structure optimization of each MD snapshot, and then all the selected samples from each MD simulation were further optimized/checked by the HSE06 functional. On using this technique, almost insurmountable hybrid functional calculations in this project could be carried out with a reasonable time scale; without this approach, it is perhaps impossible to test a variety of OER pathways as we did in the current work.

The second issue is to reliably calculate the reactions occurring at the liquid/solid interface. The explicit involvement of water environment is known to be important on the reaction energetics\textsuperscript{29,30}, which is also a prerequisite to investigate the proton transfer process commonly occurred at the liquid/solid interface. But there is no robust method for such systems using density functional theory (DFT) approaches. To calculate the OER reactions with good accuracy at the water/TiO\textsubscript{2} interface (Fig. 1a), we developed a general method, the so-called multi-point averaging molecular dynamics (MPA-MD): Firstly, we performed the normal MD calculations (~9 ps; Methods) of each intermediate state (IMS; including transition states) on TiO\textsubscript{2} (110) with the aqueous network above the surface. Secondly, in the MD simulation of each IMS, we selected a structure every 0.2 ps from the stabilized MD simulations (small fluctuation in energy after long simulations) and further optimized it to obtain the total energy of each structure ($E_{\text{tot}}$) at the HSE06 level. For each IMS, around 15 samples from the late part of each overall MD simulation (~3 ps) were obtained. Considering that different solution configuration of water network affects $E_{\text{tot}}$, we deducted the contribution of water solution in $E_{\text{tot}}$ but keep the solvation effect into consideration for each sample as follows: (i) calculate the total energy of water solution ($E_{\text{water}}$) with exactly the same structure as in the optimized samples at the HSE06 level; (ii) deduct $E_{\text{water}}$ from $E_{\text{tot}}$ to obtain the solvation-included energy. Finally, we averaged the obtained solvation-included energies of all the samples in each IMS (more details on the MPA-MD method are provided in Supplementary Note 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reactions in Solutions</th>
<th>$E_{\text{tot}}^1$/eV</th>
<th>$E_{\text{tot}}^2$/eV (^a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pt(NH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} + H\textsubscript{2}O $\rightarrow$ Pt(NH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}Cl(H\textsubscript{2}O)$^+$ + Cl$^-$</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.75(exp. 0.84-1.07)\textsuperscript{31}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na\textsubscript{n}Cl\textsubscript{n} $\rightarrow$ Na\textsubscript{n}Cl(n-1)$^+$ + Cl$^-$</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.11\textsuperscript{32}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O\textsubscript{2} $\rightarrow$ 2O$^*$ on Pt(111)</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O\textsubscript{2} + H$^<em>$ $\rightarrow$ OOH$^</em>$ on Pt(111)</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H\textsubscript{2}O$^*$ $\rightarrow$ OH$^-$ + H$^+$ on TiO\textsubscript{2} rutile (110)</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Reaction barriers are computed using two methods, a our MPA-MD method and b the constrained MD method, in the presence of water solvents.

This method allows us to calculate all the elementary steps in the presence of liquid phase with good accuracy. Thorough tests on the reaction energetics including barriers were carried out to verify the reliability of our approach in dealing with aqueous systems. Five kinds of aqueous reactions were compared between our approach and the state-of-the-art constrained MD methods (Table 1; Methods), which, however, would be too time-consuming to use the constrained MD approach for the water/TiO₂(110) systems. As shown in Table 1, in all the cases our MPA-MD method gives very similar results to those from the constrained MD method. In particular, the comparable results of water dissociation (0.51 vs. 0.54 eV) as well as the good accuracy in estimating the point of zero charge (PZC; Supplementary Note 4) of rutile (110) surface (5.83 vs. exp. 5.5–4.833) further manifest the feasibility of our method in investigating the OER mechanism at the aqueous/TiO₂(110) interface. We should point out that without our novel approach it is impossible to investigate the OER mechanism in such details as we did using first principles calculations.

**Fig. 1 | Schematic illustration of the photocatalytic OER at the water/TiO₂ interface.** a, The general picture of OER. A four-Ti-layer $p(1\times4)$ periodical slab with 26 H₂O above the surface is used. Ti sites and bridge O are labeled and calculated densities of O and H in the water phase are shown in blue and black curves, respectively. b,c, Possible pathways of water dissociation (b) and OO coupling (c) among surface radicals (highlighted in yellow): (1) coupling between O$_{\text{t}}$ and O$_{\text{t}}$; (2) ·OH$_{\text{t}}$ and O$_{\text{t}}$; (3) ·OH$_{\text{t}}$ and ·OH$_{\text{t}}$; (4) ·OH$_{\text{t}}$ and O$_{\text{br}}$; (5) O$_{\text{br}}$ and O$_{\text{br}}$; (6) O$_{\text{t}}$ and O$_{\text{br}}$. Grey: Ti; red: O; white: H. This colour notation is used throughout the paper.

**The OER mechanism.** The whole mechanism of OER can be broadly divided into two parts: The first part is the water dissociation and the formation of surface species, and the second one is the oxygen-oxygen bond formation, yielding O$_2$ (i.e. OO
coupling among surface species).

**Fig. 2 | Energy profiles of possible water dissociation pathways.** Three pathways are considered under aqueous surrounding at the HSE06 level, deprotonation to a nearby O₉⁺ first and then into solution (black), deprotonation into solution directly (red), and deprotonation into solution with the help of a hole (blue). The TS structures are inserted with surface radicals highlighted in yellow and other related structures are shown in Supplementary Fig. 4. Length unit: Å.

**Water dissociation.** We first calculated the dissociation of H₂O adsorbed on the surface (H₂O₉⁺) with and also without the participation of photoholes h⁺. Three possible pathways were examined as shown in Fig. 1b and Fig. 2: (i) H₂O₉⁺ donates a H to a nearby bridge oxygen O₉⁻ (black curve in Fig. 2), forming a terminal hydroxyl OH⁻ and an O₂H⁻; which is the widely suggested mechanism¹⁰,³⁴; (ii) H₂O₉⁺ releases a proton directly into solution (red curve), yielding an OH⁻ and a proton in water H⁺(sol); and (iii) H₂O₉⁺ deprotonates (loses a proton) in the presence of h⁺ (blue curve), producing an ‘OH⁻ radical and a H⁺(sol).

It was found that in the presence of liquid water (Fig. 2 and Supplementary Table 1), pathway (i) is in fact not favored, being endothermic by 0.36 eV with a high barrier of 0.97 eV (TS9 in Fig. 2). Instead, pathway (ii) is more favored; H₂O₉⁺ can deprotonate directly into solution through a Grotthuss-type proton transfer with a barrier of 0.51 eV and an enthalpy change (ΔH) of 0.11 eV at neutral pH. At the transition state (TS), the detaching H⁺ is bounded by water molecules, forming a Zundel-like (H₃O₂⁺) structure (TS1 in Fig. 2). Then after a series of catch-and-abandon like proton transfer across the Grotthuss chain, it forms a stable H₅O₂⁺ species in the bulk water and leaves an OH⁻ on the surface. Interestingly, in pathway (iii) the participation of h⁺ can further lower the deprotonation barrier to 0.39 eV, and the reaction also becomes exothermic (ΔH= -0.08 eV, pH=7). At the TS, the h⁺ is found to be shared by the OH group and a nearby O₉c (TS8 in Fig. 2 and Supplementary Fig. 5), which could facilitate the water deprotonation by weakening the ‘OH-H⁺ bond and stabilizing the OH adsorption structure²⁷. Although pathway (iii) appears to be the most favored one, the concentration of surface-reaching holes (C₄h⁺; ignoring the surface recombination) is so low in TiO₂ (C₄h⁺≈10⁻⁹ ML)³⁶ that the kinetics of this concerted-like water dissociation with h⁺ involved (H₂O + h⁺ → ‘OH⁻ + H⁺(sol)) is in fact less favored (Supplementary Note 3). Our kinetic modelling shows that it is about three orders of magnitude slower than the direct deprotonation
(i.e. pathway (ii)) followed by a hole transfer process, being consistent with the results of Chen et al. on anatase TiO$_2$.

**Surface Radicals.** After identifying the favored pathway of water dissociation yielding OH$_r^-$, we investigated the formation of surface radicals (Methods), e.g. ·OH$_r$ and O$_{br}^-$ (Fig. 4d), which are the key species in photocatalytic OER. It was found that the hole trapping at OH$_r^-$ (OH$_r^-$ + h$^+$ → ·OH$_r$) is 0.24 eV more favourable than that observed for O$_{br}^-$ (O$_{br}^{2-}$ + h$^+$ → O$_{br}^-$). Additionally, compared to the situation in the gas phase$^{27}$, it is worth noting that the absolute values of the hole trapping capacity of surface hole traps (e.g. OH$_r^-$ and O$_{br}^{2-}$) are remarkably reduced by ~0.6 eV in the presence of liquid water. This indicates that those surface hole traps are stabilized by the surrounding water so much that they are much less prone to trap h$^+$. Furthermore, it is interesting to note that once the ·OH$_r$ radical is formed, it can readily transform into O$_r^-$ radical on the surface via detaching a H$^+$ into the solution$^{13,37}$ with a barrier as low as 0.41 eV at neutral pH (TS2 in Fig. 4a and Supplementary Fig. 5). The existence of O$_r^-$ radical is made evident in our calculated spin charge density (Fig. 4d) and the easiness of the O$_r^-$ formation from ·OH$_r$ radical is in accordance with the experimental results$^{38}$.

![Fig. 3](image)

**OO Coupling.** Regarding the second part of the mechanism, i.e. the coupling of the surface species, all the possible couplings were considered in the current work. We found that all the non-radical species (OH$_r^-$ or O$_{br}^{2-}$) are inert for coupling with each other, even including even one non-radical coupling with one radical, due to the saturation of valence electrons. For example, the coupling barriers ($E_a^{\text{coup}}$) of O$_r^-$/O$_{br}^{2-}$ (short for coupling between O$_r^-$ and O$_{br}^{2-}$), ·OH$_r$/O$_{br}^{2-}$, and O$_r^-$/OH$_r^-$ are all higher than 1.5 eV with large endothermic reaction energies of 2.55, 1.74, 1.35 eV, respectively. Thus, the only promising OO couplings reside in the pairing among surface radicals (·OH$_r$, O$_r^-$ and O$_{br}^-$), corresponding to total 6 possibilities: (i) O$_r^-$/O$_r^-$; (ii) ·OH$_r$/O$_r^-$; (iii) ·OH$_r$/O$_r^-$; (iv) ·OH$_r$/O$_{br}^-$; (v) O$_{br}^-$/O$_{br}^-$ and (vi) O$_r^-$/O$_{br}^-$, as shown in Fig. 1c.

Our DFT calculations show that the O$_{br}^-$/O$_{br}^-$ pathway is not likely, owing to the infeasible thermodynamics in producing two neighboring O$_{br}^-$ radicals. Also, neither
does the O\textsuperscript{2−}/O\textsubscript{br}− pathway due to the relatively long distance at the TS between O\textsuperscript{2−} and O\textsubscript{br}− with a large $E_a^{\text{coup}}$ of $\sim$1.0 eV. For the ·OH\textsubscript{t}/·OH\textsubscript{t} pathway previously proposed\textsuperscript{4}, our calculations show that the $E_a^{\text{coup}}$ is 0.66 eV, which is higher than the deprotonation barrier of ·OH\textsubscript{t} radical (0.41 eV). In other words, ·OH\textsubscript{t} is more inclined to deprotonate into O\textsuperscript{−} radical rather than to couple with another adjacent ·OH\textsubscript{t} radical. The remaining three possible pathways are compared in Fig. 3 and Supplementary Table 2. One can see that the ·OH\textsubscript{t}/O\textsuperscript{2−} pathway is not easy because of a high $E_a^{\text{coup}}$ of 0.71 eV (TS10 in Fig. 3), while the remaining two pathways (O\textsuperscript{2−}/O\textsuperscript{2−} and OH\textsubscript{t}/O\textsubscript{br}−) are both favored with the barriers of $\sim$0.3 eV (TS3, TS4 in Fig. 3). The necessity of radicals (Supplementary Fig. 5) in the O-O bond formation from our work displays strongly the indispensable role of radicals in photo-catalytic OER. In addition, the OO coupling is generally more difficult to occur at the liquid/solid interface, compared to the case without liquid water where all the barriers are below 0.15 eV (Supplementary Table 2), demonstrating the constraint effect of H-bond network on the coupling reactions.

![Proposed mechanism (dual pathways) and energy profiles for photocatalytic OER.](image)

**Fig. 4** | Proposed mechanism (dual pathways) and energy profiles for photocatalytic OER. **a**, One of the dual pathways (pathway I) occurring on the Ti-row. **b**, The other pathway (pathway II) involving bridge oxygen. Inserts (circles) correspond to the TS structures, and all the detailed information of the structures is shown in Supplementary Fig. 4 and 5. **c**, The energy profiles of pathways I and II, in which states 1, 2, …15 correspond to the states in (a) and (b). The elementary steps involving holes are labeled by $h^\cdot$. **d**, The existence of three key surface radicals in the process. They are illustrated by the spin density plots (dumbbell-shaped O-2p orbital; iso-value of 0.005) and the Bader charge difference ($\sim$0.6 |e| means that it is positively charged.
The general picture of OER. Combining all the elementary steps calculated, an OER mechanism with dual pathways can be obtained as shown in Fig. 4: one of the dual pathways occurs on Ti-row sites (the blue curve, named as pathway I) and the other involves bridged oxygen (red curve; pathway II). In pathway I (Fig. 4a), it starts from the deprotonation of an adsorbed water to generate an OH•, followed by the trapping of a h⁺ to form a \( \cdot \text{OH}_1 \) on the surface. Then, the \( \cdot \text{OH}_1 \) deprotonates readily, yielding a \( \text{O}_2 \) radical. After coupling with another \( \text{O}_2 \) nearby, two successive h⁺ can oxidize the as-formed \( (\text{O}_2\cdot\text{O}_2)^2^- \) into an \( \text{O}_2 \). On the other hand, in pathway II (Fig. 4b) the \( \cdot \text{OH}_1 \) radical may couple with an \( \text{O}_\text{br}^- \) directly instead of deprotonation, and thus the lattice oxygen is involved in the OER. Then after a sequence of h⁺ trapping and deprotonation, the \( \text{O}_2 \) molecule is generated and readily released, leaving an ionized oxygen vacancy (\( \text{O}_{\text{vac}}^{2+} \)) on the surface. The generated \( \text{O}_{\text{vac}}^{2+} \) can be easily filled by the adsorption of H\(_2\)O with two sequential deprotonation steps, recovering the surface. The following points should be noted: (i) Pathway II involves lattice oxygen and thus it may cause surface roughening, which will be discussed later; (ii) The requirement of two adjacent radicals for OO coupling and the successive oxidation of \( \text{O}_2^{2-} \) into \( \text{O}_2 \) in the OER mechanism indicate that the surface needs to accumulate some holes in order to effectively drive the multi-hole process, consistent with experimental results from rate law analysis\(^{39,40}\). In general, the sequential oxidation of \( \text{O}_2^{n^+} \) species by h⁺ (\( \text{O}_2^{2-}\rightarrow\text{O}_2^{-}\rightarrow\text{O}_2 \); Supplementary Fig. 6) is clearly accompanied by the step-by-step decrease in the bond length of O-O (\( l_{\text{O-O}} \); 1.48→1.35→1.23 Å) as well as the progressively stretched \( l_{\text{Ti-O}} \), as shown in Table 3, thus indicating the gradual detachment of an oxygen from the surface.

Kinetic analysis. Fig. 4c shows the energy profiles of these two pathways and it may appear that both pathways should be kinetically fast because of the low barriers (the highest barrier is 0.50 eV) and large energy releases (Supplementary Note 5 and 6). This is in contrast with the general consensus that the performance of TiO\(_2\) is still very low for photocatalytic OER. How can we understand this? What is the main obstacle that limits the overall efficiency of OER on TiO\(_2\)? Having obtained the complete pathways and the energetics of elementary steps in OER, we are able to carry out the kinetic analysis utilizing micro-kinetics to address these issues quantitatively\(^{42}\).

Table 2 | Reaction energetics of each elementary step for solving the kinetic modelling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction equations</th>
<th>Steps</th>
<th>( \Delta H / \text{eV} )</th>
<th>( E_a / \text{eV} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \text{H}_2\text{O(sol)} + \cdot \rightarrow \ast \text{OH}^- + \text{H}^+(\text{sol}) )</td>
<td>1 ( \rightarrow ) 2</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \ast \text{OH}^- + \text{H}^+ \rightarrow \ast \text{OH-rad} )</td>
<td>2 + h⁺ ( \rightarrow ) 3</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>( \uparrow )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \ast \text{OH-rad} \rightarrow \ast \text{O}^- + \text{H}^+(\text{sol}) )</td>
<td>3 ( \rightarrow ) 4</td>
<td>-0.54</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \ast \text{O}^- + \ast \text{O}^- \rightarrow \ast \text{O}_2^{2+} + \ast )</td>
<td>5 ( \rightarrow ) 6</td>
<td>-1.35</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \ast \text{O}_2^{2+} + \text{h}^+ \rightarrow \ast \text{O}_2 )</td>
<td>6 + h⁺ ( \rightarrow ) 7</td>
<td>-1.55</td>
<td>( \uparrow )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \ast \text{O}_2^- + \text{h}^+ \rightarrow \text{O}_2(\text{aq}) + \ast )</td>
<td>7 + h⁺ ( \rightarrow ) 1</td>
<td>-1.45</td>
<td>( \uparrow )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \ast \text{O}<em>{\text{br}}^{2+} + \text{h}^+ \rightarrow \ast \text{O}</em>{\text{br}}^- )</td>
<td>3 + h⁺ ( \rightarrow ) 9</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>( \uparrow )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We first estimated the reaction kinetics under the experimental concentration of surface-reaching holes \((C_{h^+} \approx 10^{-9} \text{ ML})\) by utilizing a steady-state microkinetic model within the framework of Transition State Theory. All the considered reactions and energies are shown in Table 2, where * represents the free site of Ti5c on the Ti-row and # represents the free site of O\(_{\text{vac}}\) on the O\(_{\text{br}}\)-row, corresponding to the elementary steps in Fig. 4c. The total coverage of all intermediate species adsorbed on each kind of surface site (Ti5c on the Ti-row or O\(_{\text{vac}}\) on the O\(_{\text{br}}\)-row) are treated as unity, respectively. The kinetic equations are solved under the condition of \(\chi_{H_2O} = 1\) (mole fraction), \(\chi_{O_2} = 10^{-7}\), \(\text{pH} = 7\), \(T = 300\text{ K}\). Differing from the oxygen reduction reaction \((\chi_{O_2(aq)} = 2.34 \times 10^{-5}\) corresponding to 1 atm \(O_2(g)\) in equilibrium with \(O_2(aq)\))\(^43\), a relatively smaller \(\chi_{O_2}\) value is used because of the extremely unsaturated situation of \(O_2\) in water caused by the slow reaction kinetics of OER (Supplementary Note 7). More importantly, we further investigated the influences of different kinetic barriers (i.e. water dissociation, OO coupling and hole diffusion) and/or \(C_{h^+}\) on the total turn-over frequency (TOF, which is a quantitative measure of the overall rate), by applying a similar approach with the method proposed by Campbell and coworkers\(^44\) (i.e. degree of rate control). For instance, when investigating the influence of water dissociation barrier and/or \(C_{h^+}\) on the total TOF, we keep the barriers of OO coupling and hole diffusion as constant, meanwhile mapping the TOF value (solve the kinetic equations at every single point) in the vertical range of \((0, 1.5)\) for the dissociation barrier at intervals of 0.01 and in the horizontal range of \((-10, 0)\) for the \(\log_{10} C_{h^+}\) at intervals of 0.1, corresponding to a mesh density of \(150 \times 100\) in the figure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>(\Delta E) (eV)</th>
<th>(\Delta G) (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(^<em>O_{h^+} + ^</em>\text{OH-rad} \rightarrow ^<em>O_{h^+}\text{OH}^</em>)</td>
<td>9 (\rightarrow) 10</td>
<td>-1.62 (\rightarrow) 0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^<em>O_{h^+}\text{OH}^</em> + h^+ \rightarrow ^*O_{h^+}\text{OH})</td>
<td>10 (\rightarrow) 11</td>
<td>-1.22 (\rightarrow) 0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^<em>O_{h^+}\text{OH} \rightarrow (^<em>O_{h^+}\text{O}^</em> + ^</em>\text{H}^*(\text{sol})))</td>
<td>11 (\rightarrow) 12</td>
<td>-0.18 (\rightarrow) 0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^<em>O_{h^+}\text{O}^</em> + h^+ \rightarrow O_2(aq) + ^*)</td>
<td>12 (\rightarrow) 13</td>
<td>-1.27 (\rightarrow) 0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{H}_2\text{O(sol)} + ^* \rightarrow ^*\text{H}_2\text{O})</td>
<td>13 (\rightarrow) 14</td>
<td>-0.91 (\rightarrow) 0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^<em>\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow ^</em>\text{OH} + ^<em>\text{H}^</em>(\text{sol}))</td>
<td>14 (\rightarrow) 15</td>
<td>-0.11 (\rightarrow) 0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^<em>\text{OH} \rightarrow ^<em>O_{h^+}\text{OH}^</em> + ^</em>\text{H}^*(\text{sol}))</td>
<td>15 (\rightarrow) 1</td>
<td>-0.25 (\rightarrow) 0.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All the results are calculated using our MPA-MD method except for the hole diffusion barrier (* taken from ref. 48; Supplementary Note 8). Rate equations are shown in Supplementary Table 3.
Fig. 5 | TOF as a function of the hole concentration from microkinetic analyses. a-c, Influence of different kinetic barriers (water dissociation (a), OO coupling (b) and hole diffusion (c)) and \( C_{h^+} \) on the total TOF (Supplementary Note 7 and 8). The trends in different regions are indicated by black arrows. The experimental concentrations of holes with calculated barriers are labeled as black circles. d, The contribution ratio between pathways I and II to the total TOF.

Our kinetic analysis results of investigating the influence of different kinetic barriers and \( C_{h^+} \) on the TOF value are shown in Fig. 5. They show that there are different regions in which the TOF is affected by (i) only \( C_{h^+} \) (region I); (ii) only the barrier (region II); (iii) neither of \( C_{h^+} \) nor barrier (region III); and (iv) both of \( C_{h^+} \) and the barrier (region IV). Several striking features are revealed in the figure. Firstly, at the experimental concentration of surface-reaching holes (\( C_{h^+} \approx 10^{-9} \) ML)\(^{36} \), the total TOF would be dramatically hindered, being five orders of magnitude lower compared to the case when photoholes are always available (\( C_{h^+} = 1 \) ML) as shown in Fig. 5d. This explains the origin of low activity observed experimentally. Secondly, there is a considerable margin where all the reaction barriers on TiO\(_2\) can be increased without reducing considerably the TOF considerably. For example, the water dissociation barrier can increase from 0.51 eV (the DFT value on TiO\(_2\)) to ~0.8 eV without affecting the TOF at \( C_{h^+} = 10^{-9} \). Similarly, the TOF is roughly constant if the OO coupling barrier is below 0.8 eV (the DFT value is only ~0.3 eV). This is a very surprising finding, which provides strong theoretical evidence to show that under the experimental conditions the inherent catalytic activity of TiO\(_2\) is adequate (i.e. the barriers are low enough) and the main obstacle that limits the overall efficiency of
OER is in fact the low concentration of surface-reaching holes. Thirdly, lowering the barrier of water dissociation can increase the TOF once \( C_{h^+} \) is higher than \( \sim 10^{-4} \), shown in Fig. 5a. In other words, to further increase the TOF one must increase the catalytic activity of TiO\(_2\) (lower the barriers), if \( C_{h^+} \) is higher than \( \sim 10^{-4} \). This suggests that \( C_{h^+} = \sim 10^{-4} \) is a critical threshold to signify whether the catalytic activity or the concentration of hole needs to be improved.

Our kinetic results also reveal that both the hole diffusion barrier (Supplementary Note 8) and \( C_{h^+} \) can influence the TOF in region IV (Fig. 5c), and the diffusion barrier threshold between region I and IV is \( \sim 0.19 \) eV. Considering that the diffusion barrier in rutile TiO\(_2\) is already so low\(^{45}\) that it would be difficult for a further decrease. The most effective way to enhance the photocatalytic efficiency is again to increase the concentration of photoholes. Another finding of the kinetic analysis is that the two pathways possess different characteristics of hole-concentration dependence: the pathway involved lattice oxygen (pathway II) tends to reduce its contribution to the total TOF as \( C_{h^+} \) is decreased, from the dominant role at \( C_{h^+} = 1 \) (\( \sim 89\% \)) to a negligible one (\( \sim 10^{-8} \)) at \( C_{h^+} = 10^{-9} \) (Fig. 5d and Supplementary Table 4 and 5). This change in reaction channels/mechanism varying with \( C_{h^+} \) was also found in other materials, such as hematite\(^{40}\). It may be worth noting that, despite remarkable progress made previously pointing out that the photoactivity is significantly affected by the hole concentration\(^{39,40,46}\), the analysis above describes quantitatively, by virtue of microkinetic analysis, the effects of each of the kinetic components on the overall efficiency and it may be extremely difficult to obtain these quantities using other approaches.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>( N_{Ti-O} )</th>
<th>( l_{Ti-O} )</th>
<th>( l_{O-O} )</th>
<th>( v_{O-O} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OH(_r^+)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>( _ )</td>
<td>( _ )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \cdot OH )</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>( _ )</td>
<td>( _ )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(_r^-)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>( _ )</td>
<td>( _ )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(O(_r-O))(_r^+)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.97;2.00</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(O(_r-O))(_r^-)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>1108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(O(_r-OH))(_r^-)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>928</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>( N_{Ti-O} )</th>
<th>( l_{Ti-O} )</th>
<th>( l_{O-O} )</th>
<th>( v_{O-O} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O(_{br}^+)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.85;1.85</td>
<td>( _ )</td>
<td>( _ )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(_{br}^-)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.06;2.06</td>
<td>( _ )</td>
<td>( _ )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(O(_{br}-OH))(_r^+)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.11;2.14</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(O(_{br}-OH))(_r^-)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>1145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(O-O(_{br}))(_r^-)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.26;2.38</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(_2) (aq)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>( _ )</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1492</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All the results are calculated in the presence of aqueous solution, including: * number of Ti-O bonds, bond length of \(^{8}\)Ti-O and \(^{1}O\)-O bonds (unit: Å), and * stretching frequency (wavenumber: cm\(^{-1}\)) of O-O bonds.

**Insights into the diverse experimental observations.** Having performed the kinetic analysis, we are now at the position to rationalize some classical but puzzling experimental observations. Firstly, it was detected that on rutile TiO\(_2\) there are three characteristic MIR-IR (multiple internal reflection infrared radiation) signals\(^5\): 838 cm\(^{-1}\), 812 cm\(^{-1}\) and 928 cm\(^{-1}\). More interestingly, a change of peak intensity under different pH was observed: when switching from acid (pH 2.4) to alkaline (pH 11.9) solutions, the peak of 812 cm\(^{-1}\) increases while the peak of 928 cm\(^{-1}\) decreases. However, what surface species are responsible for these signals and why the two peak...
intensities shift are still elusive. The current work can shed some lights on these issues: Our simulated stretching frequencies of key O-O species (Methods) are listed in Table 3, which shows that the three frequencies of 840 cm\(^{-1}\), 816 cm\(^{-1}\) and 928 cm\(^{-1}\) agree well with the experimental signals, identifying the corresponding species (i.e. (O\(_{3r}\)-O\(_{3r}\))\(^2\)-, (O\(_{br}-\)OH\(^-\)) and (O\(_{2r}-\)OH\(^-\)), respectively) for these signals. Besides, the peak intensity changes can be understood by taking the pH dependence of the concentrations of surface radicals into account. For instance, the peak growth of 812 cm\(^{-1}\) (corresponding to (O\(_{br}-\)OH\(^-\))), is attributed to the rise of O\(_{br}^-\) concentration as pH increases: Increasing the pH from 2.4 to 11.9 would enlarge the concentration of O\(_{br}^-\) which is easily oxidized by \(h^+\) to form O\(_{br}^-\), and further promote the O\(_{br}^-/\cdot\)OH\(_i\) coupling, leading to an increase of (O\(_{br}-\)OH\(^-\)). The peak intensity change of 928 cm\(^{-1}\) can be explained in a similar way.

Secondly, we can rationalize the well-known observation of a sharp decrease of photoluminescence (PL) intensity\(^8\) around pH 4: the availability of surface O\(_{br}^-\) increases as pH goes above 4.3\(^{47}\), thus facilitating the pathway involving the lattice oxygen and greatly suppressing the recombination of photo-induced carriers. As pH is further increased, all the deprotonation steps are promoted, corresponding to the further decrease of PL intensity, which even approaches to zero near pH 13. Thirdly, another experimental observation whereby the surface roughening on rutile (110) was significantly suppressed at pH 13 compared to pH 1.1\(^8\) can also be understood by our findings: O\(_{br}^-\) radical can only couple with \(\cdot\)OH\(_i\) instead of O\(_{3r}^-\), and thus the lack of \(\cdot\)OH\(_i\) in strong alkaline solution reduces the possibility of O\(_{br}^-/\cdot\)OH\(_i\) coupling, leading to the decrease in the rate of pathway II and hence a reduction of surface roughening. Similarly, our result showing that pathway II becomes favored if C\(_{br}^-\) is high can explain the experimental fact that low-intensity UV illumination causes little surface roughening on rutile (110), and it becomes more prominent with the increasing illumination intensity (from 0.04 to 50 mW/cm\(^2\))\(^7\). All these results strongly support our proposed mechanism.

**Discussion**

It is worth discussing some implications of our results in photo-catalytic water splitting: In photocatalytic OER, the radicals are perhaps the most important intermediates; however, in which manner they play this essential role has remained elusive. This fundamental question can be answered as follows. Firstly, radicals can reduce the Ti-O bonding strength in accordance with the stretched \(l_{Ti-O}\) of radicals as shown in Table 3, and thus could facilitate the desorption of O\(_2\). For instance, the oxygen vacancy formation energy of O\(_{br}^-\) (the normal bridge oxygen) and O\(_{br}^-\) (the bridge oxygen radical) were calculated to be 3.16 eV and 1.54 eV, respectively, which clearly reveals the contributions of radicals in promoting the removal of lattice oxygen. Secondly, they are irreplaceable intermediates for OO coupling where non-radical species are inert. In particular, it is worth mentioning the specific role of \(\cdot\)OH\(_i\) radical in the process. As mentioned before, the favored OO coupling on Ti-row is between two O\(_{3r}\) radicals, and hence the difficulty in producing O\(_{3r}\) largely decides the feasibility of this pathway. However, the direct deprotonation of OH\(_i\) can
hardly occur due to the fast reverse reaction with a very low barrier of 0.08 eV. Therefore, the only way is to trap a $h^+$ and form $\cdot$OH$_t$ first, followed by the deprotonation of $\cdot$OH$_t$ into the O$_t^-$ radical. In other words, the ease of deprotonation of $\cdot$OH$_t$ influences the main pathway of OER under experimental conditions. Thirdly, they are actually trapped holes on the surface, which can significantly suppress the surface electron-hole recombination and increase the lifetime of surface-reaching holes up to microseconds$^{48,49}$.

Here, we develop a first principles MPA-MD method to extensively simulate the OER in the presence of liquid water on rutile TiO$_2$(110). A complete reaction mechanism with dual pathways is identified, which allow us to join some detached experimental observations and to rationalize the experimental results. Moreover, we provide strong theoretical evidence to show that the low efficiency of OER on TiO$_2$(110) is in fact not limited by the inherent catalytic activity (reaction barriers), but by the low concentration of photoholes on TiO$_2$. Perhaps the low concentration of surface-reaching photoholes may be a general obstacle in many other materials$^{18}$. In addition, this finding also explains that rutile TiO$_2$ is a very good coating material for the photoanode in protecting the effective but unstable light-harvesting semiconductors from corrosion by virtue of its high kinetic activity, stable structure and transparent property$^{50}$. It is clear that the most efficient way to improve the performance of OER at the current stage (before approaching the estimated threshold of $C_{h+} = \sim 10^{-4}$) is to enrich the concentration of surface-reaching photoholes rather than to lower the reaction barriers of water dissociation and OO coupling. The following two strategies derived from the current work may reach this goal in addition to conventional methods; the one is to lower the hole diffusion barrier; and the other is to reduce the hole diffusion distance, i.e. achieving surface or near-surface photoexcitation.

**Methods**

**DFT calculations.** All the spin-polarized calculations were performed with Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) functional using the VASP code$^{51,52}$. The project-augmented wave (PAW) method was used to represent the core-valence electron interaction with electrons from Ti 3p, 3d, 4s; O 2s, 2p; and H 1s shells explicitly included. The valence electronic states were expanded in plane wave basis sets with energy cutoff of 450 eV, and the occupancy of the one-electron states was calculated using the Gaussian smearing with SIGMA = 0.05 eV. The ionic degrees of freedom were relaxed using the BFGS minimization scheme until the Hellman-Feynman forces on each ion were less than 0.05 eV/Å. The transition states were searched using a constrained optimization scheme, and were verified when (i) all forces on atoms vanish; and (ii) the total energy is a maximum along the reaction coordinate but a minimum with respect to the rest of the degrees of freedom. The force threshold for the TS search was 0.05 eV/Å. The dipole correction was performed throughout the calculations to take the polarization effect into account.

Regarding the calculation on the stretching frequency of O-O bonds: firstly, we
fully optimized the structures (with water solution included) until the force on each atom is less than 0.01 eV/Å. Then, we fixed all the atoms except the relevant O atoms, and calculated the stretching frequency of each O-O bond by setting IBRION = 5. It should be noted that in calculating the frequency a very small movement (POTIM = 0.001) but a very high convergence criteria (EDIFFG = 1E-6) are necessarily applied. Finally, six frequencies located in different wavenumber ranges for each structure are obtained, and only the frequency values in the experimental detection range are listed in Table 3.

**Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations.** The MD calculation was performed using a four-Ti-layer p(1×4) periodical rutile (110) slab with a ~15Å vacuum between slabs, and a corresponding 1×2×1 K-points mesh was used during optimizations. A lattice matching bulk ice (containing 26 H2O) was introduced above the surface as an initial aqueous network (with a density similar with liquid water) at the liquid-solid interface. The simulation temperature was set at 300K (experimental temperature) with a 0.5 fs movement for each step in the canonical (NVT) ensemble employing Nosé–Hoover thermostats. For the MD simulation of each IMS (~9 ps), we found that the water structures in our systems often change significantly in the first ~2 ps, and reach quasi-equilibrium around 3 ps, as indicated by the black arrow in Supplementary Fig. 2, taking the MD simulation for water/TiO2(110) interface structure as an example. Then we ran MD for a period of ~6 ps to confirm that the systems were in equilibrium. The structures of the input ice-like water and the water structure after the MD are shown as the inserts. One can see that the initial ice-like structure has perfectly repeated six-member ring structure in the water layer, but lacks of close interaction with the TiO2 surface. However, the water layer after the MD is relatively less structured with distorted six-, five-, or four-member ring structures, but the water/TiO2 interface is well constructed with enriched density of H atoms as demonstrated by the radial distribution graph in Fig. 1a.

**Constrained MD.** The constrained MD method was well established on the basis of thermodynamic integration of the free energy gradient53-55. It is a well accepted approach to calculate the free energy change (including reaction barriers). Taking the O2 dissociation reaction on Pt(111) as an example (reaction 3 in Table 1; Supplementary Fig. 3), the O-O bond distance is stretched gradually from 1.4 to 2.1 Å. For each point per 0.1 Å, we performed ab initio MD simulations at a constant temperature (T = 300 K) until the interatomic force between the two constrained atoms (i.e. O···O) were converged. All the interatomic forces along the reaction coordinate, which are the corresponding free energy gradients, can be readily obtained. Then by integrating the free energy gradients, the free energy change can be computed. In Supplementary Fig. 3, one can see that the highest point in the free energy profile is located at the O-O distance of 2.0 Å, corresponding to the transition state of O2 dissociation with the barrier of 0.39 eV.

**Localization of photoholes.** The localization of a hole on a particular O site of TiO2
can be obtained by structure optimizations using the BFGS optimization method. Initial magnetic moments on each atom are usually necessary in the input setting, although they will be optimized during the calculation. In order to ensure the electro-neutrality of the system and eliminate the possibly incorrect energy result from the background charge, trapped holes or surface radicals were simulated by introducing an OH group on the opposite surface instead of extracting electrons out of system in this study\(^{27}\). Besides, the on-site Hubbard U term (DFT+U) was added on O 2p orbitals at the value of 6.3 eV (usually ranging from 3.0 to 7.0 eV) throughout all the MD simulations to hold the spin-polarized property of trapped holes or surface radicals. We further did HSE06 corrections on all the selected samples from each MD simulation with the electronic minimization algorithm specified to the Damped method and a very soft augmentation charge (PRECFOCK = Fast).

The radical species possess distinctive features relative to their non-radical charged species, and we can distinguish them easily from the following. (i) Magnetic signals: Pure TiO\(_2\) slab has no spin-polarizing features (mag = 0) and the generation of radical species always introduces obvious magnetic signals in the spin-polarized calculation output file (mag = 1). (ii) Geometry structures: The hole localization (generation of radicals) is accompanied with the distinct elongations of Ti-O bonds by the outward movement of the lattice Ti\(^{4+}\) ions. We have discussed this aspect in our previous work systematically\(^ {27} \). (iii) Electronic structure analysis: The localization of the hole can be further confirmed by the electronic structure analysis of site-projected magnetic moments (\(-0.8 \mu_e\)) and the Bader charge difference (~0.6 |e|; positively charged with +0.6 |e| compared to the lattice O in bulk TiO\(_2\)). We have done electronic analysis on all the hole related calculations as visualized by the spin density plots (Supplementary Fig. 5).

**Data availability.** All data are available within the article (and its Supplementary Information files) and from the corresponding authors upon reasonable request.
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