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Abstract

\textbf{Purpose:} Fundoplication is frequently required for gastroesophageal reflux (GER)-related respiratory disease. Correlation between esophageal pH data and respiratory symptoms is poor but may be improved by monitoring hypopharyngeal pH. Reflux to the hypopharynx is underestimated by salivary bicarbonate. The aim of this study was to determine if hypopharyngeal pH monitoring using pH 4 and pH 5 as reflux thresholds could predict children with reflux-related respiratory disease.

\textbf{Methods:} One hundred five children aged 4 months to 12 years underwent esophageal and hypopharyngeal pH monitoring. Hypopharyngeal pH data were analyzed using pH 4 and pH 5 as reflux thresholds. pH data from 4 groups were compared: group A, control group, no GER, no respiratory symptoms (n = 20); group B, respiratory symptoms, no GER (n = 16); group C, GER, no respiratory symptoms (n = 26); and group D, both GER and respiratory symptoms (n = 37).

\textbf{Results:} Comparing groups C and D, there was no significant difference in hypopharyngeal pH data. Using pH 5 as the reflux threshold, children in group B refluxed to the hypopharynx significantly more frequently than controls. This was most evident in children with wheeze.

\textbf{Conclusion:} Hypopharyngeal pH monitoring does not differentiate children with GER and respiratory symptoms from those with GER alone and is therefore of doubtful value in diagnosing recurrent aspiration.

© 2005 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Gastroesophageal reflux (GER) is frequently associated with respiratory symptoms [1]. Respiratory symptoms may be induced by aspiration of refluxed gastric contents or by reflex vagus nerve–mediated bronchospasm or laryngospasm [2]. Primary respiratory disease can cause secondary GER [3]. Therefore, proving cause and effect is difficult.

Investigations aimed at proving the association between GER and respiratory symptoms include fluoroscopic contrast studies, technetium scintiscanning, and the detection of lipid in alveolar macrophages in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid. The specificity of the latter is reported to be as low as 57% [4], whereas contrast studies and scintigraphy have low sensitivity [5]. Prolonged intraesophageal pH monitoring is widely regarded as the gold standard for diagnosing GER. The use of pH monitoring has been extensively validated in children [6,7], and its reproducibility is ensured [8,9]. Furthermore, pediatric age-related control data are available [10]. Although
pH monitoring can provide a temporal relationship between intraesophageal acid exposure and respiratory symptoms, it cannot distinguish aspiration from reflex vagus nerve–mediated airway constriction. Prolonged measurement of hypopharyngeal pH has demonstrated extraesophageal reflux in children with a variety of upper and lower respiratory symptoms [11,12]. However, using the traditional definition of an acid reflux episode as a reduction in intraluminal pH to less than 4 may underestimate hypopharyngeal reflux owing to the neutralizing effect of salivary bicarbonate. In a small study of simultaneous esophageal and tracheal pH in adults, a reduction in tracheal pH to 5 correlated with alteration in respiratory function [13].

By recruiting patients presenting primarily with signs and symptoms suggesting GER, the aim of this study was to determine if the presence and severity of concomitant respiratory symptoms could be predicted by prolonged measurement of hypopharyngeal pH using both pH 4 and pH 5 as reflux thresholds.

1. Materials and methods

1.1. Patient sample

One hundred five patients aged 4 months to 12 years presenting with symptoms suggestive of gastroesophageal reflux disease were recruited to the study. These symptoms included recurrent vomiting or regurgitation, retrosternal or epigastric pain, excessive irritability in relation to feeds, or frequent feed refusal. A history of apnea, recurrent cough, choking, stridor, wheeze, or pneumonia was sought, and any temporal relationship between respiratory and reflux symptoms was determined. In addition, a history of developmental delay was noted.

Respiratory symptoms were graded as follows:

0 = none;
1 = infrequent (<1 episode daily), life-style unaffected;
2 = frequent (daily), life-style unaffected; and
3 = life-style affected and/or respiratory symptoms requiring hospital admission.

1.2. pH monitoring

pH monitoring was performed using 2 Synectics semi-disposable monocrystal antimony pH electrodes. The electrodes were placed under general anesthesia at the time of endoscopy, a technique previously validated by the senior author [9]. The distal electrode was passed to a distance 13% of the esophageal length above the cardioesophageal junction [14]. The proximal pH electrode was placed in the hypopharynx, under direct vision, adjacent to the laryngeal inlet. Silver/silver chloride cutaneous reference electrodes were used. pH data were recorded on a Synectics Digitrapper Mark III (Synetics Medical Ltd, Middlesex, England) and analyzed using “Esophogram” (Gastrosoft Inc, Stockholm, Sweden). The electrodes were tested before and after each recording in Synectics buffers of pH 7 and pH 1. None were discarded because of excessive drift (>0.2 pH units), but 6 studies were excluded from further analysis because reduction in hypopharyngeal pH was not associated with concomitant reduction in distal esophageal pH. The minimum duration of pH study was 18 hours. During the study, the patient was fed a bland diet every 4 hours.

Four reflux parameters were used to determine distal esophageal acid exposure, each using pH 4 as the reflux threshold:

1. percentage of time during which pH was less than 4,
2. number of reflux episodes per hour,
3. number of reflux episodes lasting longer than 5 minutes, and
4. duration of the longest reflux episode.

Eight reflux parameters were used to determine hypopharyngeal acid exposure, 4 using pH 4 as the reflux threshold and 4 using pH 5 as the reflux threshold: percentage of time during which pH was less than the reflux threshold, number of reflux episodes per hour, number of reflux episodes lasting longer than 5 minutes, and duration of the longest reflux episode.

Thirty-six patients had distal esophageal pH data within the reference range for age. The remaining 63 had abnormal data indicating significant GER.

1.3. Groups for comparison

Patients were categorized into 4 groups based on 2 factors, respiratory symptoms and the presence or absence of GER as determined by distal esophageal pH data (Table 1):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Apnea</th>
<th>Cough</th>
<th>Choking</th>
<th>Wheeze</th>
<th>Pneumonia</th>
<th>Respiratory score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Patient groups based on respiratory history and distal esophageal reflux data
Group B (n = 16): respiratory symptoms, no GER; Group C (n = 26): no respiratory symptoms, GER diagnosed; and Group D (n = 37): respiratory symptoms; GER diagnosed.

1.4. Statistical analysis

The study design was an observational 2 by 2 factorial with particular comparisons of interest between groups A and B and between groups C and D. For reasons of unequal variance, the univariate analysis was based on nonparametric Mann-Whitney U test. Logistic regression was used to analyze hypopharyngeal pH data as outcome variables, with apnea, choking, wheeze, pneumonia, and developmental delay as explanatory variables.

The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Queen’s University of Belfast. Written informed parental consent was obtained in each case.

2. Results

Distal esophageal pH data are shown in Table 2. Comparing groups A and B where distal esophageal pH data were within the reference range, there was no difference in any of the reflux parameters between patients with respiratory symptoms (group B) and those without (group A). Similarly, in the comparison of groups C and D, where distal esophageal pH data indicated GER, there was no significant difference between patients with concomitant respiratory disease (group D) and those with GER alone (group C).

Hypopharyngeal pH data using pH 4 as the reflux threshold are shown in Table 3. Group A patients (controls) refluxed on average 0.2 times per hour to the hypopharynx compared with 0.9 times per hour for those with respiratory symptoms and normal distal esophageal pH data (group B). However, this difference did not reach statistical significance (P = .08). The percentage time that hypopharyngeal pH was less than 4 was 0.3% for control group A compared with 0.8% for group B. This, too, failed to reach statistical significance (P = .35). Similarly, there was no difference in the number of reflux episodes lasting longer than 5 minutes or the longest reflux episode between groups A and B. Of the patients with GER defined by distal esophageal pH data, there was no significant difference in any of the 4 parameters of hypopharyngeal reflux using pH 4 as the reflux threshold in patients with GER alone (group C) compared with those with both GER and respiratory disease (group D).

Hypopharyngeal pH data using pH 5 as the reflux threshold are shown in Table 4. Patients in group B, those with respiratory symptoms and normal distal esophageal pH data, recorded, on average, 3.9 reflux episodes per hour compared with 0.6 episodes per hour for group A patients. This difference reached statistical significance (P = .035). The percentage of time that hypopharyngeal pH was less than 5 was 1.8% for group B compared with 0.5% for group A. This, too, reached statistical significance (P = .019). However, there was no difference in the number of reflux episodes lasting longer than 5 minutes or the longest reflux episode between groups A and B using pH 5 as the reflux threshold. Further analysis of hypopharyngeal reflux episodes per hour (using pH 5 as the reflux threshold) revealed a dichotomized U-shaped distribution with 1 or less or 2 or more reflux episodes per hour. With individual respiratory symptoms and the presence of developmental delay as explanatory variables, the dichotomy of reflux episodes per hour as the outcome variable in a logistic regression equation, using stepwise backward elimination, the only explanatory variable which correlated significantly with hypopharyngeal reflux was wheeze.

Of the patients with GER defined by distal esophageal pH data, there was no significant difference in any of the 4 parameters of hypopharyngeal reflux using pH 5 as the reflux threshold in patients with GER alone (group C)
compared with those with both GER and respiratory disease (group D).

3. Discussion

Fundoplication, which is one of the 3 most commonly performed major operations on children [15], is often performed for reflux-related respiratory disease [16]. However, correlation between the severity of GER, as determined by distal esophageal pH monitoring, and respiratory function is poor [17]. Synchronous recording of distal and proximal esophageal pH has been reported in asthmatic children [18], although this does not take into account the competence of the upper esophageal sphincter. By simultaneously recording distal esophageal and hypopharyngeal pH, a record is obtained of gastric acid reaching the laryngeal inlet. In this study, simultaneous distal esophageal and hypopharyngeal pH monitoring was used in a cohort of patients presenting primarily with reflux symptoms to correlate the presence and severity of respiratory symptoms with hypopharyngeal pH data. Using the traditional reflux threshold of pH 4, data from the hypopharyngeal electrode failed to distinguish children with respiratory symptoms from those without. In a similar study by Little et al [11], distal esophageal and hypopharyngeal pH monitoring was performed on 222 children presenting primarily with respiratory symptoms. These authors concluded that, in the presence of respiratory symptoms, any reflux to the hypopharynx was significant even when distal esophageal pH data were within the reference range. This is not borne out by the present study. Hypopharyngeal reflux appears to be a physiological event during childhood given that subjects from control group A refluxed to the hypopharynx on average of 0.2 times per hour (Table 3). In addition, there was no significant difference in hypopharyngeal data from children with respiratory symptoms (groups B and D) compared with those with GER alone (Table 3). Hypopharyngeal pH monitoring using the reflux threshold of pH 4 is of limited value in identifying children with aspiration-related respiratory disease.

To compensate for the neutralizing effect of salivary bicarbonate, hypopharyngeal data were reanalyzed using pH 5 as the reflux threshold. In the presence of a competent laryngeal inlet, repeated reflux episodes to the hypopharynx will not result in aspiration.

When the hypopharyngeal pH data of groups A and B (ie, those with normal distal esophageal pH monitoring) were analyzed using pH 5 as the reflux threshold, children with respiratory symptoms (group B) had significantly greater hypopharyngeal acid exposure, averaging 3.9 reflux episodes per hour, than those in group A who averaged 0.6 reflux episodes per hour. Using logistic regression, this increased hypopharyngeal acid exposure was found particularly in wheezing children. Whether hypopharyngeal reflux in this group of children resulted in “silent” aspiration and bronchospasm or whether the wheeze was the primary event inducing secondary reflux to the hypopharynx remains unanswered. Although, it is noteworthy that children in group B refluxed to the hypopharynx as often as those in group C who had GER but no respiratory symptoms (3.9 and 3.4 reflux episodes per hour, respectively). One explanation for this is that the presence of wheeze has induced secondary reflux to the hypopharynx, but this reflux has not been sufficiently severe to make distal esophageal pH data abnormal.

The prolonged measurement of hypopharyngeal pH in children presenting with reflux symptoms does not help identify children at risk for aspiration-related respiratory disease. The latter is more likely to be owing to host factors such as effectiveness of pharyngeal clearance or competence of the laryngeal inlet rather than the “quantity” of gastric acid refluxed to the hypopharynx. Children with respiratory symptoms, wheeze in particular, but whose distal esophageal pH data are normal may induce secondary reflux to the hypopharynx.
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