The Kepler-454 system: a small, not-rocky inner planet, a Jovian world, and a distant companion


Published in:
Astrophysical Journal

Document Version:
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal:
Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal

Publisher rights
© 2016. The American Astronomical Society. All rights reserved.

General rights
Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Queen's University Belfast Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy
The Research Portal is Queen's institutional repository that provides access to Queen's research output. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact openaccess@qub.ac.uk.
THE KEPLER-454 SYSTEM: A SMALL, NOT-ROCKY INNER PLANET, A JOVIAN WORLD, AND A DISTANT COMPANION
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ABSTRACT

Kepler-454 (KOI-273) is a relatively bright ($V = 11.69$ mag), Sun-like star that hosts a transiting planet candidate in a 10.6 day orbit. From spectroscopy, we estimate the stellar temperature to be $T_\text{eff} = 5687 \pm 130$ K, its metallicity to be $[\text{M/H}] = 0.32 \pm 0.08$, and the projected rotational velocity to be $v \sin i = 2.4 \pm 0.6$ km s$^{-1}$. We combine these values with a study of the asteroseismic frequencies from short cadence Kepler data to estimate the stellar mass to be $M_\star \approx 1.028_{-0.035}^{+0.039} M_\odot$, the radius to be $R_\star = 1.066 \pm 0.012 R_\odot$, and the age to be $3.7 \pm 1$ Gyr. We estimate the radius of the 10.6 day planet as $R_p = 2.37_{-0.13}^{+0.15} R_\oplus$. Using 63 radial velocity observations obtained with the HARPS-N spectrograph on the Telescopio Nazionale Galileo and 36 observations made with the HIRES spectrograph at the Keck Observatory, we measure the mass of this planet to be $M_p \approx 2.37 \pm 0.12 M_\oplus$. We detect another two additional non-transiting companions, a planet with a minimum mass of $M_p \approx 4.46 \pm 0.12 M_\oplus$ in a nearly circular 524 day orbit and a massive companion with a period $>10$ years and mass $>12.1 M_\oplus$. The 12 exoplanets with radii $<2.7 R_\oplus$ and precise mass measurements appear to fall into two populations, with those $<1.6 R_\oplus$ following an Earth-like composition curve and larger planets requiring a significant fraction of volatiles. With a density of $2.76 \pm 0.73$ g cm$^{-3}$, Kepler-454b lies near the mass transition between these two populations and requires the presence of volatiles and/or H/He gas.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The NASA Kepler mission has detected thousands of planet candidates with radii between 1 and 2.7 $R_\oplus$ (Borucki et al. 2011; Batalha et al. 2013; Burke et al. 2014; Rowe et al. 2015). The corresponding population of low mass planets was previously detected in radial velocity (RV) surveys (Mayor et al. 2011; Batalha et al. 2013; Burke et al. 2014; Rowe et al. 2015).
& Udry 2008; Howard et al. 2009), but notably has no analog in our own solar system. The composition of these objects is not yet well understood; theoretical models predict that some of these intermediate size planets may be predominantly rocky and others may have a large fractional composition of volatiles or a substantial hydrogen envelope (Léger et al. 2004; Valencia et al. 2006; Fortney et al. 2007; Seager et al. 2007; Zeng & Sasselov 2013). There is presently only a small number of such planets observed to transit and having published mass estimates with a precision better than 20%, while less precise mass estimates are generally not sufficient to distinguish between a rocky compositional model and one that is volatile-rich.

Dressing et al. (2015) raised the intriguing possibility that the small planets with well-measured masses of <6 $M_\oplus$ equivalent to about 1.6 $R_\oplus$, have similar compositions, well approximated by a two-component model with the same MgSiO$_3$/Fe ratio as the Earth (Zeng & Sasselov 2013). Planets larger than 2.0 $R_\oplus$ are observed to have lower densities, consistent with a significant fraction of volatiles or H/He gas and do not follow a single mass–radius relation. Due to the limited number of planets smaller than 2.7 $R_\oplus$ with precise masses, it is not yet clear how broadly applicable the iron-magnesium silicate model might be.

KOI-273 (KIC 3102384) is a moderately bright solar-like star, with $V = 11.69$ mag and $K_p = 11.46$ mag. It was observed by Kepler during Quarters 0-17, with short cadence data taken during Quarters 4, 6-12, and 15-17. It was identified by the Kepler pipeline as having a planet candidate in the first four months of long cadence data, with radius $R_p = 1.86$ $R_\oplus$ and period $P = 10.57$ days. This initial radius measurement of KOI-273.01 falls in between the two classes of planets discussed in Dressing et al. (2015) and so it can provide a test case of the Earth-composition mass–radius relation.

The stellar parameters of KOI-273 were previously determined by a combination of asteroseismology and spectroscopy in Huber et al. (2013). They reported an asteroseismically determined stellar radius $R_* = 1.081 \pm 0.019$ $R_\odot$, stellar mass $M_* = 1.069 \pm 0.048$ $M_\odot$, and surface gravity log $g = 4.399 \pm 0.012$. Additionally, Huber et al. (2013) use Stellar Parameter Classification (SPC, Buchhave et al. 2012, 2014) on spectra from Keck-HIRES (Vogt et al. 1994), the TRES spectrograph on the 1.5 m at Whipple Observatory (Furesz 2008) and the Tull spectrograph on the 2.5 m at McDonald Observatory (Tull et al. 1995), to obtain a metallicity of $[\text{Fe/H}] = 0.350 \pm 0.101$ and effective temperature $T_{\text{eff}} = 5739 \pm 75$ K. McQuillan et al. (2013) searched for the stellar rotation period of KOI-273 in the autocorrelation function of the Kepler photometry and were unable to detect it.

In this paper we measure the mass of KOI-273.01, determine a spectroscopic orbit for an additional Jovian planet and constrain the orbit of a widely separated companion, by analyzing the 2014 and 2015 seasons of HARPS-N radial velocities and several seasons of HIRES radial velocities. As this work confirms the planetary nature of KOI-273.01, we adopt the convention of referring to it as Kepler-454b, and the two more distant companions as Kepler-454c and Kepler-454d, respectively. In Sections 2 and 3, we analyze the spectroscopic and asteroseismic parameters of the star, respectively. In Section 4 we model the Kepler transit photometry. In Section 5, we discuss our RV observations and the data reduction process. In Section 6, we develop a RV model to describe the Kepler-454 system. In Section 7, we conclude with a discussion of the mass measurement of Kepler-454b in the context of the bulk densities of small planets.

### 2. SPECTROSCOPIC ANALYSIS OF STELLAR PARAMETERS

We used SPC to derive the stellar parameters of the host star from high-resolution, high signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) HARPS-N spectra, with an average S/N per resolution element of 85. More details on these observations are provided in Section 5. We ran SPC both with all parameters unconstrained and with the surface gravity constrained to the value determined by asteroseismology (Huber et al. 2013, log $g = 4.40 \pm 0.01$). The surface gravity from the unconstrained SPC analysis, log $g = 4.37 \pm 0.10$, is in close agreement with the asteroseismic value. For the final parameters, we constrained the surface gravity to the value from asteroseismology. The weighted mean of the SPC results from the individual spectra yielded $T_{\text{eff}} = 5687 \pm 50$ K, $[\text{Fe/H}] = 0.32 \pm 0.08$ and $\sin i < 2.4$ km s$^{-1}$.

We also determined the atmospheric parameters using the line analysis code MOOG (Sneden 1973, version 2014) and a Kurucz model atmosphere with the new opacity distribution function (ODFNEW; Castelli & Kurucz 2004; Kurucz 1992), as done in Dumusque et al. (2014). We measured the equivalent widths of iron spectral lines on a coadded spectrum of S/N $\approx$ 400 using ARES v2 with automatic continuum determination (Sousa et al. 2015). We used the linelist from Sousa et al. (2011), with the log gf modified to account for the solar iron abundance adopted in MOOG (log $\epsilon$(Fe) = 7.50). We obtained $T_{\text{eff}} = 5701 \pm 34$ K, surface gravity log $g = 4.37 \pm 0.06$, microturbulent velocity $\xi = 0.98 \pm 0.07$ km s$^{-1}$, and iron abundance $[\text{Fe/H}] = +0.27 \pm 0.04$, where the errors include the dependence of the parameters on temperature. Constraining the gravity to the asteroseismology value did not produce any change in the other parameters. Both sets of stellar parameters are summarized in Table 1.

We used the relationship in Mamajek & Hillenbrand (2008) to estimate the rotational period of Kepler-454 from log $R_{\text{HK}}$ and $B - V = 0.81$, resulting in a value of 44.0 ± 4.4 days. This estimate is consistent with the minimum rotation period of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value and 1σ Errors</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$R_\star$</td>
<td>19900+54-841</td>
<td>Høg et al. (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>decl.</td>
<td>+38°13’49.95</td>
<td>Høg et al. (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kepler magnitude</td>
<td>11.457</td>
<td>Borucki et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V$ magnitude</td>
<td>11.57</td>
<td>Høg et al. (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_\star$ magnitude</td>
<td>9.968</td>
<td>Cutri et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log $g$</td>
<td>4.305+0.077-0.055</td>
<td>this work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_\star (R_\odot)$</td>
<td>1.066±0.012</td>
<td>this work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_\star (M_\odot)$</td>
<td>1.028+0.040-0.030</td>
<td>this work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_\star$ (g cm$^{-3}$)</td>
<td>1.199+0.015-0.014</td>
<td>this work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (Gyr)</td>
<td>5.25+1.191-0.796</td>
<td>this work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{eff}} (K)$</td>
<td>5687±49</td>
<td>this work, SPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[\text{Fe/H}]$</td>
<td>0.32±0.08</td>
<td>this work, SPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sin i$ (km s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>&lt;2.4</td>
<td>this work, SPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log $g$</td>
<td>4.37±0.06</td>
<td>this work, MOOG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{eff}} (K)$</td>
<td>5701±34</td>
<td>this work, MOOG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[\text{Fe/H}]$</td>
<td>0.27±0.04</td>
<td>this work, MOOG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\xi$ (km s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.98±0.07</td>
<td>this work, MOOG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A light curve was prepared for asteroseismic analysis using a boxcar filter of width 3 \( \mu \text{Hz} \). The best-fitting model of the oscillation spectrum is plotted in black. Symbols mark the best-fitting frequencies of the \( l = 0 \) modes (red circles), and \( l = 1 \) modes (blue triangles). Right: Échelle diagram of the oscillation spectrum of Kepler-454. The spectrum was smoothed with a 3 \( \mu \text{Hz} \) Gaussian filter. The best-fitting frequencies are overplotted, with their associated 1\( \sigma \) uncertainties.

23 days we would obtain by combining the stellar radius and upper limit on the projected rotational velocity from SPC, if we assumed that the rotational axis is aligned with the orbital plane of the inner planet.

3. ASTEROSEISMIC ESTIMATION OF FUNDAMENTAL STELLAR PROPERTIES

3.1. Estimation of Individual Oscillation Frequencies

The detection of solar-like oscillations was first reported in Kepler-454 by Huber et al. (2013). That study used just one asteroseismic measured parameter—the average large frequency separation, \( \Delta \nu \), between overtones—to model the star. Here, we perform a more detailed analysis, using frequencies of 12 individual modes spanning 7 radial overtones.

The results come from the analysis of Kepler short-cadence data, which are needed to detect the short-period oscillations shown by the star. Kepler-454 was observed in short-cadence in Kepler observing quarters 4, 6 through 12, and 15 through 17. A light curve was prepared for asteroseismic analysis using the KASOC filter (Handberg & Lund 2014). This mitigates the planetary transits, and minimizes the impact of instrumental artifacts and noise.

The left panel of Figure 1 shows the power spectrum of the prepared light curve after smoothing with a 3 \( \mu \text{Hz} \) wide boxcar filter. The plotted range shows peaks due to acoustic (pressure, or \( p \)) modes of high radial order. The right panel of Figure 1 shows the échelle diagram, made by dividing the power spectrum into frequency segments of length equal to \( \Delta \nu \). When arranged vertically, in order of ascending frequency, the diagram shows clear ridges, comprising overtones of each angular degree, \( l \).

Despite the low S/N, it was possible to extract estimates of individual frequencies. Mode identification was performed by noting that the ridge in the center of the échelle diagram invariably corresponds to \( l = 0 \) in stars with such values of \( \Delta \nu \) (White et al. 2011, 2012).

We produced a set of initial frequencies using a matched filter response fit to an asymptotic relation for the \( p \)-mode spectrum, which located frequencies along the ridges in the échelle diagram (Gilliland et al. 2011). We then used the “peak bagging” methodology described by Davies et al. (2015) to extract the individual frequencies. We performed a Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) optimization fit of the power spectrum, with the oscillation spectrum modeled as a sum of Lorentzian profiles. The adopted procedures have recently been used to fit 33 Kepler planet-hosting stars, and full details are given in Davies et al. (2015).

The estimated frequencies are plotted in both panels of Figure 1, with the \( l = 0 \) modes shown as red circles, and \( l = 1 \) modes as blue triangles. Some weak power is present in the \( l = 2 \) ridge, but estimates of the \( l = 2 \) frequencies are marginal and we have elected not to use them in our modeling. Best-fitting frequencies and equivalent 1\( \sigma \) uncertainties are given in Table 2. The reported frequencies have been corrected for the Doppler shift caused by the motion of the star relative to the observer (here, a shift of \(-71 \text{ km} \text{ s}^{-1}\)), using the prescription in Davies et al. (2014). The correction for the most prominent modes is about 0.6 \( \mu \text{Hz} \).

Finally, it is worth noting that the best-fitting frequencies very closely match the observed low-\( l \) solar \( p \)-mode frequencies after the latter have been scaled homologously (Bedding & Kjeldsen 2010) by the ratio of the respective average large separations. This is not surprising given the similarity in mass and age to the Sun, and also confirms that we have correctly assigned the \( l \) values.
3.2. Detailed Modeling of the Host Star

Stellar properties were determined by fitting the spectroscopic constraints and extracted oscillation frequencies to several sets of models using different techniques. These techniques are based on the use of the individual frequencies or combinations of frequencies to determine the best-fitting model and statistical uncertainties. Here, we used four different techniques, in the same configurations that were employed to model Kepler exoplanet host stars (Silva Aguirre et al. 2015): the BAYesian STellar Algorithm (BASTA), coupled to grids of GARSTEC (Weiss & Schlattl 2008) stellar evolutionary models; the ASTEC Fitting method and the Asteroseismic Modeling Portal, both coupled to ASTEC (Christensen-Dalsgaard 2008) models; and the Yale Monte-Carlo Method, coupled to YREC (Demarque et al. 2008) models. Detailed descriptions of the techniques were given by Silva Aguirre et al. (2015).

We found excellent agreement, at the level of precision of the data, in the stellar properties estimated by the different techniques. The final properties listed in Table 1 are those obtained from combining grids of GARSTEC models with the BASTA code, and include the effects of microscopic diffusion and settling. The quoted uncertainties are the formal, statistical uncertainties.

We additionally consider the combined effect of different systematic uncertainties, namely the use of different sets of asteroseismic observables, different evolutionary and pulsation codes, as well as different choices of input physics. The expected magnitude of these systematic uncertainties (again, see Silva Aguirre et al. 2015) is as follows: 0.3% (density and radius), 1% (mass), and 7% (age) due to the choice of asteroseismic observables (individual frequencies or combination of frequencies); 1% (density and radius), 2% (mass), and 9% (age) due to the choice of technique; 0.8% (density), 0.7% (radius), 2.3% (mass), 9.6% (age) due to the choice of input physics, and 1.7% (density), 1.6% (radius), 3.6% (mass), and 16.8% (age) due to the choice of initial helium abundance. The formal uncertainties in Table 1 are derived in the manner of Silva Aguirre et al. (2015) and do not include the systematic effects discussed above.

4. PHOTOMETRY

Our photometric analysis of Kepler-454 is based on 30 months of short cadence data acquired between quarters Q4-Q17 (excluding Q5, Q13, and Q14) and includes 76 independent transits. As in Dressing & Charbonneau (2015), we normalized each transit to remove the effects of long term drifts by fitting a linear trend to the out-of-transit light curve surrounding each transit. Specifically, we used the time intervals 1–3.5 transit durations prior to and following the expected transit center. We divided the flux data by this trend to produce a normalized light curve.

We used a transit model based on Mandel & Agol (2002) and varied the period $P$, the epoch of transit $T_0$, the ratio of the semimajor axis to stellar radius $a/R_s$, the ratio of planet to stellar radius $R_p/R_s$, and the impact parameter $b = a/R_s \cos i$, where $i$ is the orbital inclination. We assume a circular orbit model and fit for quadratic limb darkening coefficients using the parameterization suggested by Kipping (2013) in which the parameters $q_1 = \left( u_1 + u_2 \right)^2$ and $q_2 = 0.5 u_1 / \left( u_1 + u_2 \right)$ are allowed to vary between 0 and 1.

We constrained the transit parameters by performing a MCMC analysis with a Metropolis–Hastings acceptance criterion (Metropolis et al. 1953), starting with the initial parameters provided by Batalha et al. (2013). We initialized the chains with starting positions set by perturbing the solution of the preliminary fit by up to $5\sigma$ for each parameter. The step sizes for each parameter were adjusted to achieve an acceptance fraction between 10% and 30%.

We ran each chain for a minimum of $10^4$ steps and terminated them once each parameter had obtained a Gelman–Rubin reduction factor $\hat{R} < 1.03$. This statistic compares the variance of a parameter in an individual Markov chain to the variance of the mean of that parameter between different chains (Gelman & Rubin 1992; Ford 2005) and is used to identify chains that have not yet converged. A value of $\hat{R} > 1.1$ suggests that a chain has not converged (Gilks et al. 1995). While lower values of $\hat{R}$ are not a definite indicator of convergence, we select a stopping criteria of $\hat{R} < 1.03$ as a balance between probability of convergence and computational efficiency.

We removed all steps prior to the step at which the likelihood first exceeded the median likelihood of the chain, to account for burn-in. We merged the chains and used the median values of each parameter as the best-fit value. We chose the error bars to be symmetric and span the 68% of values closest.
to the best-fit value. These values are shown in Table 3 and the fit to the short-cadence observations is shown in Figure 2.

Our best-fit orbital period and time of transit agree well with those reported in the Cumulative Kepler Object of Interest table on the NASA Exoplanet Archive23 as of 2015 May 19, but we found a significantly larger value for the impact parameter. In contrast to the previously reported value of \( b = 0.11 \pm 0.099 \), we find \( b = 0.929 \pm 0.009 \), which is 2.2\( \sigma \) discrepant from the previous value. Our results for \( a/R_\star \) and \( R_p/R_\star \) are also in disagreement with the KOI table results: we estimate \( a/R_\star = 18.293 \pm 1.098 \) and \( R_p/R_\star = 0.0204 \pm 0.001 \) whereas the cumulative KOI list reports \( a/R_\star = 48.17 \pm 3.6 \) and \( R_p/R_\star = 0.015743 \pm 0.000202 \).

The larger value for \( R_p/R_\star \) translates directly into an inferred planetary radius that is significantly larger than the \( R_p = 1.86 \pm 0.03R_\oplus \) value reported in the cumulative KOI table. Combining the uncertainty in \( R_p/R_\star \) and the uncertainty on the stellar radius (1.06568 \( \pm 0.01200 \) \( R_\odot \)), we find a planet radius of 2.37 \( \pm 0.13 \) \( R_\oplus \). Given that our values are consistent with those found by Sliski & Kipping (2014) in an independent analysis of the Kepler-454b short cadence data, we attribute the disagreement between the results of our analysis and the values reported in the Cumulative KOI table to the degraded ability of analyses based on long cadence data to tightly constrain the impact parameter. In addition, the use of fixed limb darkening parameters for the fit reported in the Cumulative KOI Table may have contributed to the discrepancy.

In order to revisit the transit photometry for Kepler-454b, we searched for transits due to additional companions using a Box-fitting Least Squares analysis (Kovács et al. 2002), but none were found. We also investigated the possibility that the transit times of Kepler-454b might differ from a linear ephemeris due to light travel time effects or perturbations from the non-transiting companions. Starting with the best-fit solution from the MCMC fit to the short cadence data, we fit each transit event separately allowing the transit center to vary but holding \( a/R_\star, R_p/R_\star, \) and \( b \) constant. Although the individual times of transit shifted by -10 to +8 minutes, these were consistent with the timing precision for individual transits and we did not find evidence for correlated shifts in the transit times. We therefore adopted a linear ephemeris for Kepler-454b (see Table 3).

There is a small possibility that the transit of Kepler-454 is a false positive, and the transit signal is due to an eclipsing binary (either physically bound, or a change alignment on the sky). Morton & Johnson (2011) estimate the false positive probability of the Kepler-454 system at about 1% based on Galactic structure models. Fressin et al. (2013) simulated blends of eclipsing binaries and estimated the fraction that would occur and pass Kepler candidate vetting procedures; those authors estimated a false positive rate of 6.7% for planets 2-4 \( R_\oplus \) (appropriate for Kepler-454b). By observing the change in RV of the star at the orbital period and phase expected from the light curve (see Section 5), we rule out the possibility of a false positive scenario.

Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BJD(_\text{UTC} )-2400000</th>
<th>Radial Velocity (m s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>( \sigma_{\text{RV}} ) (m s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Bisector Span (m s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>log ( R_\text{p}/R_\star ) (dex)</th>
<th>( \sigma \log R_\text{p}/R_\star ) (dex)</th>
<th>( \tau_\text{exp} ) (s)</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>56813.668879</td>
<td>-71408.25</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>-29.41</td>
<td>-5.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56814.513734</td>
<td>-71408.84</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>-40.04</td>
<td>-5.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56815.522009</td>
<td>-71408.40</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>-26.38</td>
<td>-5.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56816.591592</td>
<td>-71408.43</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>-29.14</td>
<td>-5.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56828.676554</td>
<td>-71400.04</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>-25.51</td>
<td>-5.05</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note.

The final three points in the full table were gathered after the manuscript was finalized, and are labeled as such in the column notes. We include them for the benefit of future workers but they were not included in our analysis.

(This table is available in its entirety in machine-readable form.)

5. RV OBSERVATIONS AND REDUCTION

5.1. HARPS-N Observations

We measured the RV variation of Kepler-454 using the HARPS-N spectrograph on the 3.55 m Telescopio Nazionale Galileo (TNG) at the Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos (Cosentino et al. 2012). HARPS-N is a highly precise, high-resolution (\( R \approx 115,000 \)), vacuum-stabilized spectrograph, very similar in design to the original HARPS planet hunting instrument at the ESO 3.5 m (Mayor et al. 2003). Notable improvements include the use of octagonal fibers to improve the scrambling of incoming light and a monolithic 4096 \( \times \) 4096 CCD.

We obtained 55 observations of Kepler-454 during the 2014 observing season and 10 observations during the 2015 season. Most observations were made with a 30 minute exposure time, achieving a mean \( S/\text{N} \) of 48 at 5500 \( \AA \) and a mean internal precision of 2.2 m s\(^{-1}\), estimated by combining photon noise, wavelength calibration noise and instrumental drift. Two of these observations had \( S/\text{N} < 30 \), corresponding to \( >5 \) m s\(^{-1}\) RV precision, and were not included in the analysis. We observed Kepler-454 without simultaneous wavelength reference, to prevent contamination of the stellar spectrum with light from the ThAr calibration lamp.

The spectra were reduced with the standard HARPS-N pipeline and we measured the radial velocities by using a weighted cross-correlation between the observed spectra and a numerical mask based on the spectrum of a G2V star (Baranne et al. 1996; Pepe et al. 2002). The resulting RV data are listed in Table 4, with their 1\( \sigma \) internal uncertainties, epoch in BJD\(_\text{UTC} \), and bisector span.

5.2. HIRES Observations

We obtained 36 observations of Kepler-454 made with the HIRES spectrograph on the Keck Telescope (Vogt et al. 1994)

---

\( ^{23} \) http://exoplanetarchive.ipac.caltech.edu/cgi-bin/TblView/nph-tblView?app=ExoTbls&config=cumulative
through collaboration with the California Planet Search team. These observations were obtained between 2010 August and 2014 December, with a mean $S/N$ of 165 and a typical internal precision of 1.4 m s$^{-1}$. The RV measurements were calibrated using an iodine absorption cell (Butler et al. 1996), and their reduction is described in Marcy et al. (2014). They are listed in Table 5, with their epoch in BJD$_{UTC}$ and their 1$\sigma$ internal errors. These observations were made as part of NASA’s Kepler Key Project follow-up program, and as such all raw and reduced spectra are made available to the public in the Keck Observatory Archive, and the RV measurements will be made available on CFOP.

6. ANALYSIS OF RADIAL VELOCITY MEASUREMENTS

The combined HARPS-N and HIRES measurements of Kepler-454 show two Keplerian orbits and a long-term trend consistent with an additional companion. We join these data using a single offset term for the HIRES observations and model the radial velocities as a sum of two Keplerian signals, plus a linear trend:

$$M(t) = \gamma + \text{RV}_{\text{off}} + \beta t + \sum_{j=1}^{2} K_j [\cos(\theta_j(t, T_{p,j}, P_j, e_j) + \omega_j)] + e_j \cos(\omega_j),$$

(1)

where $\text{RV}_{\text{off}}$ is the offset of the HIRES observations from the HARPS-N observations (for the HIRES data, the velocities of each observation are estimated relative to a chosen epoch and hence are relative; for the HARPS-N data, the velocities are measured relative to a theoretical template tied to laboratory rest wavelengths), $\gamma$ is the systemic velocity of Kepler-454 and $\beta$ is the slope of the linear trend due to a long-period companion. Individual orbits $j$ are characterized by their semi-amplitude $K$, period $P$, time of periastron passage $T_{p,j}$, eccentricity $e_j$, argument of periastron $\omega_j$, and mean anomaly at $t_0$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 5</th>
<th>HIRES Radial Velocity Measurements of Kepler-454</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BJD$_{UTC}$</td>
<td>Radial Velocity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2400000</td>
<td>(m s$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55431.809347</td>
<td>52.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55782.924716</td>
<td>−113.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55792.863793</td>
<td>−106.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55792.895597</td>
<td>−110.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55797.795127</td>
<td>−99.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(This table is available in its entirety in machine-readable form.)

Figure 3. Periodogram of the 2014 HARPS-N RV measurements of Kepler-454, after removal of the signal from the outer companions. The vertical line marks the period of Kepler-454b and the 1% and 5% FAP levels are shown as dashed lines.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 6</th>
<th>RV Parameters of Kepler-454</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parameter</td>
<td>Best Fit Median</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kepler-454b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P$ (days)</td>
<td>10.573753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_0$ (BJD$_{UTC}$)</td>
<td>2455008.06758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e$</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\omega$ (deg)</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K$ (m s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>1.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_p (M_J)$</td>
<td>6.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a$ (AU)</td>
<td>0.0954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kepler-454c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P$ (days)</td>
<td>523.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_p$ (BJD$_{UTC}$)</td>
<td>2454892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e$</td>
<td>0.0214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\omega$ (deg)</td>
<td>337.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K$ (m s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>110.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_p \sin i (M_J)$</td>
<td>4.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a \sin i$ (AU)</td>
<td>1.286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kepler-54 System</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma$ (m s$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>−71322.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$dv/dt$ (m s$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.0429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIRES offset (m s$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>−71324.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Epoch of Fit (BJD$_{UTC}$) = 2456847.898128
such that model are shown green circles show the observations binned at intervals of 0.1 orbital phase. The large Keck-HIRES (black line) and HARPS-N (red line). We use the convention for circular orbits that eccentric orbits: 

\[ \omega = \theta_0 + 90^\circ \]

\[ \cos \left( \frac{\theta_0}{2} \right) = \frac{1 + e}{2} \tan \left( \frac{E_0}{2} \right) \]

\[ T_0 - T_p = E_0 - esin(E_0) \frac{P}{2\pi} \]

where \( \theta_0 \) is the true anomaly of transit time and \( E_0 \) is the eccentric anomaly of transit time (Danby 1988).

We considered circular and eccentric orbits for both planets. We fit an initial solution using a Levenberg–Marquardt minimization algorithm and then these parameters were used as input to emcee, an Affine Invariant MCMC ensemble sampler package (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013). We initialized 200 chains with starting positions selected by perturbing each free parameter of the LM solution by an amount drawn from a tight Gaussian distribution with width 10^{-6} times the magnitude of that parameter, consistent with the suggested initialization of emcee. We set uniform priors on all parameters except \( P_j \) and \( T_{0,j} \), for which we use Gaussian priors determined by the best-fit values from Section 4. We force \( K \) to be non-negative and we transformed variables \( e \) and \( \omega \) to \( \sqrt{e} \cos(\omega) \) and \( \sqrt{e} \sin(\omega) \) to improve the convergence of low-eccentricity solutions.

As in Dumusque et al. (2014), we model the stellar signal as a constant jitter term \( \sigma_j \) and use the following likelihood:

\[ L = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_i} \exp \left( -\frac{(RV(t_i) - \mathcal{M}(t_i))^2}{2(\sigma_i^2 + \sigma_j^2)} \right) \right] \]

where \( RV(t_i) \) is the observed RV at time \( t_i \) and \( \mathcal{M} \) is the model. The stellar jitter noise \( \sigma_j \) is assumed to be constant and \( \sigma_i \) is the internal noise for each epoch. The stellar jitter is forced to be positive and allowed to have different values for the HARPS-N and HIRES datasets.

We check for convergence by computing the Gelman–Rubin reduction factor and determined the chains to have converged once all variables had attained \( R < 1.03 \). We discard the first 50% of each chain as the “burn in” stage and combine the remaining portion of the chains. We select the median value of each parameter as the best-fit value and the error bars are chosen to span the 68% of values closest to the best-fit value. These values are shown in Table 6. We note that the reported errors on \( \gamma \) include only the statistical errors on this fit and not other effects, such as gravitational redshift. The total uncertainty on the systemic velocity is of order 100 m s^{-1}.

We consider the null hypothesis that the Kepler-454 system can be described by a linear trend, a marginally eccentric planet in a 524d orbit and Gaussian noise. We compare it to the alternative hypothesis that the Kepler-454 system can be described by those same components, plus a planet in a 10.6d circular orbit. We select the model that best describes the data by considering the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC). We use the BIC values to approximate the Bayes factor between pairs of models, with the stellar jitter terms held fixed at 1.6 m s^{-1} and 3.5 m s^{-1} for HARPS-N and HIRES, respectively. These values are representative of those obtained when the jitter terms were allowed to vary. We find a BIC value of 11.9 in favor of including a circular orbit at 10.6 days. Additionally, we show the periodogram of the 2014 HARPS-N RV measurements in Figure 3, after removing the signal of the outer companions. This series of measurements is most sensitive to short period signals due to its higher cadence and we recover a 10.6 day periodicity with a false-alarm probability (FAP) of just under 1%. This is sufficient evidence that the signal of Kepler-454b is present in the RV measurements.
We next consider whether the orbits are sufficiently modeled as sinusoids. We find a BIC value of 6.9 in favor of a circular orbit for Kepler-454b and a BIC value of 0.9 in favor of a marginally eccentric orbit for Kepler-454c. As the BIC values are an approximation, we performed an independent differential evolution MCMC (DE-MCMC) analysis of the combined RV measurements, and found nearly identical values for the median orbital parameters and their error estimates, for both a circular and eccentric fit to the inner planet. In this case, we used $2N$ chains, where $N$ is the number of free parameters. We imposed Gaussian priors on the period and transit time of Kepler-454b, and Jeffery’s priors on the jitter terms. We stopped the chains after they achieved $\hat{R} < 1.01$ and more than 1000 independent draws (Ford 2006; Bonomo et al. 2014). The Bayes factor values were taken directly from the DE-MCMC posterior distributions by using the Truncated Posterior Mixture method (Tuomi & Jones 2012). We estimated a Bayes factor of $39.2 \pm 2.6$ in favor of an eccentric solution for Kepler-454c and a Bayes factor of $3.5 \pm 0.3$ in favor of an eccentric solution for Kepler-454b. This is strong evidence in favor of an eccentric orbit for Kepler-454c and slight evidence for an eccentric solution for Kepler-454b (Kass & Raftery 1995).

We adopt the simpler model, using an eccentric outer orbit and circular inner orbit for the best-fit model, obtaining a mass estimate for Kepler-454b of $6.84 \pm 1.40 \, M_{\oplus}$. The measured RVs and best-fit model are displayed in Figures 4 and 5. The posterior distributions of the orbital parameters of Kepler-454b are shown in Figure 6. When the eccentricity of the inner planet is allowed to vary, we obtain $e = 0.23 \pm 0.13$ and a mass estimate of $7.24 \pm 1.40 \, M_{\oplus}$, consistent with the results from the best-fit solution. We include the parameters of this solution in Table 6 for completeness and show the corresponding posterior distributions in Figure 7. The distribution of residuals to the best-fit solution are shown in Figure 8. The HARPS-N residuals have a median value of $0.01 \, \text{m s}^{-1}$ and 68% of the values fall within $2.5 \, \text{m s}^{-1}$ of the median. The HIRES residuals, the median is $0.36 \, \text{m s}^{-1}$ and the distribution is a bit broader, with 68% of the values fall within $3.5 \, \text{m s}^{-1}$ of the median.
We calculate the tidal circularization timescale of Kepler-454b using the formula of Goldreich & Souter (1966) for a 6.84 $M_\oplus$, 2.37 $R_\oplus$ planet in an 0.095 AU orbit around a 1.028 $M_\odot$ star. As Kepler-454b is intermediate between a rocky world and a Neptune-like composition, we expect that its tidal quality factor $Q$ may be intermediate as well. If we assume a $Q$ value of 100, consistent with terrestrial planets in the solar system, the tidal circularization timescale is 440 million years, shorter than the 5.2 ± 1.4 billion year age of the system estimated with asteroseismology. If we assume a $Q$ value of 9000, consistent with Neptune, the circularization timescale is 39 billion years. Obtaining a circularization timescale consistent with the age of Kepler-454 is possible with $Q = 1200$, a value that is larger than those seen in terrestrial planets, but smaller than that of Neptune (Zhang & Hamilton 2008; Henning et al. 2009). Though Kepler-454b may have had enough time to reach a circular orbit, the circularization timescale is not sufficiently well determined to discount an eccentric orbit.

As Kepler-454b has both quality asteroseismology and transit data, we are able to calculate a minimum eccentricity for the inner planet through asterodensity profiling in the manner of Kipping (2014). We compare the stellar density value of 1.199 ± 0.015 g cm$^{-3}$ obtained through asteroseismology with the stellar density value of 1.04 ± 0.19 g cm$^{-3}$ implied by a transiting circular orbit and obtain a minimum eccentricity of 0.05 ± 0.06, consistent with a circular orbit. Given the ambiguity in whether an eccentric model is necessary for Kepler-454b, we favor the simpler solution but provide parameters for both models in Table 6.

Characterization of Kepler-454d is difficult, as the period of its orbit is much longer than the timescale of the combined RV measurements. We observe a linear drift rate of 15.7 ± 0.6 m s$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$ over nearly 5 years. Assuming an edge-on circular orbit, this suggests that Kepler-454d has $P > 10$ year, $M \sin(i) > 12.1 M_J$ and a semimajor axis $>4.7$ AU. Assuming that this solar-like star has an absolute magnitude $M_V = 4.9$, comparable to the Sun, its distance is approximately 200 pc and the angular separation at the maximum elongation of Kepler-454d is $>0^\circ02$. 

Figure 7. The posterior distributions of the parameters in the eccentric fit to Kepler-454b are shown in blue. The median of the distribution is marked with a black line and the dashed red and green lines contain 68.3% and 95.4% of the values, respectively.
There are multiple sources of adaptive optics (AO) observations for this target, though none are able to place limits on the brightness of the companion at such a small separation. The most stringent of these are Keck AO observations made in Br$_c$, with a brightness limit at 0″006 of 3.73 mag fainter than the host star (CFOP; D. Ciardi). Assuming that $K_s$ magnitude is equivalent to Br$_c$ magnitude, Kepler-454d must then be fainter than $K_s = 13.7$, unless it was not detectable at the time of the AO observations due to orbital geometry. We converted the $K_s$ limit into a mass upper limit using the Delfosse et al. (2000) relation and found a value of 300 $M_J$ for angular separations beyond 0″05. The combined restrictions from the RV and AO data are shown in Figure 9.

Astrometry from the Gaia mission could readily complement our RV measurements. It will provide approximately 16 μas astrometry for stars with $V = 12$ mag (Els et al. 2014). With this precision, Jupiter-sized planet like Kepler-454c would be marginally detectable as a signal of order 30 μas, providing measurements of inclination and mass. The acceleration due to the outer companion should be readily detectable, further constraining its separation and mass.

While Kepler-454 is an inactive star, with a median log $R'_{HK}$ value of −5.0, we consider the possibility of RV variations induced by stellar activity. After removing the signal from the 524 day orbit and linear trend, we compare the RV measurements to the log $R'_{HK}$ values, and several features of the cross-correlation function (CCF), including the bisector velocity span, FWHM and the contrast of the CCF. We found no correlations with RV, as shown in Figure 10 and there are no significant periodicities in these indicators. We conclude that it is sufficient to assume a Gaussian noise term in the likelihood function, to model RV variations due to stellar activity.

7. DISCUSSION

We present a mass measurement for Kepler-454b of $6.8 \pm 1.4 \ M_\oplus$ and detect two additional non-transiting companions, a planet with a minimum mass of $4.46 \pm 0.12 \ M_\oplus$ in a slightly eccentric 524 day orbit and a linear trend consistent with a brown dwarf or low-mass star.

Combining our mass estimate for Kepler-454b with a radius of $2.37 \pm 0.13 \ R_\oplus$, gives a density estimate of $2.76 \pm 0.73 \ g \ cm^{-3}$. Figure 11 shows a mass–radius plot of Kepler-454b along with the several other planets smaller than 2.7 $R_\oplus$ and with masses measured to better than 20% precision. There are 12 such planets, including Kepler-454b. Dressing et al. (2015) note that the six planets with radii <1.6 $R_\oplus$, as well as Earth and Venus, have very similar uncompressed densities. The recently detected planet HD 219314b also has a comparable density (Motalebi et al. 2015). These planets are consistent with an Earth-like composition, notably the Earth’s ratio of iron to magnesium silicates. In contrast, the six planets with radii $2.0 \lesssim R(R_\oplus) \lesssim 2.7$ are not consistent with this rocky composition model. Their lower densities require a significant fraction of volatiles, likely in the form of an envelope of water and other volatiles and/or H/He. If rocky planets with radii $>1.6 \ R_\oplus$ do exist, they are likely to be more massive and thus easier to detect. If such high density planets continue to be absent as the sample of small planets grows, it would suggest that most planets with masses greater than about 6 $M_\oplus$ may contain a significant fraction of volatiles and/or H/He.

Kepler-454 has similar parameters to the Sun and does not appear to be unique compared to the other host stars in this sample. The transit parameters initially implied by CFOP placed Kepler-454b in a potentially unique space in the mass–radius diagram, with a radius estimate between the population of planets with Earth-like densities and the population of larger, less dense planets. With its radius measurement now revised upward due to analysis of the short cadence observation, Kepler-454b has both a mass and radius comparable to several of the less dense planets. At $2.76 \pm 0.73 \ g \ cm^{-3}$, it falls well above the Earth-like composition curve and it likely requires a significant fraction of volatiles.

Specifically, a planet with an Earth-like composition and the same mass as Kepler-454b would have a radius of $R_{p, \text{Earth-like}} = 1.73 \ R_\oplus$, significantly smaller than the observed radius of Kepler-454b ($R_{p, \text{obs}} = 2.37 \pm 0.13 \ R_\oplus$).
observed "radius excess" $\Delta R_p = R_{p,\text{Earth-like}} - R_{p,\text{obs}}$ for Kepler-454b is therefore $\Delta R_p = 0.64R_\oplus$.

We can estimate the amount of lower density material required to explain the observed radius of Kepler-454b by assuming that Kepler-454b is an Earth-like mixture of rock and...
iron covered by a low density envelope. Employing the models of Lopez & Fortney (2014) and assuming a system age of 5 Gyr, the observed mass and radius of Kepler-454b could be explained if the planet is shrouded by a solar metallicity H/He and a total mass equal to roughly 1% of the total planetary mass.

In Figure 12, we compare the observed radius excess \( \Delta R_p = R_p - R_p,\text{Earth-like} \) for Kepler-454b to the \( \Delta R_p \) estimated for other small transiting planets with mass estimates. The left panel of Figure 12 displays the \( \Delta R_p \) as a function of the Jeans escape parameter \( \lambda_{esc} \):

\[
\lambda_{esc} \equiv \frac{GM_p m}{kT_C} \tag{6}
\]

where \( G \) is the gravitational constant, \( M_p \) is the mass of the planet, \( m \) is the mean molecular or atomic weight of the atmosphere (here we set \( m \) to the value of atomic hydrogen), \( k \) is the Boltzmann constant, \( r_c \) is the height above the center of the planet, and \( T \) is the temperature of the exobase, the atmospheric boundary above which particles are gravitationally bound to the planet but move on collision-free trajectories (Meadows & Seager 2010). We assumed that the temperatures \( T \) of the exobase are equal to the expected equilibrium temperatures of the planets for an albedo of 0, but the true exobase temperatures are likely higher. For highly irradiated planets, the dominant atmospheric loss channel is likely hydrodynamic escape rather than Jeans escape. Accordingly, Figure 13 presents the ratio \( \Delta R_p/R_p \) versus the insolation received by each planet. Compared to the other planets, Kepler-454b is most similar to HD 97658b, HIP 116445b, Kepler 48c, and Kepler-11b. All of these planets receive roughly 100 \( \times \) the insolation received by the Earth and have relative radius excesses \( \Delta R_p/R_p \) of approximately 20%, consistent with prior work by Lopez et al. (2012) and Owen & Jackson (2012).

For H/He dominated atmospheric envelopes, \( \Delta R_p/R_p \) is roughly equivalent to the relative mass fraction of envelope (Lopez & Fortney 2014). In general, we find that the planets with lower relative envelope fractions are more highly irradiated than the planets with large relative envelope fractions. However, accurately constraining the masses and radii of small planets becomes increasingly difficult as the orbital period increases. The relative lack of small dense planets receiving low insolation fluxes may therefore be due to an observational bias rather than a real scarcity of cool dense small planets. As the precision of RV spectrographs improves, we may discover additional planets that are smaller, denser, and cooler than Kepler-10c. The NASA TESS Mission, scheduled for launch in 2017, will help by providing hundreds of Earths, super-Earths, and mini-Neptunes transiting stars that are generally much brighter than those from Kepler (Sullivan et al. 2015), greatly facilitating RV follow-up and permitting masses to be measured for much longer orbital periods.

In contrast, obtaining a precise mass measurement of a highly irradiated planet with a large relative envelope fraction is observationally easier than measuring the mass of a less strongly irradiated large planet. Accordingly, the relative dearth of highly irradiated small planets with large envelope fractions likely indicates that such planets are rare. As the number of small planets with well-measured masses and radii continues to grow, we will be able to further investigate the properties and the formation, and subsequently loss or retention of gaseous envelopes of small planets.
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