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Spin and spatial dynamics in electron-impact scattering off S-wave He using R-matrix with Time-Dependence theory

Jack Wragg and Hugo W. van der Hart

Centre for Theoretical Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics, School of Mathematics and Physics, Queen’s University Belfast, Belfast, BT7 1NN, United Kingdom

R-matrix with time-dependence theory is applied to electron-impact ionisation processes for He in the S-wave model. Cross sections for electron-impact excitation, ionisation and ionisation with excitation for impact energies between 25 and 225 eV are in excellent agreement with benchmark cross sections. Ultra-fast dynamics induced by a scattering event is observed through time-dependent signatures associated with autoionisation from doubly excited states. Further insight into dynamics can be obtained through examination of the spin components of the time-dependent wavefunction.

INTRODUCTION

Scientific progress greatly benefits from the development of theoretical and computational methods that complement new experimental techniques. Recent developments in the study of electron dynamics on the sub-femtosecond timescale [1–4] have enhanced the need for the development of computational models able to obtain a time-dependent description of ultrafast multi-electron processes. In the present manuscript, we demonstrate a new time-dependent ab-initio computational method for the study of electron spatial and spin dynamics through its application to electron-He impact processes in the S-wave model (known as the Temkin-Poet model when applied to electron-hydrogen scattering [5, 6]). We choose this particular model as it provides a simple atomic process which contains both spin and spatial dynamics, and for which benchmark data for comparison is readily available [7, 8].

Electron-impact processes for He in the S-wave model were investigated through application of the time-dependent Close-Coupling (TDCC) approach [9]. Since then, a range of other advanced approaches have been applied to investigate this problem, including the convergent close-coupling approach [10–12] and the exterior-complex-scaling approach (ECS) [7, 8, 13]. We note that this description of a three-electron system bears great similarity to the time-dependent calculation of Li processes in [14], since the restriction in angular momentum corresponds to a 1D description for each electron.

In this report, we build upon the R-Matrix with Time-dependence (RMT) theory [15, 16]. This approach combines the R-matrix division of configuration space with time propagation to model attosecond processes in many-electron atoms. RMT has recently provided valuable insights into high-harmonic generation [17], and experimental attosecond transient absorption spectroscopy data [18]. The RMT approach has been extended to model dynamics in atomic systems where two electrons are ejected from the core, demonstrated with an application to double photoionisation from a Helium atom [19]. We use RMT theory to consider ultra-fast dynamics that occur within electron-impact excitation, ionisation, and in particular ionisation-excitation processes. Whereas previous application of RMT theory for two electron ejection considered systems with a single double-ejection threshold, the present study investigated the numerical accuracy of the approach for systems with multiple thresholds. The present process provides an opportunity to robustly assess the numerical techniques in the RMT approach through quantitative comparison with present data for electron-impact of He in the S-wave model [7, 8, 11–13].

The treatment of this particular problem provides a stepping stone towards the development of an RMT approach for the full treatment of double ionisation in general atomic systems. The backbone of the treatment would be formed by states consisting of a double ionisation threshold two or more free electrons. The electron-He scattering process can be regarded as the simplest of such systems. The RMT approach for this scattering process thus offers a clear development path towards a general atomic code for the treatment of double ionisation. In addition to this, the time-dependent nature of the RMT treatment can provide dynamical insight into the scattering processes. For example, whereas the excitation of autoionizing states in this scattering process has been considered previously [13], a time-dependent treatment can reveal clear signatures of dynamics within these states. Furthermore, a time-dependent method, such as RMT also allows the spin coupling between the electrons to be traced during the scattering process.

Throughout this paper, we use atomic units unless otherwise stated.

THEORY

In RMT, the three-electron S-wave Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{n=1}^{3} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dr_n^2} - \frac{2}{r_n} \right) + \frac{1}{r_{12}} + \frac{1}{r_{13}} + \frac{1}{r_{23}}, \quad (1)$$

is used.
is used within the Schrödinger equation

$$i \frac{d}{dt} \Psi(R, \chi, t) = \hat{H} \Psi(R, \chi, t)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

where $R$ is the position vector $(r_1, r_2, r_3)$, and $r_n$ is the radial coordinate of electron $n$. $r_n^* r_{n'}$ is the greater of $r_n'$ and $r_{n''}$. $\Psi(R, \chi, t)$ is the time-dependent wavefunction which $\chi$ indicates the spin coupling of the electrons.

Three regions of configuration space are defined within RMT for two-electron ejection [19]: region (I) with $r_1, r_2, r_3 < b$, where $b$ is the size of the so-called inner region, region (II) where $r_1, r_2 < b$ and $r_3 > b$, and region (III) where $r_1 < b$ and $r_2, r_3 > b$. The RMT wavefunction in each region is described in terms of a time-dependent coefficient and a time-independent basis as

(I) $\Psi(R, \chi, t) = \sum_j C_j(t) \psi_j^{(I)}(r_1, r_2, r_3, \chi)$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

(II) $\Psi(R, \chi, t) = \sum_k C_k^{(II)}(r_3, t) \psi_k^{(II)}(r_1, r_2, \chi)$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

(III) $\Psi(R, \chi, t) = \sum_m C_m^{(III)}(r_2, r_3, t) \psi_m^{(III)}(r_1, \chi)$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where the coefficients $C_j^{(I)}(r_3, t)$, and $C_k^{(II)}(r_2, r_3, t)$ are defined at FD grid points across $r_3 > b$, and $r_2, r_3 > b$ respectively. $k$ and $m$ correspond to single- and two-electron channels in regions (II) and (III) respectively, $j$ indicates a region (I) eigenstate. Three-electron escape corresponding to $r_1, r_2, r_3 > b$ is not considered. Configuration space not covered in regions (I), (II) and (III) is included via antisymmetrisation of the wavefunction.

The basis functions $\psi^{(N)}$ are expanded in terms of a further basis of functions, $\zeta_k^{(N)}$ for $(N) = (I)$, (II), and (III), and appropriate spin functions. These $\zeta_k^{(N)}$ functions are in turn constructed from antisymmetrised products of hydrogenic eigenfunctions $\zeta^+_n(r_i)$, corresponding to the $n$th eigenvalue of the operator

$$\hat{H}^+_n = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dr_i^2} + \frac{2}{r_i} + L_b,$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $L_b$ is the Bloch operator [20], written as

$$L_b = \frac{1}{2} \delta(r_i - b) \frac{d}{dr_i}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

To minimise the number of basis functions, at least one of the electrons within the inner region ($r_1 < b$) is restricted to the lowest three eigenstates. This “core” electron is thus restricted to the 1s, 2s and 3s orbitals. We obtain eigenfunctions for the inner-region ($r_1 < b$) aspect of the wavefunction in each of the three regions through diagonalisation of the following Hamiltonians:

(I) $\hat{H}^+_1 + \hat{H}^+_2 + \hat{H}^+_3 + \frac{1}{r_{12}} + \frac{1}{r_{23}} + \frac{1}{r_{13}}$

(II) $\hat{H}^+_1 + \hat{H}^+_2 + \frac{1}{r_{12}}$

(III) $\hat{H}^+_1$

where $\hat{H}^+_n$ is the hydrogenic Hamiltonian given in equation 6.

As with previous RMT implementations, the wavefunction is propagated in time from an initial state at $t = t_0$. This initial state contains two electrons in the He ground state, and an incoming $s$ electron, described by a Gaussian wavepacket of root-mean-square width = 10 $a_0$ centred on $r_3 = 75 a_0$.

In this study, we use a 6th order Taylor series propagator. The kinetic-energy operations on the coefficients defined across FD grids ($-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dr_i^2}$ in region (II) and $-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dr_i^2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dr_j^2}$ in region (III)) are evaluated using FD operators. Near the inner boundary of regions (II) and (III), the FD grids contain insufficient grid points to complete the centre difference FD operation. The missing data points are hence obtained from the wavefunction in region (I) or region (II), respectively. Additionally, propagation using the physical three-electron Hamiltonian in equation (1) requires cancellation of the Bloch operator terms contained within $\hat{H}^+_n$ (region I) and $\hat{H}^+_n$ (region II), as defined in equation (8). This is achieved through the evaluation of an FD first derivative operation on the wavefunction at the region (I)/region (II) boundary, and the region (II)/region (III) boundary, as implemented in [19].

The initial wavefunction is propagated in time until the scattered electron has moved well away from the residual atom or ion. Electron-impact excitation yields are then obtained from the total population in the relevant region (II) channel. Electron-impact ionisation yields are obtained from the total population in region (III) associated with a particular residual He$^+$ state (1s, 2s or 3s). These yields are then transformed into electron-impact scattering cross sections.

**RESULTS**

Figure 1 shows impact excitation and ionisation cross sections for He in the S-wave model over the electron-impact energy range between 25 and 225 eV. For all processes shown, we observe good agreement with the benchmark data. The largest difference (25%) is seen at large impact energies for electron-impact ionisation with excitation of the residual ion to the 2s state, where the restriction of the core electron to 1s, 2s or 3s could have a more significant effect on the modelling. We also note a more pronounced difference near the threshold for electron-impact ionisation. In this energy range, the main difficulty lies in distinguishing two-electron ejection from the excitation of high-lying excited states (a similar challenge was encountered in [19]). Overall, the cross sections for electron-impact scattering show excellent agreement with those obtained in [7], and demonstrate the accuracy of the present approach.
Following excitation, the population of the 2s2s state decreases over time as the state autoionises. In figure 2, the population of the channel associated with the 2s2s state in region (II) is shown as the calculation propagates in time. We note that the sharp increase in yield (light red points) corresponds not to the excitation of the 2s2s state, but rather to the flow of the scattered electron in the 2s2s channel from region (I) into region (II). The exponential decay of the 2s2s state is then seen in figure 2 (dark red points). The light blue line is fit of the exponential decay function \( A \exp(-\gamma t) \) to the dark red points. From this fit, a 2s2s decay rate of \( \gamma = 1.10 \times 10^{14} \text{ s}^{-1} \) is obtained, which agrees to within 10% with the decay rate given in [7]. The earliest dark red point is taken as the yield for the 2s2s state, from which the value for the 2s2s cross sections shown in figure 1 are calculated. The moment of collision in the calculation shown in figure 2 is estimated to happen approximately 1.1 fs after the beginning of the calculation, with the first reliable 2s2s yield obtained approximately 0.5 fs later. We estimate that this lack of access to an immediate 2s2s yield introduces an uncertainty of approximately 10% to the RMT 2s2s cross section.

Previous studies have shown the theoretical time-dependent description of autoionisation to be an interesting challenge [21–23]. We can identify such autoionisation dynamics within the RMT model of the scattering process. We show in figure 3 the probability density associated with a residual He\(^+\) ion in the 1s state at 11.96 fs after the beginning of the model. The direct electron-impact-ionisation wavepacket can be seen as an arc from \( \sqrt{r_2^2 + r_3^2} \approx 750 \, a_0 \) to \( \sqrt{r_2^2 + r_4^2} \approx 1050 \, a_0 \). In addition to this arc, a series of six peaks is seen along along \( r_3 \approx 535a_0 \) and \( r_2 \approx 535a_0 \). These peaks signify dynamics associated with doubly excited 2s2s states and their autoionisation. The different nature of the two processes is reflected in the strong interference where this series and arc overlap. We consider that the distance along coordinate \( r_3 \) at time \( t \) corresponds to the momentum of a scattered electron after excitation of a doubly-excited state, but rather to the flow of the scattered electron in the 2s2s channel from region (I) into region (II). The exponential decay function \( A \exp(-\gamma t) \) to the dark red points. From this fit, a 2s2s decay rate of \( \gamma = 1.10 \times 10^{14} \text{ s}^{-1} \) is obtained, which agrees to within 10% with the decay rate given in [7]. The earliest dark red point is taken as the yield for the 2s2s state, from which the value for the 2s2s cross sections shown in figure 1 are calculated. The moment of collision in the calculation shown in figure 2 is estimated to happen approximately 1.1 fs after the beginning of the calculation, with the first reliable 2s2s yield obtained approximately 0.5 fs later. We estimate that this lack of access to an immediate 2s2s yield introduces an uncertainty of approximately 10% to the RMT 2s2s cross section.

Previous studies have shown the theoretical time-dependent description of autoionisation to be an interesting challenge [21–23]. We can identify such autoionisation dynamics within the RMT model of the scattering process. We show in figure 3 the probability density associated with a residual He\(^+\) ion in the 1s state at 11.96 fs after the beginning of the model. The direct electron-impact-ionisation wavepacket can be seen as an arc from \( \sqrt{r_2^2 + r_3^2} \approx 750 \, a_0 \) to \( \sqrt{r_2^2 + r_4^2} \approx 1050 \, a_0 \). In addition to this arc, a series of six peaks is seen along along \( r_3 \approx 535a_0 \) and \( r_2 \approx 535a_0 \). These peaks signify dynamics associated with doubly excited 2s2s states and their autoionisation. The different nature of the two processes is reflected in the strong interference where this series and arc overlap. We consider that the distance along coordinate \( r_3 \) at time \( t \) corresponds to the momentum of a scattered electron after excitation of a doubly-excited state, but rather to the flow of the scattered electron in the 2s2s channel from region (I) into region (II). The exponential decay function \( A \exp(-\gamma t) \) to the dark red points. From this fit, a 2s2s decay rate of \( \gamma = 1.10 \times 10^{14} \text{ s}^{-1} \) is obtained, which agrees to within 10% with the decay rate given in [7]. The earliest dark red point is taken as the yield for the 2s2s state, from which the value for the 2s2s cross sections shown in figure 1 are calculated. The moment of collision in the calculation shown in figure 2 is estimated to happen approximately 1.1 fs after the beginning of the calculation, with the first reliable 2s2s yield obtained approximately 0.5 fs later. We estimate that this lack of access to an immediate 2s2s yield introduces an uncertainty of approximately 10% to the RMT 2s2s cross section.
FIG. 3. Probability density of finding electrons 2 and 3 at position $r_2$ and $r_3$ when the residual He$^+$ ion in the S-wave model is left in the 1s state. The density shown is obtained after 11.96 fs for an incoming electron wavepacket of 76 eV, initially centred at 75 $a_0$. The red line at $r_3 = 535a_0$ indicates data shown in figure 4, associated with autoionisation of the 2sns state.

2sns state, i.e. $r_3 = (t - t_c)/k_{i_n}$. Here $t_c$ is value of $t$ at the moment of collision, and $k_{i_n}$ is the momentum of the impact electron after exciting the atom to the 2sns autoionising state. Some time after the moment of collision, the 2sns state autoionizes, leading to emission of an electron associated with the $r_2$ radial coordinate. Since this autoionised electron has a well-defined momentum, $r_2$ and $t$ can be mapped to the moment of autoionisation $\tau_n(r_2, t)$ from state 2sns as

$$\tau_n(r_2, t) = t - t_c - r_2/\sqrt{2E_n}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

such that the autoionised electron has travelled from $r = 0$ at time of emission $\tau_n(r_2, t)$ to $r = r_2$ at time $t$. Interference between autoionisation contributions from 2s2s and higher 2sns states then gives a time-dependent autoionisation rate for the doubly excited He atom, which is reflected in the series of peaks in figure 3.

Figure 4 shows the probability density along the autoionisation wavepacket (as described by the red line in figure 3) at four moments during the calculation along with a model of the autoionisation arising from the 2sns states. The wavepacket along $r_2$ is modelled by considering the autoionisation of the 2s2s, 2s3s and 2s4s states:

$$P(r_2, t) = C \sum_{n=2}^4 \sigma_n \gamma_n e^{-\gamma_n \tau_n(r_2, t)} \exp \left( i(k_n r_2 - E_n t) \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

In these equations, $E_n$ is the energy of the 2sns state, $\gamma_n$ its autoionisation rate, and $\sigma_n$ its cross section. These quantities are obtained from [7]. It is possible to obtain initial estimates for the normalisation constant $C$ from the shape of the R-Matrix wavepacket. However, for the sake of avoiding unnecessary complication, we obtain $C$ by a fit to each RMT dataset. Figure 4 shows close agreement between the model and the RMT density. The rapid oscillations in figure 4 with a wavelength of $\approx 55a_0$ are related to interference between autoionisation from 2s2s and from the superposition of 2s3s and 2s4s. The modulation of these oscillations with a wavelength of $\approx 270a_0$ are associated with the interference between autoionisation from 2s3s and 2s4s. Hence the sequence of peaks follows the time-varying autoionisation of the doubly excited residual He atom.

We now turn to a demonstration of the capability of the RMT approach to describe spin dynamics as well as spatial dynamics. We note that RMT does not currently directly solve the relativistic Pauli or Dirac equations as the regimes of interest here are non-relativistic. Rather, the changes in spin coupling within the three electron system are inferred from the anti-symmetry that is imposed on the wavefunction.

To illustrate how this kind of spin dynamics can manifest itself, we consider a simple thought experiment of sequential double photoionisation of a spin-polarised three electron system (such as atomic Li). An incoming high-energy photon can eject a 1s electron from the spin-polarised Li 1s$^2$2s ground state. The resulting 1s2s state will be in a superposition of 1s2s $^1S$ and 1s2s $^3S$. The
$m_S = \pm 1$ components of this state can only be formed by the 1s2s $^3S$ state. However, the $m_S = 0$ component of this state created by photoionization consists of a coherent superposition of the $^1S$ and $^3S$ states. This superposition will now change over time between $|1s \uparrow 2s \downarrow \rangle$ and $|1s \downarrow 2s \uparrow \rangle$, due to the energy gap between the $^1S$ and $^3S$ states. Subsequent photoionisation of the 2s electron by a short time-delayed pulse will then result in an observable time variation in the spin polarisation of the ejected electron, signifying spin dynamics.

The RMT approach offers the capability to investigate such spin dynamics effects in an ab-initio manner. This is demonstrated in figure 5, which shows the fraction of the three-electron wavefunction in which the innermost two electrons are coupled to triplet spin symmetry as a function of time for different electron-impact energies. Before the collision occurs, the innermost electrons are coupled to a singlet as the He atom is in the initial 1s$^2$ ground state. During the collision, the incoming electron partially penetrates the ground state atom, becoming one of the innermost electrons. The coupling between impact electron and the other inner electron is partially described by a triplet coupling, causing the triplet spin fraction of the inner electrons to increase. After the collision, there is a notable probability for the impact electron to leave the atom, causing the original atomic electrons to return to being the inner electrons. This explains the later increase in singlet coupling.

Figure 5 suggests that, for our particular choice of initial wavepacket, the main spin dynamics in this scattering process occurs on a timescale that is dependent on the impact energy. We note that access to the full time-dependent wavefunction enables the use of different recoupling schemes, so it is possible to investigate the full range of dynamics in spin coupling between electrons. This may be of particular interest when more complex atoms with different residual-ion states, e.g. Ne$^{2+}$, are investigated.

**CONCLUSION**

In summary, the RMT approach has been successfully applied to study dynamics on the attosecond timescale for three-electron systems from first principles. We have demonstrated that the RMT approach can reliably describe impact ionisation processes involving double continua associated with different ionisation thresholds. This includes processes where the incoming electron electron excites a superposition of doubly excited states, which leads to ultra-fast dynamics in the subsequent autoionisation. The autoionisation rates in region (II) are in excellent agreement with benchmark calculations. With RMT, it is possible to extract both spin and spatial dynamics from a single calculation. The RMT codes hence provide a foundation for the investigation of intense-field multiple ionisation processes in three electron systems, as a stepping stone for our long-term aim to study such processes in general atoms.
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