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Polypharmacy and inappropriate medication use in patients with dementia: an underresearched problem

Abstract

Multimorbidity and polypharmacy are increasingly prevalent across healthcare systems and settings as global demographic trends shift towards increased proportions of older people in populations. Numerous studies have demonstrated an association between polypharmacy and potentially inappropriate prescribing (PIP), and have reported high prevalence of PIP across settings of care in Europe and North America and, as a consequence, increased risk of adverse drug reactions, healthcare utilisation, morbidity and mortality. These studies have not focused specifically on people with dementia, despite the high risk of adverse drug reactions and PIP in this patient cohort. This narrative review considers the evidence currently available in the area, including studies examining prevalence of PIP in older people with dementia, how appropriateness of prescribing is assessed, the medications most commonly implicated, the clinical consequences, and research priorities to optimise prescribing for this vulnerable patient group. Although there has been considerable research effort to develop criteria to assess medication appropriateness in older people in recent years, the majority of tools do not focus on people with dementia. Of the limited number of tools available, most focus on the advanced stages of dementia in which life-expectancy is limited. The development of tools to assess medication appropriateness in people with mild-to-moderate dementia or across the full spectrum of disease severity represents an important gap in the research literature and is beginning to attract research interest, with recent studies considering the medication regimen as a whole, or misprescribing, overprescribing or underprescribing of certain medications/medication classes including anticholinergics, psychotropics, antibiotics and analgesics. Further work is required in development and validation of criteria to assess prescribing appropriateness in this vulnerable patient population, to determine prevalence of PIP in large cohorts of people with the full spectrum of dementia variants and severities and to examine the impact of PIP on health outcomes.
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Introduction

The world’s population is ageing at an increasingly dramatic rate as fertility rates fall and people in high-, middle- and low-income countries are living longer [World Health Organization, 2015].
Multimorbidity, commonly defined as the presence of two or more chronic medical conditions [World Health Organization, 2015] and, as a consequence, polypharmacy (the prescribing of multiple medications) are increasingly prevalent across healthcare systems and settings in most developed countries [Cadogan et al. 2016] as demographic trends shift towards increased proportions of older persons in their populations. Coupled with age-related changes in drug pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics, multimorbidity and polypharmacy are widely regarded as key predisposing factors for adverse drug reactions (ADRs) [Mangoni & Jackson, 2004; Viktil et al. 2007; Cherubini et al. 2011; Petrovic et al. 2012; Onder et al. 2013].

It is widely recognised that there is no universally accepted definition of “polypharmacy” [Cadogan et al. 2016]; it has been defined as the prescribing of medications over a threshold number of four or five [Maher et al. 2014; Patterson et al. 2014], or may be considered conceptually to describe the prescribing of “many drugs” or “too many” drugs [Cadogan et al. 2016], introducing a dimension in which the appropriateness of the prescribing is taken into account [Aronson, 2004]. Irrespective of the lack of a universally recognised definition of the term, numerous studies have highlighted the association between polypharmacy and inappropriate medication use in older people [Cadogan et al. 2016; Bradley et al. 2012; Cahir et al. 2010]. Medicines are considered to be prescribed appropriately when they have a clear evidence-based indication, are cost-effective and well-tolerated [O’Mahony & Gallagher, 2008]. Inappropriate medication use, inappropriate drug use (IDU), inappropriate prescribing (IP) and potentially inappropriate prescribing (PIP) are terms used to refer to suboptimal prescribing practices which include overprescribing, underprescribing and misprescribing of medications [Spinewine et al. 2007; Kaufmann et al. 2014]. The (in)appropriateness of prescribing for older people has received significant research attention in recent years, with numerous studies reporting high prevalence of PIP in acute and long-term care settings and in community-dwelling older people in Europe and North America and demonstrating its association with increased risk of ADRs, morbidity, mortality and healthcare utilisation [Fialova et al. 2005; Fu et al. 2007; Spinewine et al. 2007; Gallagher et al. 2008; Gallagher et al. 2011; Hamilton et al. 2011; García-Gollarte et al. 2012; Opondo et al. 2012; Hill-Taylor et al. 2013; Blanco-Reina et al. 2014; Galvin et al. 2014; Gosch et al. 2014; Kovačević et al. 2014; Shade et al. 2014; Tommelein et al. 2015]. However, few studies have focussed specifically on people with dementia [Montastruc et al. 2013].

Dementia is an irreversible neurodegenerative disorder characterised by a cluster of signs and symptoms including difficulties in memory, disturbances in language, psychosocial and psychiatric changes, and impairments in activities of daily living [Burns & Iliffe, 2009; Wu et al. 2016]. It is commonly associated with other chronic medical conditions such as diabetes, chronic obstructive
pulmonary disease, chronic cardiac failure, musculoskeletal disorders, and vascular disease [Bell et al. 2015; Doraiswamy et al. 2002; Schubert et al. 2006; Lee et al. 2009; Onder et al. 2012], and as a consequence, polypharmacy [Onder et al. 2013]. It has been reported that people with dementia take an average of 5-10 medications, of which 1-2 are prescribed for dementia and the remainder are indicated for the treatment of other comorbid medical conditions [Elmståhl et al. 1998; Lau et al. 2010]. The use of multiple medications in this population raises a number of issues; memory loss, decline in intellectual function, and impaired judgement and language may cause difficulties in communicating symptoms or problems related to adverse effects of drugs [Ganjavi et al. 2007; Onder et al. 2011]. Furthermore, older people, and those with dementia in particular, are often excluded from clinical trials and guidelines, so the evidence base to guide prescribing for these patients is limited [Brauner et al. 2000; Marengoni & Onder, 2015]. Finally, people with dementia have a reduced life expectancy, which may impact on the risk-benefit profile of a medication; drugs for primary or secondary prevention may require years of treatment to demonstrate their benefit, and their use may be considered inappropriate if a patient is not expected to survive to realise this benefit [Currow & Abernethy, 2006; Fusco et al. 2009; Holmes, 2009; Onder et al. 2013].

In this narrative review article we discuss the prevalence of PIP in older people with dementia, giving consideration to how appropriateness is assessed, the medications which are most commonly implicated in PIP, the clinical consequences of such prescribing, and research priorities to optimise prescribing for this vulnerable patient group.

Search methodology

A literature search was conducted using MEDLINE (1950–January 2016), EMBASE (1980–January 2016), Web of Science (1981–January 2016), International Pharmaceutical Abstracts (1970–January 2016) and the Cochrane Library of Systematic Reviews (1999–January 2016). The search terms used were “inappropriate”, “potentially inappropriate”, “dementia”, “Alzheimer”, “drugs”, “medicines”, “medications”, “prescribing”, “prescription”, “older”, “old”, “elderly”, “aged” and combinations thereof. Only articles in the English language were selected. No attempt was made to reject papers on the basis of methodology, e.g. not a randomized controlled trial, as some studies were descriptive in nature or were papers that were classified as commentaries.

Medication appropriateness in people with dementia

There has been considerable effort to develop criteria to classify the appropriateness of medication use in older people in recent years, resulting in the evolution and refinement of a number of tools. These utilise implicit (judgement-based) or explicit (criterion-based) measures of medication
appropriateness, or a combination of both approaches [Kaufmann et al. 2014]. A recent systematic review found 46 available tools, each with limitations, strengths and weaknesses [Kaufmann et al. 2014]. These tools varied in their target populations; while most named older people as target patients, just over 20% did not specify an age group. The majority (59%) did not specify healthcare setting, some focused on hospitalised patients, while others considered ambulatory care or long-term care [Kaufman et al. 2014]. The Beers criteria [Beers et al. 1991; Beers, 1997; Fick et al. 2003; Campanelli, 2012; American Geriatrics Society, 2015] and the Screening Tool of Older Person’s Prescriptions (STOPP) – Screening Tool to Alert doctors to Right Treatment (START) criteria [Gallagher et al. 2008; O’Mahony et al. 2014] are probably the best known and most widely studied of these tools in US and European settings respectively. These tools, and others developed since the publication of the systematic review by Kaufmann et al. [Renom-Guiteras et al. 2015], do not specifically consider older people with dementia [Krӧger et al. 2015; Montastruc et al. 2013]; there is a paucity of studies relating to the development and application of criteria specifically for assessing appropriateness of medication for this patient population.

PIP in people with dementia has been understudied to date [Montastruc et al. 2013; Johnell 2015; Sköldunger et al. 2015], but is gaining research interest, as indicated by the recent publication of a number of studies focusing on this particularly vulnerable cohort. Much of this work, discussed below, has focussed on people with advanced dementia (characterised by profound cognitive deficits, inability to recognize family members, speech limited to fewer than 5 words, total functional dependence, incontinence, and inability to ambulate [Mitchell et al. 2012]) and limited life expectancy. These studies are summarised in Table 1.

The most significant advance in the literature in this area was the development of consensus criteria for appropriate prescribing by Holmes et al. [Holmes et al. 2008]. These criteria have since been applied in a number of other studies in the USA and Europe (Table 1). More recently, Krӧger et al. undertook a scoping review of criteria on medication appropriateness in severe dementia and characteristics of intervention studies [Krӧger et al. 2015]. This revealed that although considerable attention had been devoted to inappropriateness of medication use among older residents of nursing homes, comprehensive specific criteria were limited to the Holmes et al. list. Following the publication of this review, Parsons et al. presented medication appropriateness indicators for persons with advanced dementia specific to the UK context developed using a similar approach to Holmes et al. [Parsons et al. 2015], details of which are outlined in Table 1. To the best of the author’s knowledge, the criteria identified by Holmes et al. (2008) and Parsons et al. (2015)
represent the only currently available systems for identifying PIP in people with advanced dementia in whom a palliative approach is needed.

Studies including people with mild-to-moderate dementia or recruiting across the full spectrum of disease severity are now beginning to appear in the research literature. Summarised in Table 1, these studies focus on the medication regimen as a whole, or on several medications or medication classes. They demonstrate the high prevalence of PIP across disease severity and setting and country of care, and the necessity for development and validation of tools to assess appropriateness of prescribing specifically for people with dementia. Expanding the evidence base in this area is of critical importance due to the adverse health, clinical and economic outcomes associated with inappropriate drug use among older people with dementia [Sköldunger et al. 2015].

In addition to this body of work, some studies have considered misprescribing, overprescribing, or underprescribing of individual medications or medication classes, as outlined below. Considerable scope exists to improve the quality of prescribing for people with dementia in each of these areas.

**Appropriateness of prescribing of specific drug classes**

**Anticholinergic medications**

Older people are commonly subjected to a high anticholinergic load or burden due to the widespread use of anticholinergic/antimuscarinic medications and the wide range of medications possessing anticholinergic side-effects (such as antihistamines, antidepressants, anti-Parkinson agents, antipsychotics, antispasmodics, and skeletal muscle relaxants) [Mate et al. 2015]. People with dementia are particularly susceptible to adverse effects associated with a high anticholinergic load [Sunderland et al. 1987; Sunderland et al., 1985; Sura et al. 2013]; they have reduced levels of acetylcholine (a neurotransmitter critical to the neurons involved in cognition), and as a consequence anticholinergic medications may worsen their cognitive function [Feinberg 1993; Roe et al. 2002; Carnahan et al. 2004; Kemper et al. 2007; Rudolph et al. 2008; Carrière et al. 2009; Modi et al. 2009; Fox et al. 2011].

Studies have demonstrated a high anticholinergic load among people with dementia; work conducted in the United States, Australia and the UK have reported that between 40 and 60% of patients with dementia use at least one anticholinergic medication [Chan et al. 2006; Bhattacharya et al. 2011; Sura et al. 2013; Mate et al. 2015; Cross et al. 2016], with 10 – 25% using higher potency anticholinergic drugs or medications with clinically significant anticholinergic activities [Bhattacharya et al. 2011; Sura et al. 2013; Mate et al. 2015; Palmer et al. 2015; Cross et al. 2016]. Due to the association of anticholinergic burden with falls, worsening cognition and worsening function [Moore et al. 2011; Bhattacharya et al. 2011; Sura et al. 2013; Mate et al. 2015; Palmer et al. 2015; Cross et al. 2016];...
& O’Keefe, 1999; Tune, 2001; Cao et al. 2008; Lowry et al. 2011; Uusvaara et al. 2011], clinicians should consider the anticholinergic properties of medications when adding to or changing patients’ drug regimens, with a view to minimising the anticholinergic effects [Palmer et al. 2015].

**Psychotropics**

Psychotropic drugs, including antipsychotics, anxiolytics, hypnotics, antidepressants, anticonvulsants and antidementia drugs, are widely prescribed for behavioural and psychological symptoms of dementia (BPSD) [Thompson Coon et al. 2014; van der Spek et al. 2015], which include behaviours such as aggression, screaming, restlessness, anxiety, hallucinations and depressive mood [Cerejeira et al. 2012]. These neuropsychiatric symptoms are highly prevalent in people with dementia; up to 90% of people with Alzheimer’s disease experience at least one symptom during the course of the disease [Liperoti et al. 2008].

Treatment guidelines for BPSD recommend that antipsychotics should not be used as first-line management; detailed assessment to rule out other treatable causes of symptoms and alternative nonpharmacological interventions should be tried first [Food and Drug Administration, 2005; National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2006; Food and Drug Administration, 2008; European Medicines Agency, 2010; Chiu et al. 2015; Foebel et al. 2016]. Antipsychotics are unlicensed for treatment of these symptoms [Muench & Hamer, 2010], with the exception of risperidone, which has approval for this indication in some countries [Langballe et al. 2014]. Furthermore, they have demonstrated limited efficacy and have been associated with serious side-effects, increased morbidity, exacerbation of functional and cognitive decline, and mortality [Schneider et al. 2005; Kales et al. 2007; Liperoti et al. 2009; Trifirò et al. 2009; Ballard et al. 2011; Musicco et al. 2011; Huybrechts et al. 2012; Langballe et al. 2014; Bonner et al. 2015; Foebel et al. 2016]. Despite this, they continue to be prescribed frequently, often on an unlicensed, long-term basis. As a result, the appropriateness of antipsychotic use in this population is often questioned [Chiu et al. 2015; Foebel et al. 2016], and it has been estimated that two-thirds of prescriptions are unnecessary [Ballard et al. 2014]. Although rates of antipsychotic prescribing in older people with dementia are declining in response to warnings from agencies such as the US Food and Drug Administration (Food and Drug Administration 2005; Food and Drug Administration 2008), the European Medicines Agency [European Medicines Agency, 2010] and the UK Department of Health [Banerjee, 2009], antipsychotic use remains widespread, particularly in long-term care settings.

Recent rates of antipsychotic prescribing have been reported to range from 7.4% in primary care and 16.6% in acute care in the UK [Martinez et al. 2013; Stephens et al. 2014], to 25% across care settings in Germany [Schulze et al. 2013], 31% in institutional care settings in the Netherlands.
and 40% in geriatric care settings in Sweden [Lövheim et al. 2006]. In the United States, the 2004 National Nursing Home survey (NNHS) reported that approximately one-third (32.9%) of nursing home residents with dementia received at least one antipsychotic medication [Kamble et al. 2009]. More recent figures suggest that patterns of prescribing in the US have not changed considerably; 23.9% of all long-stay nursing home residents received at least one antipsychotic medication [Centres for Medicare and Medicaid Services, 2011]. Future research should aim to continue to gather and apply research evidence to improve the safety and quality of antipsychotic prescribing, and to address a number of key unanswered questions regarding the mortality risk of drugs at the individual drug level, the relationship between dose and mortality, and the individual patient factors which may predispose or mitigate risk [Ballard et al. 2014].

**Antibiotics**

People with dementia are susceptible to infections, including respiratory tract infections (RTIs), urinary tract infections (UTIs), and skin and soft tissue infections [Mitchell et al. 2009; Vandervoort et al. 2013]. Treatment decisions, particularly in the more advanced stages of dementia and at the end of life, are complex [van Der Maaden et al. 2015] and require a balance of the potential burden caused by treatment, the best interests of the patient, and family and patient preferences [van der Steen et al. 2001; Hurley & Volicer, 2002]. This complexity is due in part to the inability of patients to communicate their symptoms, and because typical symptoms of infections are often absent [Scherder et al. 2009; D’Agata et al. 2013].

Much work has been undertaken to examine potentially inappropriate antimicrobial use in older people residing in long-term care settings [Zimmer et al. 1986; Warren et al. 1991; Pickering et al. 1994; Montgomery et al. 1995; Loeb et al. 2001; Lim et al. 2012; Phillips et al. 2012; Stuart et al. 2012; Peron et al. 2013; Sloane et al. 2014; van Buul et al. 2015], reporting asymptomatic bacteriuria as the most common reason for potentially inappropriate antibiotic use [Pickering et al. 1994; Loeb et al. 2001; Nicolle, 2002; Black et al. 2006; Phillips et al. 2012; D’Agata et al. 2013; van Buul et al. 2015]. However, there has been limited work undertaken to date to examine the appropriateness of antibiotic prescribing specifically in people with dementia [Mitchell et al. 2014]. One study of US nursing home residents with advanced dementia reported that 44% of treated episodes of suspected infection met minimum clinical criteria [Loeb et al. 2001] for initiation of antimicrobial treatment, suggesting that much of the extensive prescription of antimicrobials in advanced dementia may be unwarranted [Mitchell et al. 2014]. The authors reported that antibiotics were most likely to be initiated in the absence of minimum treatment criteria for UTIs which rely on subjective signs and symptoms undetectable to the observer in the absence of patient self-report.
They highlighted the difficulty in interpreting what is “symptomatic” in people with advanced dementia and in applying minimum criteria for treatment. The development of consensus criteria to measure appropriateness of prescribing, specific to people with dementia and comprising indicators of appropriate prescribing of antimicrobials, would facilitate a more judicious approach to infection management in this vulnerable patient population, avoiding unnecessary treatment burden and mitigating the threat of multidrug resistant organisms [Mitchell et al. 2014].

**Analgesics**

It has been widely reported that approximately 50% of older people with dementia experience pain [Leong & Nuo, 2007; Zwakhele et al. 2009; Barry et al. 2016], which is often chronic in nature and caused by musculoskeletal conditions, previous fractures or neuropathies [Hadjistavropoulos et al. 2007; Scherder & Plooij, 2012]. Despite this, there is evidence that pain in dementia often remains undetected and undertreated [Hoffmann et al. 2014; Tan et al. 2015]. A number of possible reasons for this have been suggested; people with dementia have difficulty in expressing and communicating their pain [Marzinksi 1991; Ferrell et al. 1995; Cook et al. 1999; Husebo et al. 2008; Reynolds et al. 2008], it can be difficult for observers to identify pain which can manifest in a range of behaviours and symptoms also indicative of other forms of distress including depression, boredom or anxiety [Breland et al. 2015], and physicians may be reluctant to prescribe medications, particularly opioids, for these patients due to higher rates of treatment complications [Closs et al. 2004; Pickering et al. 2006; Corbett et al. 2012; Monroe et al. 2014; Breland et al. 2015; Li et al. 2015]. Furthermore, a lack of pharmacological studies examining the pharmacodynamic properties of analgesics in this vulnerable patient population [McLachlan et al. 2011] may result in treatment decisions made in the absence of a clear knowledge of the impact of comorbidities on the efficacy and adverse effect profiles of analgesics [Achterberg et al. 2013].

It has been hypothesised that people with dementia may perceive and express pain differently to cognitively intact individuals; however, to date, many studies examining pain and analgesic use in people with dementia have utilised pain assessment scales or instruments which are not specific to this patient population [Scherder et al. 2003; Corbett et al., 2012; Tan et al. 2015]. Future research is required using dementia-specific pain scales [Achterberg et al. 2013; Tan et al. 2015] and considering the neuropathology, experience, assessment and management of pain in these vulnerable patients [Tan et al. 2015]. The appropriateness of pharmacological treatments for people with dementia, considering the use of different analgesic classes and doses, as part of a standardised approach to pain management, is a research priority [Tan et al. 2015].

**Priorities for research**
Further studies of PIP in large cohorts of people with dementia and cognitive impairment, which include patients across the spectrum of variants and dementia severities, are required [Johnell, 2015; Sköldunger et al. 2015]. In order to undertake these studies, it is imperative that prescribing appropriateness criteria specific to this patient population are developed and/or utilised, which take into consideration the most commonly implicated medications discussed in this narrative review; namely anticholinergics, psychotropics, antibiotics and analgesics, in addition to drug-drug and drug-disease interactions. Such criteria must consider dementia of all severities and be applicable across settings of care. Future work should also examine the impact of PIP on health outcomes for persons with dementia [Hanlon et al. 2015], an area which has received little research attention to date.

Conclusion

Older adults with dementia are at risk of suboptimal and potentially inappropriate prescribing. Evidence-based guidelines to assist physicians in prescribing for these vulnerable patients, who often have multiple co-morbidities and take multiple medications, are lacking. The pharmacotherapeutic management of these patients is an area requiring urgent research attention.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author/Year (Country)</th>
<th>Sample, N</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Definition of Medication Appropriateness</th>
<th>Study findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Holmes et al. 2008 (USA)</td>
<td>34 residents with advanced dementia (FAST score 6E, 7A, 7B or 7C [Reisberg, 1988]) in 3 long-term care facilities</td>
<td>Feasibility study developing consensus recommendation for appropriate prescribing in people advanced dementia in whom palliation of symptoms is the primary goal of therapy, using modified Delphi consensus process (12 geriatricians), and medication record review</td>
<td>Medications categorised into: never, rarely, sometimes or always appropriate for use in patients with advanced dementia</td>
<td>Consensus was reached on appropriateness of 69 of 81 medications and medication classes. 5% of 221 medications prescribed at enrolment were considered to be never appropriate, and 10 of 34 patients (29%) were taking a never appropriate medication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tjia et al. 2010, (USA)</td>
<td>323 residents with advanced dementia (GDS score of 7 [Reisberg et al. 1982]) in 22 nursing homes</td>
<td>Medication record review of data collected in the CASCADE study (Mitchell et al. 2006)</td>
<td>Prescribing of drugs deemed never appropriate in advanced dementia according to Holmes et al. (2008)</td>
<td>37.5% received at least one medication considered never appropriate in advanced dementia. Most commonly implicated inappropriate medications were AChEIs (15.8%), lipid-lowering agents (12.1%) and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Population Description</td>
<td>Methodology</td>
<td>Findings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colloca et al. 2012 (Europe)</td>
<td>1449 residents with severe cognitive impairment (CPS score of 4 – 6 [Morris et al. 1994]) in 57 nursing homes</td>
<td>Medication record review of data collected in the SHELTER study (Onder et al. 2012)</td>
<td>Prevalence of prescribing drugs classified by Holmes et al. (2008) as rarely or never appropriate in advanced dementia. Inappropriate drug use observed in 643 (44.9%) of residents. Most commonly implicated medications were lipid-lowering drugs (9.9%), antiplatelet agents excluding acetylsalicylic acid (9.9%), AChEIs (7.2%) and antispasmodics (6.9%).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parsons et al. 2012 (UK)</td>
<td>Residents with dementia (documented diagnosis or cognitive impairment indicative of dementia determined by senior care home staff) in 6 residential care homes (n=119, timepoint 1; n=110, timepoint 2)</td>
<td>Retrospective analysis of medication data</td>
<td>Prescribing of potentially PIMs, using 31 from 65 STOPP criteria. 55 residents (46.2%) and 45 residents (40.9%) prescribed ≥ 1 PIM at timepoints 1 and 2 respectively. Long-term antipsychotics more frequently prescribed PIM; for 21.0% and 19.1% of residents at timepoints 1 and 2.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montastruc et al. 2013 (France)</td>
<td>684 community-dwelling people with mild-to-moderate AD (MMSE 10-26 [Folstein et al. 1975])</td>
<td>Analysis of medication data collected in prospective multicentre cohort study</td>
<td>Prescribing of PIMs according to the 2003 Beers criteria (Fick et al. 2003), the Laroche list (Laroche et al. 2007) and a further list of atropinic drugs. Approximately one-quarter (25.3%) of patients were prescribed ≥ 1 PIM according to the Beers criteria, and 46.8% were</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Population Description</td>
<td>Methodology</td>
<td>Findings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toscani et al. 2013 (Italy)</td>
<td>245 residents in 34 nursing homes and 165 community dwelling people with advanced dementia (FAST score ≥ 7)</td>
<td>Medication record review of data collected in the EOLO-PSODEC study</td>
<td>46.8% of patients were prescribed ≥ 1 PIM. Cerebral vasodilators were the most widely used class (24% of all prescriptions), followed by atropinic drugs (17%) and long half-life benzodiazepines (8.5%). Atropinic drugs were associated AChEIs in 16% of patients. 21.2% of all participants prescribed never or rarely appropriate medications. Prescribing rates did not differ significantly between community-dwelling people with dementia and those resident in nursing homes.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Tjia et al. 2014 (USA)          | 5406 residents with advanced dementia                      | Medication record review of nationwide                                      | 2911 (53.9) of residents received at }
| Hanlon et al. 2015 (USA) | 1303 veterans with dementia (identified using ICD-9 codes for AD, VaD and other dementias, including dementia not otherwise specified) resident in 133 Veterans Affairs Community Living Centers. Dementia severity defined using CPS and ADL (McConnell et al. 2002) scores. | Analysis of medication data collected during retrospective descriptive study | For patients with mild-to-moderate dementia, underuse was operationally defined as no pharmacy dispensing of an AChEI. In the severe dementia group, overuse was defined as use of medications/classes for which patients were unlikely to derive benefit given their limited life expectancy and the risk of adverse drug reactions (medications defined by Holmes et al. [2008] as “never appropriate” for use in these patients). PIM use was defined in both groups | Rates of PIP were 27.2% for people with mild-to-moderate dementia and 25.1% for those with severe dementia respectively. Potential underuse was observed in 70.2% of people with mild-to-moderate dementia, and potential overuse in 36.1% of those with severe dementia (23.4% receiving AChEIs and 12.8% a lipid-lowering agent). Approximately one-quarter (25.1%) of people with severe |
as evidence of clinically important drug-disease interactions (medications which may worsen cognitive function: anticholinergics, barbiturates, and benzodiazepine receptor agonists) or drug-drug interactions (highly anticholinergic drugs that can block the pharmacodynamics effects of AChEIs, or drugs which can inhibit the metabolism of galantamine or donepezil).

Dementia had evidence of inappropriate prescribing as a result of a drug-drug or drug-disease interaction. In people with severe dementia, antipsychotic use was associated with all three types of suboptimal prescribing.

<p>| Parsons et al. 2015 (UK) | 15 residents with advanced dementia (FAST score between 6E and 7F) from 3 nursing homes | Feasibility study developing consensus recommendation for appropriate prescribing in people advanced dementia in whom palliation of symptoms is the primary goal of therapy, using Delphi consensus process (9 clinicians including 4 geriatricians, 4 old age psychiatrists and 1 | 14 residents at baseline were taking ≥ 1 never appropriate medication, and 25.7% of medications prescribed were never appropriate. Use of never appropriate medications did not change significantly at 3, 6 or 9 month |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Methodology</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sköldunger et al. 2015 (Sweden)</td>
<td>4108 older people in the SNAC study (Lagergren et al. 2004), including 319 with dementia (diagnosed by a physician, according to DSM-III-R [American Psychiatric Association, 1987])</td>
<td>Analysis of medication data collected during prospective longitudinal cohort SNAC study</td>
<td>Prescribing of inappropriate drugs defined as exposure to at least one of the following: concurrent use of three or more psychotropic drugs, drugs with anticholinergic properties, long-acting benzodiazepines, and serious drug-drug interactions as defined by national guidelines. Prevalence of inappropriate drug use was significantly higher in the cohort with dementia (27.3%) than for participants without dementia (n=3783), in whom prevalence was reported at 11.8%. For people with dementia, inappropriate drug use was associated with an increased risk of hospitalisation but the association between inappropriate drug use and mortality was not significant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross et al. 2016 (Australia)</td>
<td>964 community-dwelling patients with mild cognitive impairment (Petersen criteria [Winblad et al. 2004]) or dementia (DSM-IV), attending 9 memory clinics</td>
<td>Analysis of medication data from the PRIME multicentre observational study (Brodaty et al. 2011)</td>
<td>Prescribing of PIMs relating to cognitive impairment, utilising Beers 2012 criteria (Campanelli, 2012) and the STOPP 2014 criteria (O’Mahony et al. 2014).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>