RanGTPase: A Candidate for Myc-Mediated Cancer Progression
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Background
Ras-related nuclear protein (Ran) is required for cancer cell survival in vitro and human cancer progression, but the molecular mechanisms are largely unknown.

Methods
We investigated the effect of the v-myc myelocytomatosis viral oncogene homolog (Myc) on Ran expression by Western blot, chromatin immunoprecipitation, and luciferase reporter assays and the effects of Myc and Ran expression in cancer cells by soft-agar, cell adhesion, and invasion assays. The correlation between Myc and Ran and the association with patient survival were investigated in 14 independent patient cohorts (n = 2430) and analyzed with Spearman’s rank correlation and Kaplan–Meier plots coupled with Wilcoxon–Gehan tests, respectively. All statistical tests were two-sided.

Results
Myc binds to the upstream sequence of Ran and transactivates Ran promoter activity. Overexpression of Myc upregulates Ran expression, whereas knockdown of Myc downregulates Ran expression. Myc or Ran overexpression in breast cancer cells is associated with cancer progression and metastasis. Knockdown of Ran reverses the effect induced by Myc overexpression in breast cancer cells. In clinical data, a positive association between Myc and Ran expression was revealed in 288 breast cancer and 102 lung cancer specimens. Moreover, Ran expression levels differentiate better or poorer survival in Myc overexpressing breast ($\chi^2 = 24.1$; relative risk [RR] = 9.1, 95% confidence interval [CI] = 3.3 to 24.7, $P < .001$) and lung ($\chi^2 = 6.04$; RR = 2.8, 95% CI = 1.2 to 6.3; $P = .01$) cancer cohorts.

Conclusions
Our results suggest that Ran is required for and is a potential therapeutic target of Myc-driven cancer progression in both breast and lung cancers.
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Ras-related nuclear protein (Ran) GTPase is a small GTPase belonging to the Ras superfamily. Unlike other members, Ran lacks a cysteine residue at its C-terminus and hence does not undergo prenylation. Instead, it has an acidic C-terminal sequence—DEDDDL—that is essential for its cellular functions, including nucleocytoplasmic transportation, mitosis, and centrosome reduplication (1–3). The importance of Ran in tumorigenesis and as a cancer therapeutic target has been described in recent studies (4–6). Ran is overexpressed in cancer cell lines and tumor tissues at both the mRNA and protein levels compared with normal counterparts (4). Ran expression is required for mitosis of cancer cells but not normal cells (5,6). Cancer cells with K-Ras activating mutations are more dependent on Ran expression than their K-Ras wild-type counterparts (7,8). Ran expression is required for the survival of cancer cells with hyperactivation of the PI3K/Akt/mTORC1 and Ras/MEK/ERK pathways (8). Ran is also a poor prognostic marker in breast, lung, and ovarian cancers and renal cell carcinoma (8–10). In addition, we and others have shown that Ran overexpression may play a role in the metastatic development of breast and lung cancers, highlighting a novel role of Ran in cancer progression (11,12).

The v-myc myelocytomatosis viral oncogene homolog (Myc) is an important oncogene that drives tumorigenesis by modulating various biological responses through transcriptional activation of its target genes (13). Recently, Myc expression has been shown to be associated with a “poor prognosis” signature, which is, in turn, associated with the development of distant metastases (14,15). Among other genes, Ran has been shown to be upregulated by Myc in a rat cDNA microarray study (16). Indeed, in silico prediction of transcription factor binding sites using Ran promoter sequence also revealed two potential Myc binding E-box elements (Supplementary Figure 1, available online). Given the important roles of Ran in cancer development and progression, it is important to study the transcriptional regulation of Ran expression, which may in turn explain its increased expression in cancer patients with poorer prognosis (8).
Methods and Materials

Cell Culture Conditions
Immortalized human breast epithelial cell line MCF10A and its Ras-transformed derivative MCF10AT (Karmanos Cancer Center, Detroit, MI; not authentically tested; cells were within 20 passages upon receipt from the donor) were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium/F-12 containing 5% horse serum, 10 μg/mL insulin, 20 ng/mL epidermal growth factor, 100 ng/mL cholera toxin, and 0.5 μg/mL hydrocortisone. Viral Packaging cell lines 293T (American Type Culture Collection [ATCC] Manassas, VA) and Phoenix Ampho (gift from Nolan Laboratory, Stanford University, CA; not authentically tested; cells were within 20 passages upon receipt from the donor) were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum. Breast cancer cell lines MCF-7 (ATCC) and MDA MB 231 (ATCC) and lung cancer cell line A549 (ATCC) were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum. Breast cancer cell line T47D (ATCC) was maintained in Roswell Park Memorial Institute 1640 medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum. MCF10AT and Phoenix Ampho cells were phenotypically verified. The cell lines from ATCC were authenticated by short tandem repeat profiling by ATCC and expanded upon receipt. Cell lines used in were within 30 passage upon receipt, and cells were split in a 3- to 5-day interval, and tested for mycoplasma contamination once a month.

5′ Rapid Amplification of cDNA Ends (RACE) Assay
A 5′ RACE assay was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Roche, Burgess Hill, UK) using the following three Ran promoter specific primers (RPSP): RPSP-1: 5′-CAGGTCATCATTCCCTCCCGG-3′; RPSP-2: 5′-TGTTTGCCACACAAACAATG-3′; RPSP-3: 5′-CAAGGGTGGCTACATATTCT-3′.

Plasmid
Ran cDNA was amplified by Phusion Hot Start High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (New England Biolabs, Hitchin, UK) using total cDNA from MDA MB 231 and the forward primers Ran cdsF (5′-ATT GGCC GTA CCT CGA TCT TT-3′) and Ran cdsR (5′-GCT CCA GCT TCA TTC TCA CA-3′), and cloned into pBabe-puro retroviral over-expression vector. pBabe-Myc-zeo was a gift from R. Weinberg, and pRetrosuper shMyc was a gift from M. Eilers, pLKO.1-shRan1 (CCGGGCACAGTATGGCGACGACTTACTGAGTAGTCTGCTGCTACTATGTGCTTTTTTGT) and pLKO.1-shRan5 (CCGGCGAGTTTCAAACATGGTTATGGTTCTGCAGACCCAACTACCAATGTTGAATGTTTTTG) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Dorset, UK). The Ran promoter (718 base pairs upstream of the transcription start site) was amplified using Phusion Hot Start High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase and cloned into pGL3 basic reporter construct (Promega, Southampton, UK).

Generation of Cell Lines
Transfection was performed using GeneJuice (Promega) according to the manufacturer’s instruction. MCF10A pBabe-vector and MCF10A pBabe-Myc cells were generated by stable transfection by selecting cells in the appropriate amounts of antibiotics. MCF10A-vector and MCF10A-Ran cells were generated by retroviral infection of pBabe-vector and pBabe-Ran plasmids, respectively. MDA MB 231-shScr (Scramble) and MDA MB 231-shMyc cells were generated by retroviral infection of pRetrosuper-shScr and pRetrosuper-shMyc, respectively. MDA MB 231-shScr and MDA MB 231-shRan cells were generated by lentiviral infection of pLKO.1-shScr and pLKO.1-shRan5, respectively, as previously described (8). The stable cells were used only when they were within 5 passages after establishment.

Western Blot
Western blotting was performed as previously described (8). Antibodies for Ran (from rabbits; Millipore, Watford, UK) were used at 1:1000 dilution; antibodies for Myc (from mice; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA) were used at a dilution of 1:1000; and those for Actin (from mice; Sigma-Aldrich) were used at a dilution of 1:5000. The fold change in protein level was determined by densitometry.

Measurement of Ran Activity
Ran activity was measured using the Ran activation assay kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Cell Biolabs, San Diego, CA). Briefly, GTP-bound Ran was pulled down by using RanBP1 PBD Agarose bead slurry, and the pulled-down complex was then dissociated by boiling in 2x reducing sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis sample buffer. The pull-down supernatant was then analyzed by Western blotting.

Soft Agar, Cell Adhesion, and Invasion Assays
These biological assays were performed as previously described (17). For soft agar assay, 5000 suspension cells in normal medium containing 0.35% (w/v) low-melting-point agarose were overlayed onto a solidified normal medium containing 0.7% (w/v) low-melting-point agarose. Cells were incubated at 37°C with 5% (v/v) carbon dioxide for 2 to 3 weeks. Colonies were visualized by staining with crystal violet and counted. For cell adhesion assay, 40 000 cells in suspension were seeded per well in a 96-well plate and were allowed to settle for 30 and 60 minutes. Suspended cells were removed by washing four times with phosphate-buffered saline, and adhered cells were then fixed with 70% (v/v) ethanol and stained with crystal violet. The excess crystal violet was washed away with deionized water, and the retained dye was extracted with 70% ethanol. The absorbance at 595 nm was measured in a microplate reader. For the invasion assay, 50000 cells in serum- and phenol red-free medium were seeded into the upper layer of the transwell insert containing Matrigel (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA). Invasion through Matrigel was allowed to take place for 24 hours with serum as the chemotactic agent in the lower layer. Cells above the insert were removed, and those below were fixed and stained with crystal violet. Excess dye was washed away with water, and the retained dye was extracted. The absorbance at 595 nm was measured. All the biological assays were performed at 48 hours postinfection, where Ran knockdown had already been demonstrated, whereas Ran knockdown-induced cell death was not observed (Supplementary Figure 2, available online).
Luciferase Reporter Assay
Luciferase reporter assay was performed using the dual luciferase assay kit according to the manufacturer's instructions (Promega), as previously described [18]. Cells were seeded in a 12-well plate and cotransfected with the expression vectors and luciferase reporter plasmids after 24 hours. Protein was extracted after 36 hours, and the firefly luciferase activity was normalized to the internal control renilla luciferase activity.

Patients and Specimens
Patients and specimens were described previously (8). A total of 320 formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded breast carcinoma specimens were taken from an archive that was collected between 1976 and 1986 at the Breast Unit, Royal Liverpool Hospital. The mean age of the patients was 57 years (range = 29–92 years). No sign of metastasis was seen in these patients before specimen procurement. Treatment was either mastectomy or radical mastectomy with or without adjuvant chemotherapy before the procurement of the specimens. Anonymized follow-up information, including patient survival, histology, and tumor node metastasis classification of malignant tumors staging were provided by the Northern Ireland Cancer Registry. Informed consent was obtained from patients. The Liverpool breast cancer patient cohort was approved by the Liverpool Adult Research Ethics Committee (07/H1005/93), whereas the Dublin lung cancer specimens obtained from Dublin St. James’ Hospital and resected by either lobectomy or pneumonectomy were incorporated into a tissue microarray for immunohistochemical staining. Among these patients, 109 had not received any form of adjuvant chemotherapy before the procurement of the specimens. Anonymized follow-up information, including patient survival, histology, and tumor node metastasis classification of malignant tumors staging were provided by the Northern Ireland Cancer Registry. Informed consent was obtained from patients. The Liverpool breast cancer patient cohort was approved by the Liverpool Adult Research Ethics Committee (07/H1005/93), whereas the Dublin lung cancer patient cohort was approved by Saint James’s Hospital and Adelaide and Meath Hospital, Dublin (TCD041018/8804).

Immunohistochemistry
Immunohistochemical staining was performed as previously described (8) according to the manufacturer’s instructions (DAKO Envision+ kit; DAKO, Ely, UK). Ran antibody was used at a dilution of 1:100, whereas Myc antibody was used at a dilution of 1:250.

Evaluation of Immunohistochemical Staining Results
Evaluation was performed as previously described (8). For breast cancer specimens (from Liverpool), the whole section was used for staining, and the percentage of stained carcinoma (both nuclei and cytoplasm) cells was recorded from at least 10 fields of duplicate histological sections at 200× magnification by two independent observers. The mean score was further classified into high- and low-level expression with a cutoff point at 2.5. For overall Ran expression, tumors with high levels of both nuclear and cytoplasmic Ran staining were considered as high, tumors with high level of nuclear and low level of cytoplasmic Ran, or vice versa, were considered as intermediate, and tumors with low levels of both nuclear and cytoplasmic Ran were considered as low.

Statistical Analysis
Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 19.0 software (IBM, Armonk, NY). Differences between groups in in vitro experiments were tested by Student t test (two groups) and analysis of variance (ANOVA) test with post hoc Games–Howell (comparing two groups when more than three groups were tested in the same experiment). Differences in expression levels between groups/samples in the human specimens were analyzed by χ², Fisher exact test, or Mann–Whitney U tests, where applicable. The association between the expression level and patient survival was recorded by Kaplan–Meier plots and compared by Wilcoxon–Gehan tests. A P value of less than .05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical tests were two-sided.

Analysis of Breast and Lung Cancer Microarray Data
Seven breast cancer datasets [GSE1456 (19), GSE2034 (20), GSE3143 (21), GSE4922 (22), GSE7390 (23), GSE11121 (24), GSE12276 (25)], each consisting of more than 150 patients, were included in the analysis. Five lung cancer datasets [GSE3141 (21), GSE4573 (26), GSE8894 (27), GSE13213 (28), GSE14814 (29)], each consisting of more than 90 patients, were included in the analysis. The datasets were preprocessed as previously described using R and Bioconductor for normalization (8). The combined breast cancer dataset consisted of 1454 patients, whereas the combined lung cancer dataset consisted of 586 patients. The patients were stratified equally into four groups based on the expression levels of Ran and Myc (each group contains 25% of the patients) for further statistical analysis. The methodology for the bioinformatics steps is provided as Sweave documentation in the Supplementary Methods (available online).

Results
Effect of Myc on Ran Transcription
Ran has been shown to be upregulated by Myc in a rat cDNA microarray study on identification of Myc downstream targets (16), whereas our in silico analysis of the Ran upstream sequence revealed two Myc binding E-box elements (Supplementary Figure 1, available online). These results led us to investigate whether Myc is a transcriptional activator of Ran. As shown in Figure 1A, overexpression of Myc by 2.1-fold resulted in upregulation of endogenous Ran protein in the breast MCF10AT cell line by 2.3-fold as determined by Western blot analysis. The increase in the active form of Ran (RanGTP) was also detected upon Myc overexpression.
Figure 1. Transcriptional regulation of Ras-related nuclear protein (Ran) by v-myc myelocytomatosis viral oncogene homolog (Myc). A) Left panel, Western blot of Myc-transfected cells. Stable Myc overexpressing MCF10AT cells had a higher level of Myc (2.1-fold) and a higher endogenous protein level of Ran (2.3-fold) compared with the vector control MCF10AT cells. Right panel, Immunoprecipitation (IP) was performed using anti–Ran binding protein 1 (RanBP1) antibody, which binds to Ran (binds only to RanGTP), in both MCF10AT-vector and MCF10AT-Myc cells. The resultant pull-down eluents were then analyzed by immunoblotting (IB) for Ran. Actin was used as a loading control for inputs and negative control for the pull-down assay for the two cell lines. B) Gel electrophoresis of the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) product from 5’ Rapid Amplification of cDNA Ends (RACE) assay showing a specific PCR product of approximately 200 base pairs (bp). C) A schematic diagram of Ran promoter. The cysteine residue at +1 position is the transcriptional start site of the Ran gene identified by sequencing of the PCR product obtained in (B). D) Ran promoter activity. Transfection of expression vector for Myc and the Ran promoter reporter construct in MCF10AT cells resulted in a statistically significant increase in the luciferase reporter assay for the Ran promoter activity compared with transfection of control vector and Ran promoter reporter construct (mean increase = 3.2-fold, 95% confidence interval [CI] = 1.1 to 5.4; Student t test, P = .01). The histogram is showing mean and 95% confidence interval for the promoter activity. E) Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay for the Ran promoter region. Myc binds to the distal E-box element in human Ran promoter in vivo. Positive control template was input genomic DNA, and negative control template was water. PCR of immunoglobulin G (IgG) pull-down ChIP products was used as a control to the Myc pull-down ChIP products for each cell line and are shown side-by-side. F) A schematic diagram for distal E-box mutagenesis of Ran promoter. Mutations were introduced into the pGL3-RanPro reporter construct. G) Activities of wild-type (WTpro) and mutant (Mutpro) Ran promoter. Myc overexpression resulted in a statistically significant increase in relative luciferase activity driven by the Ran promoter in MCF10AT cells (mean increase = 5.9-fold, 95% CI = 4.54 to 7.31; analysis of variance [ANOVA] post hoc Games–Howell test, P = .004); This Myc-mediated increase in Ran promoter activity was significantly reduced to 42% of the WT counterpart (95% CI = 33% to 53%; ANOVA post hoc Games–Howell test, P = .03) when the sequence of the distal E-box element of the pGL3-Ranpro construct was mutated. The histogram is showing mean and 95% confidence interval for the promoter activity. All statistical tests were two-sided.
overexpression of Ran also resulted in a statistically significant increase in the number of colonies formed in soft agar (2.5-fold increase, 95% CI = 1.8 to 3.2; \( P = .03 \), Student t test) (Figure 2B). In addition, knockdown of Myc in these two breast cancer cell lines (Figure 4, D and F) resulted in a statistically significant decrease in their tumorigenicity. Knockdown of Ran in these two breast cancer cell lines (Figure 4, C and E) resulted in a statistically significant decrease in their ability to form colonies in soft agar (30–33). Knockdown of Ran in these two breast cancer cell lines (Figure 4, C and E) resulted in a statistically significant decrease in their ability to form colonies in the soft agar assay (MCF-7: mean = 9% of control cells, 95% CI = 6% to 12%; \( P = 0.003 \); T47D: mean = 11% of control cells, 95% CI = 5% to 18%; \( P = 0.006 \), Student t test) (Figure 4, D and F). Together, these results suggest that Ran expression is important for these breast cancer cell lines to maintain their tumorigenicity in vitro.

**Association Between Myc and Ran Expression in Human Breast Cancers**

Immunohistochemical staining for nuclear Myc protein was highly statistically significantly associated with staining for nuclear Ran (Fisher exact test, \( P < .001 \)), with staining for cytoplasmic Ran (\( P < .001 \)), and with overall staining for Ran (\( P < .001 \)) (Figure 5, A–H).

---

**Cell Biological Properties Associated With Modulation of Myc and Ran Expression**

When Myc was overexpressed in an immortalized but otherwise normal breast epithelial cell line, MCF10A, endogenous Ran expression was increased (Figure 2A). Overexpression of Myc resulted in a statistically significant increase in growth of MCF10A cells in soft agar (2.5-fold increase, 95% CI = 1.8 to 3.2; \( P = .008 \), Student t test) (Figure 2B). In addition, knockdown of Myc in an invasive breast cancer cell line MDA MB 231 cells resulted in downregulation of Ran (Figure 2C) and a concomitant statistically significant decrease in the number of colonies formed in soft agar to 19% of the control cells (95% CI = 17% to 21%; \( P < .001 \), Student t test) (Figure 3E). Together, these results suggest that Myc and Ran can separately stimulate similar cellular properties that are associated with cancer progression and metastasis. Overexpression of Ran in MCF10AT or knockdown of Ran in MDA MB231 cells did not change the expression of Myc (Supplementary Figure 4, available online), suggesting that the regulatory link between Myc and Ran is unidirectional.

---

**Effect of Ran in Myc-Mediated Cancer Cell Tumorigenesis**

Overexpression of Myc resulted in upregulation of endogenous Ran in MCF10A immortalized breast epithelial cells and this effect was reversed, as shown by Western blot analysis, when the cells were infected with the above shRNA targeting Ran, shRan5 (Figure 4A). Moreover, when Myc was overexpressed in MCF10A cells, their ability to form colonies in soft agar was statistically significantly reversed by knocking down expression of Ran: Myc overexpression: mean = 3.6-fold, 95% CI = 3.0 to 4.3, \( P = .002 \); Myc overexpression with Ran knockdown: mean = 0.75, 95% CI = 0.41 to 1.09, \( P = .13 \); ANOVA post hoc Games–Howell test) (Figure 4B). We then further investigated the importance of Ran in two more breast cancer cell lines, MCF-7 and T47D, in which their tumorigenicity has been shown to be positively associated with Myc expression levels (30–33). Knockdown of Ran in these two breast cancer cell lines (Figure 4, C and E) resulted in a statistically significant decrease in their ability to form colonies in the soft agar assay (MCF-7: mean = 9% of control cells, 95% CI = 6% to 12%; \( P = 0.003 \); T47D: mean = 11% of control cells, 95% CI = 5% to 18%; \( P = 0.006 \), Student t test) (Figure 4, D and F). Together, these results suggest that Ran expression is important for these breast cancer cell lines to maintain their tumorigenicity in vitro.

---

**Overexpression of Ran also resulted in a statistically significant increased number of colonies formed by MCF10A cells in soft agar (mean = 7.1-fold, 95% CI = 3.7 to 10.5; \( P = .01 \)) (Figure 3, A and B). We have shown previously that silencing of Ran results in appreciable apoptosis, which greatly interferes with the interpretation of data for investigation of cellular properties associated with metastasis in vitro (8). To avoid induction of severe apoptosis while maintaining a significant level of Ran knockdown, the viral titer was reduced and a less potent small hairpin (sh) RNA targeting Ran, shRan5 was used. This protocol resulted in a significant downregulation of Ran in MDA MB 231 breast cancer cells without appreciably inducing apoptosis, even after 96 hours of viral infection (Supplementary Figure 2, available online). Knockdown of Ran in invasive MDA MB 231 cells led to a statistically significant decrease in colony formation of cancer cells in soft agar to 19% of the control cells (95% CI = 17% to 21%; \( P < .001 \), Student t test) (Figure 3, C and D), in cell invasion through Matrigel-coated transwell membranes (38% of the control cells, 95% CI = 15% to 64%; \( P = .03 \), Student t test) (Figure 3E) and in cell adhesion to fibronectin-coated 96-well plates (at 30 minutes, 70% of the control cells, 95% CI = 62% to 78%; at 60 minutes, 69%, 95% CI = 61% to 77%; Student t-test, \( P = .03 \)) (Figure 3F). These results suggest that Myc and Ran can separately stimulate similar cellular properties that are associated with cancer progression and metastasis. Overexpression of Ran in MCF10AT or knockdown of Ran in MDA MB231 cells did not change the expression of Myc (Supplementary Figure 4, available online), suggesting that the regulatory link between Myc and Ran is unidirectional.
Moreover, when the mRNA levels of Ran and Myc from seven independent breast cancer datasets were analyzed, statistically significant positive correlations between the mRNA levels of these two genes were observed in all seven individual datasets (Spearman rank test, \( P < .05 \)) (Figure 5, I–O). When the mRNA levels were stratified into four groups evenly and the seven datasets were combined (\( n = 1454 \)), the positive association between Ran and Myc mRNA levels was highly statistically significant (\( \chi^2 \) test, \( P < .001 \)) (Figure 5P). These results strongly demonstrate the positive association between nuclear Myc and Ran expression in patient specimens.

**Association of Ran Expression and Patient Survival in Myc-Overexpressing Breast Cancers**

A statistically significant association between positive nuclear staining for Myc and a shorter survival time of the patients was observed (Wilcoxon–Gehan test, \( P < .001 \)) (Figure 6A). The median survival times for patients with positive and negative staining tumors for biological properties associated with cancer progression and metastasis of MDA MB 231-shScr and MDA MB 231-shMyc cells. **D** Histogram showing mean and 95% confidence interval (CI) for the number of colonies formed in soft agar from three independent experiments. MDA MB 231-shMyc cells had a statistically significantly decreased number of colonies formed in soft agar assay relative to MDA MB 231-shScr cells (40% of control, 95% CI = 33% to 48%; Student \( t \) test, \( P = .007 \)). **E** The same number of MDA MB 231 cells was seeded in the upper chamber of an invasion chamber. They were allowed to migrate through the Matrigel-coated membrane overnight using fetal bovine serum as chemoattractant. MDA MB 231-shMyc cells had a statistically significant decrease in ability to invade through Matrigel compared with MDA MB 231-shScr cells (65% of the control cells, 95% CI = 62% to 68%; Student \( t \) test, \( P = .008 \)). **F** Cells adhering to the fibronectin-coated wells were fixed with 70% ethanol, washed, and then stained with crystal violet. The retained crystal violet was extracted and the absorbance was measured. MDA MB 231-shMyc cells had a statistically significant decrease in ability to adhere to fibronectin compared with MDA MB 231-shScr cells (58% of control cells, 95% CI = 47% to 70%; Student \( t \) test, \( P = .04 \)). All statistical tests were two-sided.
nuclear Myc were 70.13 and more than 228 months, respectively. In contrast, cytoplasmic staining for Myc was not statistically significantly associated with patient survival time (Wilcoxon–Gehan test, \( P = .34 \)) (Figure 6B). Using Cox univariable regression analysis, positive staining for nuclear Myc was statistically significantly associated with an increased risk of patient death of 10.6-fold (95% CI = 5.2 to 21.8; \( P < .001 \)). These results suggest that an increased expression of Myc may promote metastatic progression of breast cancer, possibly through its nuclear rather than its cytoplasmic activity.

In our cohort of breast cancer patients with positive staining for nuclear Myc, the association between positive staining for nuclear Ran and survival was highly statistically significant (\( \chi^2 = 24.1; \) relative risk [RR] = 9.10, 95% CI = 3.3 to 24.7; \( P < .001 \)) (Figure 6C). In this group of patients, the median survival time for those with positive staining for nuclear Ran was 56 months, whereas it was 216 months for those with negative staining. In contrast, much less difference in median survival time between positive and negative nuclear Ran staining was observed in those patients with negative staining for nuclear Myc. The median survival time for those with positive staining for nuclear Ran was 204 months, and it was more than 228 months for those with negative staining (Figure 6D).

Likewise, in patients with positive staining for nuclear Myc, the association between positive staining for cytoplasmic Ran and survival was statistically significant (\( \chi^2 = 9.664; \) RR = 2.08, 95% CI = 1.31 to 3.27; \( P = .002 \)) (Figure 6D). In this group of patients, the median survival time for those with positive staining for cytoplasmic Ran was 56 months, whereas it was 190 months for those with negative staining. In contrast, much less difference in median survival time was observed between positive and negative cytoplasmic Ran staining.

**Figure 3.** Effect of Ras-related nuclear protein (Ran) on in vitro biological properties associated with cancer progression and metastasis. A) Western blots for MCF10A-vector and MCF10A-Ran cells. MCF10A-vector control and MCF10A-Ran cells were generated by retroviral infection of pBabe-hygro and pBabe-hygro-Ran, respectively, followed by selection in hygromycin. A higher protein level of Ran (2.1-fold) was detected in MCF10A-Ran cells compared with MCF10A-vector control cells. B) Soft agar assay for MCF10A-vector and MCF10A-Ran cells. Histogram showing mean and 95% confidence interval (CI) for the number of colonies formed in soft agar from three independent experiments. MCF10A-Ran cells had a statistically significant 7.1-fold (95% CI = 3.7 to 10.5-fold; Student t test, \( P = .01 \)) increase in ability to form colonies in soft agar compared with MCF10A-vector control cells. C) Western blots for MDA MB 231–short hairpin Scramble (shScr) and MDA MB 231–short hairpin RNA against Ran (shRan) cells. MDA MB 231-shScr and MDA MB 231-shRan cells were generated by lentiviral infection of pLKO.1-shScramble RNA and pLKO.1-shRan RNA, respectively, followed by selection in puromycin. MDA MB 231-shRan cells had a lower level of Ran protein at various times after infection (89%, 87%, and 83% reduction at 48, 72, and 96 hours, respectively). D–F) Assays for biological properties associated with cancer progression and metastasis of MDA MB 231-shScr and MDA MB 231-shRan cells. D) Histogram showing mean and 95% confidence interval for the number of colonies formed in soft agar from three independent experiments. MDA MB 231-shRan cells formed significantly fewer colonies in soft-agar compared with MDA MB 231-shScr cells (19% of the control cells, 95% CI = 13% to 24%; Student t test, \( P < .001 \)). E) MDA MB 231-shRan cells had a statistically significantly reduced ability of invading through Matrigel compared with MDA MB 231-shScr cells (38% of the control cells, 95% CI = 13% to 64%; Student t test, \( P = .03 \)). F) MDA MB 231-shRan cells had a statistically significantly decreased ability to adhere to fibronectin compared with MDA MB 231-shScr cells (at 30 minutes: 70% of the control cells, 95% CI = 62% to 78%; at 60 min: 69%, 95% CI = 61% to 77%; Student t test, \( P = .03 \)). All statistical tests were two-sided.
survival time between positive and negative cytoplasmic staining for Ran was observed in those patients with negative staining for nuclear Myc. The median survival time for those with positive staining for cytoplasmic Ran was 204 months, and it was more than 228 months for those with negative staining (Figure 6D). In the combined breast cancer datasets, a high level of Ran mRNA was also statistically significantly associated with a shorter survival time (7 independent datasets, n = 1454; \( \chi^2 = 14.26; \) RR = 1.35, 95% CI = 1.16 to 1.58; \( P < .001 \)) (Supplementary Figure 5A, available online). Moreover, a high level of Ran mRNA was statistically significantly associated with a higher relative risk (n = 726; \( \chi^2 = 8.30; \) RR = 1.41, 95% CI = 1.12 to 1.78; \( P = .004 \)) (Figure 6G) in patients expressing a high level of Myc mRNA and was less potent in those patients expressing a low level of Myc mRNA (n = 726; \( \chi^2 = 4.39; \) RR = 1.28, 95% CI = 1.02 to 1.61; \( P = .04 \)) (Figure 6H).
**Figure 5.** Association of protein and mRNA levels of v-myc myelocytomatosis viral oncogene homolog (Myc) and Ras-related nuclear protein (Ran) in breast cancer specimens. A–F) Representative images of immunohistochemical staining of breast cancers for Myc (A, C, E) and for Ran (B, D, F). Two separate histological sections from each of three tumors are shown: tumor 1 (A, B), tumor 2 (C, D), tumor 3 (E, F). Sections were immunohistochemically stained for Myc (A, C, E) and for Ran (B, D, F). In tumor 1, carcinoma cells (c) were unstained for Myc (A) and for Ran (B), whereas reactive stromal cells (arrows) were well stained with either antibody. In tumor 2, nuclei of carcinoma cells were well stained for Myc (C) (arrows) and for Ran (D) (arrows); there was little or no staining of carcinoma cell cytoplasm with either antibody. In tumor 3, the cytoplasm and some nuclei (E) (arrows) of the carcinoma cells were stained for Myc, whereas only the cytoplasm (F) was stained for Ran. Magnification 390× (A–D), 960× (E, F). Scale bars, 25 μm (A–D); 10 μm (E, F). G and H) Comparison of immunohistochemical staining in all the 288 different tumors for staining for nuclear Myc and for nuclear Ran (G) and for cytoplasmic Ran (H). Fisher exact test was used. I–P) Comparison of relative levels of Myc and Ran mRNA in breast cancer datasets available in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database. Relative mRNA levels of Myc and Ran was extracted from the GEO database and normalized by using R and Bioconductor as previously described (8). Datasets with patient number greater than or equal to 150 were included, and the correlations between relative mRNA levels of Myc and Ran in each dataset were compared by nonparametric bivariable correlation analysis (Spearman rank test) using SPSS. I–O) In all the datasets tested in this study—GSE1456 (n = 159) (I), GSE2034 (n = 286) (J), GSE3143 (n = 158) (K), GSE4922 (n = 255) (L), GSE7390 (n = 198) (M), GSE1121 (n = 200) (N), and GSE12276 (n = 204) (O)—the relative mRNA levels of Myc and Ran were significantly positively correlated (Spearman rank test; P < .05). P) Histogram showing percentage of cases with different levels of Ran mRNA in patients with different levels of Myc mRNA. The seven datasets were combined into one by stratifying the mRNA levels of Myc and Ran into four groups equally using the three quartiles as cut-off points. In the combined breast cancer dataset (n = 1454), the association between mRNA levels of Myc and Ran was tested by Spearman rank test. Statistically significantly more patients with a higher level of Myc mRNA also had a higher level of Ran mRNA (χ² test; P < .001). All statistical tests were two-sided.
Correlation Between Myc and Ran Expression in Human Lung Cancers

In A549 lung cancer cells, overexpression of Myc also transactivates the Ran promoter activity in vitro by luciferase reporter assay (Supplementary Figure 6A, available online). Myc expression in A549 cells was shown to be associated with an increase proliferation and tumorigenesis (34). We also found that knockdown of Ran in this cell line resulted in a statistically significant reduction in the ability of the cells to form colonies in soft agar (9% of the control cells, 95% CI = 6% to 12%; \( P = .002 \)) (Supplementary Figure 6, B).
and C, available online). The protein and mRNA expression levels of Ran and Myc were also analyzed in our lung cancer patient cohort and five independent lung cancer datasets available in the Gene Expression Omnibus database. As shown in Figure 7, increased staining of nuclear Myc protein was statistically significantly associated with nuclear (Spearman rank test, \(P = .002\)) (Figure 7, A and B), cytoplasmic (\(P = .01\)) (Figure 7, A and C), and overall (\(P = .001\)) (Figure 7, A and D) staining for Ran protein. Similarly, the level of Ran mRNA was statistically significantly correlated with that of Myc mRNA in all five independent lung cancer datasets analyzed (\(P = .05\)) (Figure 7, E–I). Importantly, when the mRNA levels were stratified into four equal groups and the five datasets were combined, a statistical significant association between Myc and Ran mRNA levels in lung cancer specimens was also observed (\(P < .001\)) (Figure 7).

**Association of Ran Expression and Patient Survival in Myc-Overexpressing Lung Cancers**

Similar to the breast cancer patients, in lung cancer patients with a high immunohistochemical staining for nuclear Myc, a high level of staining for Ran protein was statistically significantly associated with a shorter survival time (Wilcoxon-Gehan test, \(P = .001\)). The relative mRNA levels of Myc and Ran were significantly positively correlated (Spearman rank test; \(P < .05\)). Note that the values for Myc and Ran in the GSE13213 dataset were negative because the microarray was performed using a two-channel method where the reference sample was RNA extracted from 20 lung cancer cell lines; the results indicated that most of the human specimens had a lower expression of Myc and Ran compared with the reference sample (20 lung cancer cell lines). The five datasets were combined into one by stratifying the mRNA levels of Myc and Ran into four groups equally using the three quartiles as cut-off points. In the combined lung cancer dataset (\(n = 586\)), the association between mRNA levels of Myc and Ran was tested by Spearman rank test. Statistically significantly more patients with a higher level of Myc mRNA also had a higher level of Ran mRNA (\(\chi^2\) test; \(P < .001\)). All statistical tests were two-sided.

**Discussion**

Previously, we have shown that Ran silencing results in more potent apoptotic response in cancer cells with activated PI3K/
Akt/mTORC1 and Ras/MEK/ERK pathways. In this study, we investigated the role in Ran in myc-induced tumorigenesis in both breast and lung cancers, independent of instant apoptotic response, by using a less potent shRNA for Ran knockdown. In this study, we have demonstrated in immortalized breast epithelial MCF10A cells and breast cancer MDA MB 231 cells that both Ran and Myc in vitro are important in enhancing cellular properties, including colony growth in soft agar, cell adhesion, and cell invasion, associated with cancer aggressiveness. When the upstream region of Ran is examined, Myc binds to the distal, but not the proximal, E-box element and can transactivate the Ran promoter to upregulate Ran expression. The Myc–Ran promoter interaction has also been demonstrated in two other reports using a ChIP sequencing technique (35,36). We have further demonstrated that the in vitro observations are of clinical relevance by showing that Myc and Ran protein levels are significantly positively correlated in 288 breast and 102 lung cancer patients and that their mRNA levels are also significantly positively correlated in 1454 breast and 586 lung cancer patients. In vitro, Ran knockdown suppresses the Myc-enhanced colony formation in soft agar in an immortalized breast epithelial cell line. Most important, we have shown that in both breast and lung cancer patients with a high level of Myc expression within the primary tumors, Ran overexpression is associated with increased death rates. These results provide clinical support to our findings in cultured cells that Ran may be an important downstream effector of Myc-mediated cancer progression.

Figure 8. Association of expression of v-myc myelocytomatosis viral oncogene homolog (Myc) and Ras-related nuclear protein (Ran) alone and in combination in lung cancer patients. A and B Kaplan–Meier plots of the cumulative proportion of patients surviving with time in months of the Dublin lung cancer patient cohort are shown for tumors stained for low (A) and high (B) levels of nuclear Myc for high, intermediate,
therefore, a good target for cancer therapy. Our results suggest a novel approach for targeting Myc-overexpressing tumors in that silencing of Ran expression may inhibit Myc-driven cancer progression. Identification of small molecules that inhibit Ran-RCC1/Ran-RanGAP interactions or that downregulate Ran expression is underway, and these molecules could be tested in vitro and in vivo to validate our hypothesis.

In this study, we have shown that Ran expression is important in Myc-overexpressing breast and lung cancers. However, this study also had some limitations. The study was retrospective, and, although designed as intent-to-treat, the actual treatments of patients were heterogeneous. Small molecules that inhibit Ran expression or functions are yet to be identified. The investigation of these molecules on inhibiting cancer progression in human patients in a prospective setting is therefore highly warranted.

In our previous report (8) on breast and lung cancers, we showed that a high level of Ran expression is associated with a shorter survival time in patients, particularly those with K-Ras–activating mutations, with overexpression of c-Met and osteopontin, and with a PIK3CA activating gene signature, and in this study, we have shown this association in patients with Myc overexpression. These results also suggest that a high level of Ran expression is required for cancers driven by the common oncogenic mutations to express their aggressive behavior. Therefore, Ran may be an important therapeutic target for cancer patients harboring these oncogenic changes. In vitro experimental results from us and from others, obtained by silencing Ran, further support the clinical association. Cancer cells are more susceptible to Ran silencing than normal cells (6), whereas colon cancer cell lines harboring K-Ras–activating mutation are more susceptible to Ran silencing than the K-Ras wild-type isogenic counterparts (7, 8). In conclusion, our data together suggest that Ran is a major downstream target of Myc-overexpressing cancer cells and that Ran may be a novel therapeutic target for cancer cells with oncogenic changes, including, but not limited to, Myc overexpression.
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