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ABSTRACT

Water ice covers the surface of various objects in the outer Solar system. Within the heliopause, surface ice is constantly bombarded and sputtered by energetic particles from the solar wind and magnetospheres. We report a laboratory investigation of the sputtering yield of water ice when irradiated at 10 K by 4 keV singly (13C+, N+, O+, Ar+) and doubly charged ions (13C2+, N2+, O2+). The experimental values for the sputtering yields are in good agreement with the prediction of a theoretical model. There is no significant difference in the yield for singly and doubly charged ions. Using these yields, we estimate the rate of water ice erosion in the outer Solar system objects due to solar wind sputtering. Temperature-programmed desorption of the ice after irradiation with 13C+ and 13C2+ demonstrated the formation of 13CO and 13CO2, with 13CO being the dominant formed species.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Water ice is a major component of solid bodies in the outer Solar system and played a major role in the planet-formation process (Lunine 2006). Beyond ~4 au it is not warm enough for water ice to undergo substantial sublimation, resulting in potential lifetimes of order of the age of the Solar system and its observed presence on the surfaces of moons and Kuiper Belt objects e.g. Guilbert et al. (2009), Dalton et al. (2010), Brown, Schaller & Fraser (2012). Water ice, however, will still undergo erosion due to dust and macroscopic body impact and irradiation by cosmic rays, ions in the solar wind (SW) and, for moons and ring particles, magnetospheric ions. Chemical species can be lost from or redistributed on surfaces as a result of this bombardment and new chemical species can be formed (Johnson 1990; Hudson & Moore 2001). Some of the newly formed species will remain embedded in the ice, changing its chemical composition, whilst others may in turn be ejected from the surface. This process has been observed in laboratory ion irradiation experiments involving ices e.g. Brunetto et al. (2006). In some cases, sputtering leads to the formation of thin atmospheres made of water molecules, its dissociation products plus the sputtering species; these have been observed around Jovian and Saturnian satellites (e.g. Hall et al. 1995; Brown & Hill 1996; Johnson et al. 2008).

Additionally, energetic ion sputtering is at least partly responsible for global compositional changes across Tethys and Mimas (Schenk et al. 2011).

Sputtering of H2O ice has been intensively studied in laboratory experiments with both low-energy ions (below 10 keV) and high-energy ions (from 10 keV to a few MeV). Almost four decades ago, Brown et al. (1978) reported pioneering experiments in the sputtering of water ice by H+, He+, C+ and O+ ions with MeV energies; they reported that the sputtering yield of molecules ejected from water ice per incident MeV ion was higher than predicted by contemporary theory. Brown et al. continued this sputtering work and found that at high energies electronic processes are dominant (Brown et al. 1980). An electronic process represents the interaction of the projectile ions with the electrons of the target and will mostly produce ionization and excitation (Sigmund 1981). Cooper & Tombrello (1984) used 1.6–2.5 MeV 19F ions to sputter water ice and concluded that sputtering yields are sensitive to the charge state of the ion, but independent of ice thickness and temperature below 60 K. Subsequent investigators confirmed no dependence on ice thickness or temperature at T < 60 K (Bar-Nun et al. 1985; Baragiola et al. 2003), with the latter study finding no variation up to T = 140 K. Furthermore, Johnson et al. (1983) found that water ice has a yield of 10 molecules per ion at 10 K when bombarded with 1.5 MeV He+, which was in good agreement with the results obtained by Brown et al. (1978). Other experimental studies of high-energy ion sputtering on water ice have reported dependences on temperature and angle of incidence (Teolis et al. 2005; Vidal, Teolis & Baragiola 2005; Teolis, Shi & Baragiola 2009). The formation of...
CO₂ from 30 keV energy ion bombardment of H₂O ice was studied by Strazzulla et al. (2003b) and Lv et al. (2012).

The energies and masses that make up the ion-sputtering population depends strongly on environment, whether the icy surface is within a planetary magnetosphere, within the distant SW or exposed solely to the galactic cosmic ray population when outside the solar heliopause (Florinski et al. 2013). The ion-population abundances and energies can be very different between these regions, and hence it is important to measure the sputtering yield (equivalent to the erosion rate) as a function of ion species and energy. This in turn allows modelling of the erosion rate and the amount of liberated material. Bar-Nun et al. (1985) used 0.5–6 keV H⁺ and Ne⁺ ions to irradiate water ice and observed the sputtered species H₂, O₂ and H₂O. Baragiola et al. (2003) critically analysed data from Bar-Nun et al. (1985) to determine normal incidence sputtering yields due to, for example, 2–6 keV Ne⁺ ion impact. Christiansen, Carpini & Tsong (1986) measured the sputtering yields from different ices at 78 K when bombarded with 4 keV Ar⁺, Ne⁺, N⁺ and He⁺. Finally, sputtering of water ice at 80 K by 2 keV He⁺ and Ar⁺ ions at normal incidence was investigated by Famá, Shi & Baragiola (2008), who also considered all the available data from previous experimental studies to propose a formula to predict the sputtering yield from water ice at any temperature by ions of any mass with energies of up to 100 keV.

At heliocentric distances of R₉ ≃ 20–50 au, the SW plasma has cooled to ~1 eV so that the ion energy is dominated by the bulk outward flow (Bagenal et al. 1997). With a SW velocity of ~450 km s⁻¹, the kinetic energy of the ions is therefore ≃nₘₐ₀ keV, implying that low-energy ion bombardment similar to the above experiments will be important in the Centaur region and the Kuiper Belt. Therefore, to accurately model the interaction between SW and distant atmosphereless surfaces, it is important to test the validity of the Famá et al. (2008) formula for the erosion rate of water ice. In this paper, we present the results of experiments on the sputtering of water ice by 4 keV singly charged ions (C⁺, N⁺, O⁺ and Ar⁺) and 4 keV doubly charged ions (C²⁺, N²⁺ and O²⁺), and compare them to theoretical predictions and previous experimental data. Additionally, we report temperature-programmed desorption (TPD) measurements of H₂O ice following sputtering by C⁺ and C²⁺. For all carbon ion experiments, we used the isotope ¹³C, but for clarity, we do not designate this isotope when discussing C-ion processes.

2 EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

The experimental equipment used in this work was described in detail in Muntean et al. (2015) and will only be briefly presented here. The full apparatus is comprised of two main parts; a low-energy ion accelerator and an ultrahigh vacuum interaction chamber. The ion source is separated from the target chamber by over 4 m of vacuum tubes with four stages of differential pumping. Furthermore, the pressure of source gas in the ion source is typically 10⁻⁵ mbar. For all these reasons, the level of contamination from the ion source to the target chamber is negligible. Singly and doubly charged ions with energies up to 10 keV are focused and collimated before directed on to the interaction chamber which had a base pressure of 1 × 10⁻⁹ mbar. The intensity of the ion beam is measured with a translatable Faraday Cup, which could be positioned in front of the sample. During irradiation, a 90 per cent transmission metal mesh is used to monitor the ion beam current.

Water ice films were deposited on to a KBr substrate at T = 10 K and surrounded by a gold-plated radiation shield at T = 48 K.

![Figure 1](http://mnras.oxfordjournals.org/)

**Figure 1.** Laser interferometer photodiode voltage (points) versus time during the formation of water ice at 10 K from Milli-Q water at a pressure of 1.6 × 10⁻² mbar.

Water ice films with thicknesses of up to 258 nm were deposited at a base pressure of 1 × 10⁻³ mbar from a nozzle via a needle valve connected to a glass bottle containing Milli-Q water. The water was purged of dissolved gases in several cycles of freezing and heating. The film thickness was monitored with the laser interferometric method described in Muntean et al. (2015); briefly, a photodiode detector monitored the intensity of λ = 405 nm laser light reflected from the ice film and KBr substrate. The angle of incidence of the light on the substrate was 45°.

Fig. 1 shows the laser interferometer photodiode detector voltage as a function of time (filled circles) during the water ice deposition process. The solid line is a model fit to the experimental data based on laws of reflection and refraction (see Muntean et al. 2015 for details). This enabled the refractive ice of the water ice to be determined and hence the ice density to be calculated. We obtained a best-fitting value of n = 1.28 ± 0.05. Using the Lorentz–Lorenz equation from Fulvio et al. (2009), we calculated an ice density of ρ = 0.79 ± 0.02 g cm⁻³. These results are in good agreement with values of n = 1.29 ± 0.01 and ρ = 0.82 g cm⁻³ measured by Westley, Baratta & Baragiola (1998) by reflection of λ = 435.8 nm light from a water ice film deposited at T = 20 K. We also note that Wood & Roux (1982) measured the refractive index of water ice deposited at 20 K, 50 K and 80 K for 632.8 nm light and found a constant value of 1.32 for all three temperatures.

3 RESULTS

The water ice was irradiated at T = 10 K and 45° incidence with singly charged C⁺, N⁺, O⁺ and Ar⁺ ions and doubly charged C²⁺, N²⁺ and O²⁺ ions. Fig. 2 shows the photodiode detector voltage as a function of ion dose for irradiation of an initially 258 nm thick water ice film, at 10 K by 4 keV O⁺ ions. The solid line shown in Fig. 2 is a model fit using the refractive index and density determined above.
The fitted curve starts to increase before the second minimum is reached. This might be due to the irradiated ice films beginning to scatter the laser light and resulting in a loss of coherence. However, this does not affect our results as we have a good measurement of the first maximum, which is what is required for an accurate measurement of the ice thickness. The upper horizontal scale in Fig. 2 indicates the thickness of ice removed determined by the model, which was used to calculate the sputtering yield of \( n(H_2O)/\text{ion} \), viz. the number of water molecules removed per incident ion.

Figs 3 and 4 show the number of water molecules removed as a function of fluence for 4 keV singly charged C\(^+\), N\(^+\) and O\(^+\) and for 4 keV doubly charged C\(^{2+}\), N\(^{2+}\) and O\(^{2+}\) ions, respectively. The ion beam intensity varied both for individual ions and range of ions studied, thus we express it in fluence rather than flux. Typically ion fluxes averages were about \( 1.8 \times 10^{12} \) ions cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) for doubly charged ions and \( 9 \times 10^{12} \) ions cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) for singly charged ions. From the slopes of linear regressions fitted to the experimental data and the measured ice density and refractive index, the sputtering yields for each ion species were calculated and are shown in Table 1. Taking our uncertainty in the fitted refractive index and propagating it through this analysis gives a total error budget of up to 20 per cent. We note that the fitting error in Figs 3 and 4 is \( \sim 0.1 \) per cent.

Our experimental sputter yields for singly charged ions have been compared with theoretical values predicted by Famá et al. (2008), given by the equation below.

\[
Y(E, m_1, Z_1, \theta, T) = \frac{1}{U_0} \left( \frac{3}{4 \pi^2 C_0} \alpha S_0 + \eta S_\infty \right) \times \left( 1 + \frac{Y_1}{Y_0} \exp \frac{-E_0}{kT} \right) \cos \theta. \tag{1}
\]

Famá’s model predicts the sputtering yield as a result of ion irradiation of water ice at temperatures \( T \) from 10 K to 140 K, with ion energies \( E \) up to 100 keV and projectile ions with mass \( m_1 \), atomic

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
\text{n} & \rho (\text{g cm}^{-3}) & \overline{Y}(H_2O) \text{ expt} & \overline{Y}(H_2O) \text{ theory} \\
\text{C}^+ & 1.282 & 0.799 & 10.5 \pm 2.1 & 7.3 \\
\text{N}^+ & " & " & 9.2 \pm 1.8 & 8.8 \\
\text{O}^+ & " & " & 7.9 \pm 1.6 & 9.7 \\
\text{Ar}^+ & " & " & 19.8 \pm 4.0 & 17.1 \\
\text{C}^{2+} & " & " & 7.8 \pm 1.6 & " \\
\text{N}^{2+} & " & " & 11.4 \pm 2.3 & " \\
\text{O}^{2+} & " & " & 9.8 \pm 1.8 & " \\
\end{array}
\]
number $Z_i$ and incident angle $\theta$. Our sputtering experiments were carried at a constant temperature (10 K) and an ion energy of 4 keV which means $\frac{2m}{E} \exp \left(-\frac{E}{2K_T}\right) \approx 0$. Also, the incidence angle, $\theta$, was 45° for all the experiments. $S_n$ and $S_e$ are the nuclear and electronic stopping cross-sections, respectively, which were calculated using the standard Stopping Range of Ions in Matter (SRIM) software. $f$ denotes the power of the angular scattering function, $\alpha$ is a function of the mass ratio between the target and the projectile atomic masses and $\eta$ is a function of the atomic number of the projectile. The empirical formulae used for obtaining the energy-independent values of $\eta$, $\alpha$ and $f$ are explained in detail in Famá et al. (2008). $U_0 = 0.45$ eV is the binding energy, and $C_0 = 1.81$ A$^2$ is a constant which is related to the differential cross-section for elastic scattering in the binary collision approximation (Sigmund 1981).

Table 1 summarizes the experimental and the predicted values for the sputtering yield of water ice when bombarded with singly and doubly charged ions. It can be clearly seen that the sputtering yield for both singly and doubly charged ions are the same within the experimental error. In Fig. 5, we plot our experimental values for the sputtering yield together with previous measurements in the literature. Our experimentally determined values for the sputtering yields are consistent with previous reports. For example, Famá et al. (2008) measured a sputtering yield for Ar$^+$ at normal incidence of 12 molecules per incident ion. This equates to 21.8 molecules per ion at 45° incidence, consistent with our measured value of 19.8 ± 4.0 molecules per ion. Recently, Galli et al. (2015) have measured the sputtering yield of porous NaCl water ice at 90 K for a range of ions with energies between 1 and 30 keV; the values obtained are consistent with all the data shown in Fig. 5. The data of Galli et al. are not plotted however, as the uncertainties of their measurements extend beyond the range of the ordinate. In Fig. 5, we also show the predicted values from the Famá et al. (2008) theoretical model and find good agreement. We note that there is a slight tendency for the model to underestimate the experimental yields, the reason for this is unclear.

In addition, TPD measurements were carried out after the irradiation by C$^+$ and C$^{2+}$ with a constant ramp rate of 1 K min$^{-1}$. As can be seen from Fig. 6 and Table 2, irradiation by C$^+$ produced more CO$_2$ than irradiation by C$^{2+}$, with a yield ratio of 2.1 ± 0.2. This situation was reversed in the case of CO as shown in Fig. 7, where the ratio of yields from C$^+$ and C$^{2+}$ was 0.4 ± 0.02. For C$^{2+}$ irradiation, the production ratio of CO/CO$_2$ varied between 27 and 130.

4 DISCUSSION

4.1 Outer Solar system sputtering rates

Our measured sputter yield can be used to estimate at what rate H$_2$O ice is removed from the surface of an outer Solar system object due to irradiation by ions in the SW. Taking an SW ion density of $n_i = 4.5$ cm$^{-3}$ and velocity $v_i = 400$ km s$^{-1}$ at 1 au (Johnson et al., 1983), we calculate a total ion flux at a nominal distance of $\alpha = 42$ au of $n_i v_i a^{-2} = 10^{7}$ ions cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. Table 3 lists the relative abundances used here, calculated using the Genesis measurement of He/H fluence in the SW (Reisenfeld et al., 2007) and the values for C/H, N/H and O/H from the Ulysses mission (von Steiger et al., 1999). We combine these measurements to give the predicted fluxes at 42 au for ions of H, He, C, N and O. Our O$^+$ irradiation
Sputtering of surface ices may be even more important for water-ICE-rich Centaur objects evolving inwards from the trans-Neptunian region into the giant planet region. Many Centaurs have spectroscopically confirmed that H2O ice absorption bands on their surfaces (Barkume, Brown & Schaller 2008; Barucci et al. 2011). Lifetimes of Centaurs typically lie in the range 10–90 Myr (Tiscareno & Malhotra 2003; Volk & Malhotra 2013). At ~10 au, the erosion rate will be (42/10)^2 ~ 20 times faster, so the SW will erode ≥0.1–1 mm of H2O ice on the surface of a Centaur during its dynamical lifetime. CO and CO2 ices could also be present and will sublime at these distances, although the observed activity in a small number of Centaurs may be due to this or due to crystallization of amorphous H2O ice (Jewitt 2009; Guilbert-Lepoutre 2012). Our results show that even without sublimation or crystallization, pure H2O ice will not survive unchanged. Therefore, we conclude that the optically active surfaces of all ice-rich bodies beyond Jupiter will be significantly modified.

### 4.2 Formation of CO and CO2 by carbon ions

Our TPD results can be interpreted qualitatively in terms of CO and CO2 forming in the H2O ice as a result of irradiation by C^+ and C^{2+}. It is interesting to compare these results with TPD measurements of Collings et al. (2004), who investigated separate water ices with CO and CO2 on the surface and with CO and CO2 in the bulk. Surface samples were prepared by deposition on top of water ice and samples with bulk CO and CO2 were prepared by co-deposition of either CO or CO2 with H2O. In our experiments, the majority of CO2 desorption occurs at T ~ 145 K, 92 per cent ± 10 per cent in the case of C^+ irradiation and 71 per cent ± 5 per cent in the case of C^{2+} irradiation. This 145 K release was observed by Collings et al. (2004), who attribute this in their experiments to the volcano desorption of trapped CO2 co-deposited with H2O.

The release of CO2 at around T ~ 160 K for both singly and doubly charged ion irradiation corresponds to co-desorption of trapped molecules with H2O. However significantly less CO2 was released at this temperature, 2 ± 0.3 per cent per cent for singly charged ions and 11 per cent ± 2 per cent for doubly charged ions. This differs from the results presented by Collings et al. (2004), who found roughly equal amounts desorbed at T ~ 145 K and T ~ 160 K when the CO2 was co-deposited with H2O.

For CO, our TPD results show major broad peaks at lower temperatures. In C^+ irradiation 93 per cent ± 4 per cent of the CO desorbs at T = 42 K, whereas in C^{2+} irradiation 92 per cent ± 2 per cent of CO desorbs at T = 50 K. The peaks at T = 145 K and T = 160 K that correspond to volcano desorption and co-desorption, respectively, are minor features. Similar desorption features were observed by Collings et al. (2004) for CO both deposited on to a pre-adsorbed H2O film and co-deposited with H2O. They also observed broad desorption peaks at low temperatures, but with similar amounts of CO released at the higher temperatures. The dominance of the low temperature peaks in the CO production, particularly in the case of C^{2+}, suggests that charge-dependent dissociation processes are occurring at or close to the surface of the water ice. In the case of CO2, the majority is trapped below the surface layers within the H2O matrix. It is interesting to note that different chemical reactions due to irradiation have been observed in layered H2O/CO/H2O films in which CO layers were buried under amorphous solid water films of different thicknesses and then irradiated with 100 eV electrons Petrik et al. (2014). They found that the products formed from the oxidation and reduction/hydrogenation of CO were different for buried depths of up to 50 monolayers compared to products.
of CO at depths of more than 50 monolayers. Our work suggests a depth-dependent effect in CO and CO$_2$ production.

Our yields in Table 2 imply that the mechanism for the formation of CO$_2$ is more efficiently driven by C$^+$ irradiation by approximately a factor of 2 compared to C$_2^+$ irradiation. A similar result was found by Dawes et al. (2007), who irradiated water ice at 30 K and 90 K with 4 keV C$^+$ and C$_2^+$ and measured CO$_2$ formation as a function of ion dose. They conclude that the CO$_2$ yield for singly charged ions is greater than for doubly charged ions, in qualitative agreement with our findings.

A significant finding from our TPD measurements was the observed large production rate of CO relative to CO$_2$. 4 keV C ions have a maximum penetration depth in water ice of 500 Å with a peak range of 236 Å. If in our case, we take the peak range and the thickness removed (1700 Å), this means only 14 per cent of the C$_2^+$ ions that can remain implanted to form CO and CO$_2$. Therefore, for a total fluence for C$_2^+$ ions is greater than for doubly charged ions, in qualitative agreement with the 13C$_2$ yield presented in Table 2.

For a production yield of $\sim 10^{-10}$ ions cm$^{-2}$ and a measured abundance of CO/CO$_2$ = 130, we have calculated an upper limit of the CO$_2$ produced to be $1.4 \times 10^{12}$ molecules. In their previous study of 4 keV C$_2$+ bombardment of H$_2$O ice, Dawes et al. (2007) did not observe any CO. However, we note that their lowest experimental temperature was 30 K. This is where the sublimation of CO$_2$ starts according to both our TPD measurements (Fig. 7) and those of Collings et al. (2004). Therefore, we propose that as the CO formed preferentially at the surface, it was immediately sublimated during the Dawes et al. (2007) study, thereby accounting for the lack of subsequent spectral Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy signatures.

Finally, it is interesting to note that in a 30 keV study at 10 K by Lv et al. (2012) and Strazzulla et al. (2003b) CO was not observed. This intriguing result may imply that CO formation by carbon ion bombardment of low-temperature ice is highly energy dependent, and deserves further study.

5 CONCLUSIONS

We have measured the sputtering yield from irradiated ice at 10 K by 4 keV singly charged (C$^+$, N$^+$, O$^+$ and Ar$^+$) and doubly charged ions (C$_2^+$, N$_2^+$ and O$_2^+$). In the case of singly charged ions, the sputtering yields have been compared with a theoretical model from Famá et al. (2008) and overall our measurements are in line with previous results and the model’s predictions. Within experimental uncertainties, the sputtering yield of water ice does not depend on whether the projectiles are singly or doubly charged ions. We confirm that SW sputtering of fresh ice surfaces in the Kuiper belt and Centaur region can erode H$_2$O on dynamically relevant timescales.

TDP showed that CO formation dominates over CO$_2$ formation. However, $^{13}$C$_2$+ is relatively more efficient in forming $^{13}$CO while $^{13}$C$^+$ is relatively more efficient in forming $^{13}$CO$_2$. The majority of the $^{13}$CO desorbs at $T \approx 42$ K which suggests that this species is produced close to the surface of the water ice, whereas the majority of the $^{13}$CO$_2$ desorbs at $T \approx 145$ K and indicates that CO$_2$ is formed below the surface.
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