**QUEEN'S
UNIVERSITY
BELFAST**

The measurement of small quantities of amorphous material - Should we be considering the rigid amorphous fraction?

Craig, D. Q. M., Kett, V., Murphy, J. R., & Price, D. M. (2001). The measurement of small quantities of amorphous material - Should we be considering the rigid amorphous fraction? *Pharmaceutical Research*, 18(8), 1081-1082. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1010999615450>

Published in:
Pharmaceutical Research

Document Version:
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal:
[Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal](#)

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Queen's University Belfast Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The Research Portal is Queen's institutional repository that provides access to Queen's research output. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact openaccess@qub.ac.uk.

Commentary

The Measurement of Small Quantities of Amorphous Material—Should We Be Considering the Rigid Amorphous Fraction?

Duncan Q. M. Craig,^{1,3} Vicky L. Kett,¹ John R. Murphy,¹ and Duncan M. Price²

There has been considerable interest in recent years concerning the measurement of small quantities of amorphous material within otherwise crystalline samples. This interest has arisen as a result of the suggestion that many observed phenomena such as anomalous water sorption behavior may be interpreted in terms of a surface layer of glassy material. While constituting only a small percentage of the entire mass of the sample, this layer could nevertheless constitute a significant proportion of the surface and hence have a profound effect on product performance (1). The emphasis to date in both academia and industry regarding this issue has been to attempt to quantify the proportion of amorphous material present with techniques such as microcalorimetry and vapour sorption measurements being, particularly, widely used (2). This approach does, however, carry the concomitant assumption that the amorphous fraction of these semi-crystalline systems is essentially comparable to wholly amorphous material prepared by spray- or freeze-drying. Indeed, this assumption is central not only to the concept of the “quantity” of amorphous material being a definable (and indeed useful) parameter but also to the methods by which the aforementioned techniques are calibrated. Clearly, in theory, an infinite number of amorphous states may be generated by supercooling a material below its melting temperature under different experimental conditions. However, for most pharmaceutical systems, there does appear to be general acceptance of the two-phase model of a semi-crystalline material containing discrete crystalline and amorphous regions of uniform behaviour equivalent to that of “perfect” crystals and “perfect” glasses.

Examination of the polymer science literature suggests that there may be alternative approaches to this issue. More

specifically, it is now recognized that a proportion of amorphous material in semi-crystalline polymers may exist in a distinct state whereby molecular mobility is restrained to a greater extent than in the “perfect” glass. This material, known as the rigid amorphous fraction, is believed to be associated with the interface between the crystalline and mobile amorphous phases and has properties that are intermediate between the two (3–8). The formation of the rigid amorphous fraction is shown schematically in Fig. 1 for a polymer that forms a semi-crystalline solid on cooling from the melt. As the material is cooled through the crystallization temperature T_c , a proportion remains fully amorphous (the mobile amorphous fraction) while a further proportion forms a crystalline solid. However, associated with this crystalline solid is the rigid amorphous fraction that does not undergo a mobility change on subsequent cooling through the glass transition temperature. Consequently, this fraction does not contribute to the heat capacity change (ΔC_p) at the glass transition (T_g). This leads to discrepancies between the degree of crystallinity calculated from ΔC_p and the figure calculated from, for example, melting or crystallisation behavior or from data obtained using techniques such as X-ray diffraction, NMR, or Raman spectroscopy (6). The proportion of the material in this state may be expressed via (9)

$$f_{RAF} = 1 - \frac{\Delta C_p^{sc}}{\Delta C_p^{am}} - C_r \quad (1)$$

where f_{RAF} is the rigid amorphous fraction and C_r is the degree of crystallinity such that

$$f_{RAF} + f_{MAF} + C_r = 1 \quad (2)$$

with f_{MAF} being the mobile amorphous fraction, given by the ratio of the heat capacity change through the glass transition for the semicrystalline material (ΔC_p^{sc}) and the (mobile) amorphous material (ΔC_p^{am}). The magnitude of f_{RAF} may be considerable, with values of over 90% having been reported for some polymeric systems (10).

There are several important implications for the presence of such a fraction within the polymer sciences. These include the analysis of the miscibility of polymer blends (11,12), crystallization (10,13), aging (14) and melting behavior (8). The existence of such a fraction has not yet been directly demonstrated for low molecular weight pharmaceuticals. Indeed, such measurements are more difficult for these materials because even when “semi-crystalline” they contain

¹ The School of Pharmacy, The Queen's University of Belfast, 97 Lisburn Road, Belfast BT9 7BL, United Kingdom.

² Institute of Polymer Technology and Materials Engineering, Loughborough University, Loughborough, Leics. LE11 3TU, United Kingdom.

³ To whom correspondence should be addressed. (e-mail: duncan.craig@qub.ac.uk)

ABBREVIATIONS: C_r , degree of crystallinity; ΔC_p , heat capacity change (at the glass transition temperature in this context); ΔC_p^{sc} , heat capacity change through the glass transition for a semicrystalline material; ΔC_p^{am} , heat capacity change through the glass transition for a (mobile) amorphous material; f_{MAF} , mobile amorphous fraction; f_{RAF} , rigid amorphous fraction; T_c , crystallization temperature; T_g , glass transition temperature.

Fig. 1. Schematic representation of the formation of a mobile amorphous, rigid amorphous and crystalline fractions on cooling from the melt (adapted from (6)).

only small amounts of amorphous material compared to most polymeric systems, thereby rendering the measurement of anomalies in the heat capacity step change relatively subtle. However, we raise this as a possibility for two principle reasons. Firstly, the presence of a rigid amorphous fraction generated by, for example, grinding may result in that material behaving in a markedly different manner to that expected from studies on a wholly amorphous material, particularly in terms of recrystallization as this process is highly dependent on the molecular mobility of the amorphous phase. Secondly, the possibility of the existence of such a fraction suggests that care is required in the use of 100% amorphous spray- or freeze-dried materials as calibrants for the measurement of the degree of amorphous material in semi-crystalline systems, as the behavior of the former may not accurately reflect systems in which a proportion of the amorphous material is not “mobile” to the same extent. Indeed, it could be argued that the current emphasis on the “quantity” of amorphous material is potentially misleading, as the “nature,” and more specifically the mobility, of that material may be of equal or greater relevance to product performance.

REFERENCES

1. C. Ahlneck and G. Zografi. The molecular basis of moisture effects on the physical and chemical stability of drugs in the solid state. *Int. J. Pharm.* **62**:87–95 (1990).
2. G. Buckton and P. Darcy. Assessment of disorder in crystalline powders—A review of analytical techniques and their applications. *Int. J. Pharm.* **179**:141–158 (1999).
3. R. F. Boyer. Glass temperatures of polyethylene. *Macromolecules* **6**:288–299 (1973).
4. H. Suzuki, J. Grebowicz, and B. Wunderlich. Glass Transition of Poly(oxyethylene). *Br. Polym. J.* **17**:1–3 (1985).
5. S. Z. D. Cheng, M.-Y. Cao, B. Wunderlich. Glass-transition and melting behaviour of poly(oxy-1,4-phenyleneoxy-1,4-phenylenecarbonyl-1,4-phenylene). *Macromolecules* **19**:1868–1876 (1986).
6. V. B. F. Mathot. Thermal characterization of states of matter. In V. B. F. Mathot (ed.), *Calorimetry and Thermal Analysis of Polymers*, Hanser Publishers, Munich, 1994 pp. 146–160.
7. R. P. Chartoff. Thermoplastic polymers. In E. A. Turi (ed.), *Thermal Characterization of Polymeric Materials, 2nd Ed.*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1997 pp. 621–626.
8. M. Alsleben, C. Schick. The melting of polymers—A three-phase approach. *Thermochim. Acta* **238**:203–227 (1994).
9. S. Z. D. Cheng, Z. Q. Wu, B. Wunderlich. Glass-transition and melting behaviour of poly(thio-1,4-phenylene). *Macromolecules* **20**:2802–2810 (1987).
10. S. Iannace and L. Nicolais. Isothermal crystallization and chain mobility of poly (L-Lactide). *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* **64**:911–919 (1997).
11. H. S. Lee and W. N. Kim. Glass transition temperatures and rigid amorphous fraction of poly(ether ether ketone) and poly(ether imide) blends. *Polymer* **38**:2657–2663 (1997).
12. Y. S. Chun, Y. S. Han, J. C. Hyun, W. N. Kim. Glass transition temperatures and rigid amorphous fraction of poly(ether ether ketone) and polyacrylate blends. *Polymer* **41**:8717–8720 (2000).
13. P. P.-J. Chu, W.-J. Huang, F.-C. Chang. Kinetics for the growth of rigid amorphous fraction in cyclic olefin copolymers (COC). *Polymer* **42**:2185–2191 (2001).
14. G. Vigier and J. Tatibouet. Physical aging of amorphous and semi-crystalline poly(ethylene-terephthalate). *Polymer* **34**:4257–4266 (1993).