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Abstract

The prevalence of coronary heart disease is much higher in men than in women and sex hormones might play a role in these differences through their influence on the lipid profile. The aim of this cross-sectional study was to study the relationship between hormonal markers (total testosterone (TT), estradiol (E2), sex-hormone-binding globulin (SHBG)) and plasma lipids in a population-based sample. Subjects were 352 men, 50–59 years old, selected in France (Lille, Strasbourg and Toulouse) and Northern-Ireland (Belfast) who had questionnaires and a medical examination at baseline of the PRIME prospective study (1991–1993). Pearson correlation coefficients and Student’s t tests were used to identify factors associated with plasma lipids. Multiple linear regression models were used for multivariate analyses, using triglycerides (TG) (log-transformed) and high density-lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) as dependent variables. SHBG and TT were negatively correlated with TG ($p < 0.0001$ and $p < 0.05$, respectively) and positively correlated with HDL-C ($p < 0.0001$ and $p < 0.01$). E2 was positively correlated with TG ($p < 0.05$). No significant association was found between sex-hormones and LDL-C. In multiple linear regression analyses, SHBG remained independently associated negatively with TG ($p < 0.01$) and positively with HDL-C ($p < 0.0001$) after adjustment for centre of recruitment, age, body mass index, systolic blood pressure, smoking, alcohol intake and physical activity. After further adjustment for insulin, the association between SHBG and HDL-C remained highly significant ($p < 0.0001$). The association between SHBG and TG was weakened but remained also significant. Our results suggest that SHBG might to be a central protein in the hormonal regulation of the lipid profile.

\textcopyright 2004 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.
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1. Introduction

Coronary heart disease (CHD) incidence is known to be lower in women than in men [1] and differences in prevalence of major cardiovascular risk factors according to gender, including lipid abnormalities, have been found to explain part of men’s higher CHD risk [2]. However, the reasons why the lipid profile is more favourable in women than in men are not completely acknowledged, and some arguments suggest that sex hormones and their regulation may have an important impact on the metabolism of blood lipids. For instance, plasma levels of high density-lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) in boys and girls are comparable during childhood, but at puberty, HDL-C remains unchanged in girls while it decreases in boys, concurrently with rising testosterone levels [3]. Conversely, an early menopause is known to be associated with an increased risk of CHD mortality in women [4].
Studies on the relationships between sex hormones and cardiovascular risk or cardiovascular risk factors in men are numerous, but their results are contradictory. Although associations between high levels of total testosterone (TT) and a beneficial lipid profile (particularly a high HDL-C) in men have been regularly reported in cross-sectional and case-control studies [5], sex hormones have never been shown to be predictive in prospective studies on CHD. Furthermore, most studies have focused on total levels of sex hormones and levels of sex-hormone-binding globulin (SHBG) (the specific binding protein of sex hormones in the plasma) have rarely been evaluated even though its regulatory role might be crucial. The objective of this work was to study the association of sex hormones and SHBG with plasma lipids in middle-aged men from France and Northern-Ireland.

2. Methods

We have examined the associations of sex hormones (TT, estradiol (E2) and SHBG) with plasma lipoproteins and apolipoproteins (triglycerides (TG), HDL-C, low-density-lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), apolipoprotein A1 (ApoA1), and apolipoprotein (a) (Lp(a)).

The PRIME Study [6,7] is a large prospective study conducted in France (Lille, Strasbourg and Toulouse) and Northern-Ireland (Belfast) to evaluate markers of coronary heart disease. The PRIME cohort is composed of 10592 men, aged 50–59 years and free of CHD at baseline. Subjects included in the present cross-sectional study were 352 men from a subgroup of subjects, randomly selected at baseline among participants in the PRIME Study, for whom all biological assays planned in the PRIME Study were performed at baseline.

All subjects had to answer a questionnaire administered by a trained nurse, collecting data about medical history, tobacco and alcohol consumption and physical activity. A medical examination including standardised measurements of height, weight, waist and hip circumferences and blood pressure was also performed, and a blood sample was collected for each subject. In the PRIME study, blood samples were drawn in the morning after a 12 h-fasting period in tubes containing EDTA and centrifugation was performed immediately. Plasma samples were aliquoted for immediate analysis (total-cholesterol, HDL-C, TG, Lp(a), apolipoproteins and long-term storage for ulterior planned assays (notably insulin, TT and E2) and stored locally at −80°C and regularly sent to Lille. However, for the randomly selected sub-group we used to perform this cross-sectional analysis, all biological assays planned in the PRIME Study, including assays of SHBG and hormones, were performed at baseline. Plasma lipids were assayed by usual methods, except LDL-C which was determined using Planella’s formula [8].

Planella’s LDL-cholesterol can be calculated for all subjects while the Friedewald’s formula cannot be used for subjects with TG $>4.6$ mmol/l (12 subjects in our sample). TT and E2 were assayed by radioimmunoassay (Sanofi Diagnostic Pasteur, France). HDL-C, TG, ApoA1, systolic blood pressure (SBP), SHBG, TT and E2 were used in the analyses under their continuous forms, after logarithmic transformation when their distributions were skewed (TG). Lp(a) was studied according to two classes ($<0.3$ g/l, $\geq 0.3$ g/l), and insulin was categorised according to four classes (quartiles). Physical activity was defined by an intense physical activity during at least 20 min, at least once a week. Self reported alcohol consumption (number of glasses, detailed for each beverage and for each day of the week) was used to compute a quantity of pure alcohol ingested by day (g/d), and was analysed according to three classes (teetotallers, <40 g/d, $\geq 40$ g/d). Smoking was analysed according to two classes (yes: at least one cigarette a day at the moment of the examination, no: non-smoker). Body mass index (BMI) and waist-to-hip ratio (WHR) were computed respectively as weight (kg) divided by squared height (m$^2$) and waist circumference divided by hip circumference.

Data analyses were carried out using SAS Statistical Software, version 8.2 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). For univariate analyses, Pearson correlation coefficients were used to test correlations between plasma lipids and sex hormones markers. Student’s $t$-tests were used to study the associations of sex hormones with categorical data. Multiple linear regression models were used to investigate the relationships between sex hormones and plasma lipids after forced adjustment for centre of recruitment, age, BMI, SBP, smoking, alcohol intake and physical activity ( Adjustment A). In order to study the effect of insulin on these relationships, another adjustment composed of Adjustment A + Insulin (Adjustment B) was considered. The contribution of each variable to the model’s sum of squares was calculated using sequential sums of squares [9].

3. Results

The average age in our sample was 54.9 years ($\pm 2.8$), the average BMI was 26.5 kg/m$^2$ ($\pm 3.3$) and the average SBP was 134 mmHg ($\pm 19$). Thirty percent of the subjects drank 40 g/d of alcohol or more (while 18% were teetotallers). The concentrations of lipids and of sex hormones were within the normal ranges: average HDL-C: 1.29 mmol/l ($\pm 0.30$), average LDL-C: 3.71 mmol/l ($\pm 0.78$), average TT: 15.6 mmol/l ($\pm 4.7$), average E2: 61.7 pmol/l ($\pm 25.3$) and average SHBG: 25.0 mmol/l ($\pm 11.7$). The median insulin level was 9.7 mU/l.

In Table 1 are summarised the correlations between hormonal parameters and blood lipids in univariate analyses. SHBG and TT were correlated negatively with TG, and positively with HDL-C. E2 was correlated positively with TG.
SHBG (nmol/l) –****
p Multiple linear regression models; *  R² (%) 20.4 28.4

Physical activity
Alcohol intake
Smoking
BMI (kg/m²) 0.027 (0.008) **
SBP (mmHg) 0.003 (0.001) 0.001 (0.001)
Age (years) 0.017 (0.009)
Centre

Associations of plasma lipids with SHBG after Adjustment A

TG after adjustment A (centre of recruitment, age, SBP, BMI, smoking, alcohol intake and physical activity) (Table 2), but not E2. SHBG also remained strongly and positively associated with HDL-C after the same adjustments. Conversely, associations of TT with plasma lipids (TG or HDL-C) didn’t remain significant when SHBG was taken into account.

No association was found between any of these sex hormone markers and LDL-C or Lp(a). SHBG was also associated with ApoA1, but TT and E2 were not.

Adjusted for total HDL-C’s variation after adjustment for the contribution of all preceding variables in the model. Adjustment for insulin)

The best single predictor of HDL-C (R² associated with SHBG in a simple linear regression: 14.1, versus 13.1% for insulin, 13.6% for ApoA1 in univariate analyses)


In multivariate analyses, SHBG remained associated with TG after adjustment A (centre of recruitment, age, SBP, BMI, smoking, alcohol intake and physical activity) (Table 2), but not E2. SHBG also remained strongly and positively associated with HDL-C after the same adjustments. Conversely, associations of TT with plasma lipids (TG or HDL-C) didn’t remain significant when SHBG was taken into account.

After further adjustment for insulin concentrations (Adjustment B), the relationship between HDL-C and SHBG remained highly significant (Table 3), and the whole model accounted for 31.3% of HDL-C’s variation. SHBG was the best single predictor of HDL-C (R² associated with SHBG in a simple linear regression: 14.1, versus 13.1% for insulin, 13.6% for ApoA1 in univariate analyses)

12.6% for BMI and 3.6% for alcohol intake). Fig. 1 shows the contribution of each variable of the HDL-C model using sequential sums of squares, i.e. after adjustment for the contribution of all preceding variables in the model. Adjustment for insulin)

The best single predictor of Ln(TG) vas insulin (R² associated with insulin in a simple linear regression: 14.1, versus 13.1% for insulin, 13.6% for ApoA1 in univariate analyses)

Multiple linear regression models, β for continuous variables are given for an increment of one unit of the variable considered, except for SHBG, given for an increase of 10 nmol/l. S.E.: standard error. Ref: reference class.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Testosterone (nmol/l)</th>
<th>Estradiol (pmol/l)</th>
<th>SHBG (nmol/l)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lille</td>
<td>−0.127** 0.119*** −0.236***</td>
<td>−0.054 0.385***</td>
<td>0.032 0.270***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lille</td>
<td>−0.091 0.080 0.032</td>
<td>0.054 0.270**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* log-transformed
** p < 0.01
*** p < 0.001

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Ln(TG) (mg/dl)</th>
<th>HDL-C (mmol/l)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Centre</td>
<td>Toulouse</td>
<td>Ref.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Strasbourg</td>
<td>−0.029 (0.070) 0.042 (0.043)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lille</td>
<td>−0.187 (0.060)** −0.114 (0.041)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Belfast</td>
<td>0.243 (0.064)** −0.047 (0.052)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>0.017 (0.005) −0.001 (0.006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>0.003 (0.001) 0.001 (0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>0.027 (0.008)** −0.027 (0.005)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0.004 (0.059) −0.058 (0.036)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol intake</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0.007 (0.073) 0.089 (0.045)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0.240 (0.079)** 0.167 (0.048)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>−0.078 (0.109) 0.083 (0.042)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>−0.066 (0.106)** 0.083 (0.044)**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

β² (%) 20.4 28.4

Multiple linear regression models, * p < 0.05 ** p < 0.01 *** p < 0.001 **** p < 0.0001. β for continuous variables are given for an increment of one unit of the variable considered, except for SHBG, given for an increase of 10 nmol/l. S.E.: standard error. Ref: reference class.
significant after adjustment A ($\beta = -0.044, p < 0.0001$) and after adjustment B ($\beta = -0.041, p < 0.001$).

4. Discussion

In this work, SHBG was a major determinant of TG and HDL-C, and associations of these plasma lipids with SHBG were much stronger than their associations with sex hormones themselves. Conversely, no association was found between SHBG or sex steroids and LDL-C. To ascertain the reliability of the Planella’s formula to compute LDL-C, the results of the Planella’s calculation were compared with the results of the Friedewald’s formula in subjects with TG < 4.6 mmol/l. The values of LDL-C obtained with the two different formulas were very similar and the calculation of an intraclass correlation coefficient (enabling the measurement of the resemblance of two calculations) confirmed the very good concordance between these two calculations ($r_{\text{intraclass}} = 0.98$).

Our results suggest that total levels of steroids might not be an appropriate marker of hormonal activity. TT and SHBG have been found to be related to a beneficial lipid profile (particularly to high HDL-C levels) in men [5,10,11], but free androgens have been associated with an atherogenic lipid profile [10].

These conflicting results might be explained by the fact that the largest part of circulating-testosterone is bound to SHBG. Thus, the measurement of TT might reflect both an atheroprotective effect, which can be explained by its strong association with SHBG, and an atherogenic effect related to free testosterone. However, if free androgens are known to give a better idea of the androgenic activity than TT, the proper role of SHBG should not be underestimated. SHBG has been found to be associated with lipoprotein levels in men [12], being positively related to HDL-C, and low plasma levels of SHBG were found to be a good marker of the metabolic syndrome features [13]. Moreover, according to the National Cholesterol Education Program-Adult Treatment Panel III (NCEP-ATPIII) [14], the metabolic syndrome is defined in men by the presence of any three of the five following abnormalities: waist girth $> 102$ mm, HDL-C $< 1.03$ mmol/l, TG $> 1.69$ mmol/l, fasting blood glucose $> 6.1$ mmol/l and blood pressure $\geq 130/85$ mmHg. We could not adequately use these criteria because fasting glucose concentrations were not available in our study; however, an approximation of this criterion regarding glucose concentration might be obtained using positives answers to two questions from the questionnaire: “Are you following a specific diet for diabetes or risk of diabetes?” and “Have you a treatment for diabetes or risk of diabetes?”. Using these questions instead of glucose concentrations to identify subjects with a metabolic syndrome according to NCEP-ATPIII criteria, we found that 60 subjects (17.1%) had a metabolic syndrome in our sample, and SHBG concentrations of these subjects were much lower than SHBG concentrations of subjects without metabolic syndrome (p < 0.001, data not shown). Indeed, SHBG seems to play an important role in the hormonal regulation of the lipid profile.

The best known function of SHBG is to regulate the availability of biologically active free testosterone and estradiol and their metabolic clearance rate [15]. Moreover, plasma concentration of SHBG is itself modulated by sex hormones [16], since it is stimulated by estrogens, and inhibited by androgens which thus increase their own bioavailability. Therefore, it is likely that a high level of SHBG would temper the unfavourable effects of free androgens on the lipoprotein profile. Moreover, activity and expression of hepatic lipase, which is involved in HDL-C catabolism [17], is known to be depressed by estradiol but stimulated by androgens [18,19], independently of insulin and abdominal adiposity [19]. Therefore, a high SHBG would result in a decreased free active testosterone, thus maintaining a low hepatic lipase activity and a high level of HDL-C. Although the present study was carried out only among males, it might be speculated that in women, SHBG might also play the same critical role in the free androgens balance. Indeed, a low level of SHBG in women has been found associated with a clinical situation of hyperandrogenism and with features of the metabolic syndrome [20]. Furthermore, it can be hypothesised that SHBG’s role in the regulation of the free androgen balance might account to explain a part of the gender difference in CHD incidence, when women usually display a two-fold higher amount of SHBG and a 10-fold lower amount of testosterone than men.

Alternatively, SHBG production is also known to be inhibited by insulin [21–23] and in our work, SHBG remained strongly associated with HDL-C levels, even after adjustment for insulin, while the association of SHBG with TG was weakened by such an adjustment. These results suggest that if SHBG might exert a role in the metabolism of HDL-C, possibly through a regulatory effect on hepatic lipase activity, a large part of the relationship we found between SHBG...
and TG could be explained by the effects of insulin on TG synthesis. Thus, our results add further support to the possible involvement of SHBG in the lipoprotein abnormalities observed in subjects with the metabolic syndrome. Although our study was cross-sectional, SHBG might be considered as a possible determinant of dyslipidemias occurring in the context of the metabolic syndrome, through an effect partly independent of insulin.

Our data are concordant with the hypothesis that sex hormones and SHBG may influence CHD risk, through their associations with plasma lipids and insulin levels. Also, these results indicate that total levels of sex steroids may not be accurate markers of their hormonal activity. SHBG seems to be a pivotal protein in these relationships, and should be taken into account in further studies on sex hormones and CHD risk or risk factors.
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